

## A quantitative characterisation of functions with low Aviles Giga energy on convex domains

ANDREW LORENT

**Abstract.** Given a connected Lipschitz domain  $\Omega$  we let  $\Lambda(\Omega)$  be the set of functions in  $W^{2,2}(\Omega)$  with  $u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  and whose gradient (in the sense of trace) satisfies  $\nabla u(x) \cdot \eta_x = 1$ , where  $\eta_x$  is the inward pointing unit normal to  $\partial\Omega$  at  $x$ . The functional  $I_\epsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \epsilon^{-1} |1 - |\nabla u|^2|^2 + \epsilon |\nabla^2 u|^2 dz$ , minimised over  $\Lambda(\Omega)$ , serves as a model in connection with problems in liquid crystals and thin film blisters. It is also the most natural higher order generalisation of the Modica and Mortola functional. In [16] Jabin, Otto and Perthame characterised a class of functions which includes all limits of sequences  $u_n \in \Lambda(\Omega)$  with  $I_{\epsilon_n}(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ . A corollary to their work is that if there exists such a sequence  $(u_n)$  for a bounded domain  $\Omega$ , then  $\Omega$  must be a ball and (up to change of sign)  $u := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n$  is equal  $\text{dist}(\cdot, \partial\Omega)$ . We prove a quantitative generalisation of this corollary for the class of bounded convex sets. Namely we show that there exists a positive constant  $\gamma_1$  such that, if  $\Omega$  is a convex set of diameter 2 and  $u \in \Lambda(\Omega)$  with  $I_\epsilon(u) = \beta$ , then  $|B_1(x) \Delta \Omega| \leq c\beta^{\gamma_1}$  for some  $x$  and

$$\int_\Omega \left| \nabla u(z) + \frac{z-x}{|z-x|} \right|^2 dz \leq c\beta^{\gamma_1}.$$

A corollary of this result is that there exists a positive constant  $\gamma_2 < \gamma_1$  such that if  $\Omega$  is convex with diameter 2 and  $C^2$  boundary with curvature bounded by  $\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , then for any minimiser  $v$  of  $I_\epsilon$  over  $\Lambda(\Omega)$  we have

$$\|v - \zeta\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} \leq c(\epsilon + \inf_y |\Omega \Delta B_1(y)|)^{\gamma_2},$$

where  $\zeta(z) = \text{dist}(z, \partial\Omega)$ . Neither of the constants  $\gamma_1$  or  $\gamma_2$  are optimal.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 49N99 (primary).