

A SHORT WAY OF COUNTING MAPS TO HYPERSURFACES IN GRASSMANNIANS

ALINA MARIAN AND SHUBHAM SINHA

ABSTRACT. Using a Quot scheme compactification, we calculate the virtual count of maps of degree d from a smooth curve of genus g to a hypersurface in a Grassmannian, sending specified points of the curve to special Schubert subvarieties restricted to the hypersurface. We study the question of whether this virtual count is in fact enumerative under suitable conditions on the hypersurface, in the regime when the map degree d is large.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let C be a smooth complex projective curve of genus g . Consider the Grassmannian $G(r, n)$ of r planes in \mathbb{C}^n with tautological sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^n \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0.$$

Let

$$X_\ell = Z(s) \subset G(r, n)$$

be a hypersurface cut out by a general section

$$(1) \quad s \in H^0\left(G(r, n), (\det S^\vee)^{\otimes \ell}\right).$$

In this note we address the problem of enumerating maps $f : C \rightarrow X_\ell$ subject to incidence conditions with special Schubert subvarieties $\sigma_k, 1 \leq k \leq r$, at fixed domain points. We will use the Quot compactification $\text{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)$ of the space $\text{Mor}_d(C, X_\ell)$ of degree d maps from C to X_ℓ , defined as follows. To start, let $\text{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))$ be the Quot scheme parametrizing short exact sequences

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C^n \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

on C where E has rank r and degree $-d$. The space

$$\text{Mor}_d(C, G(r, n)) \subset \text{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 14N35, 14N10 (primary); 14D20, 14M15, 14M10 (secondary).

is the open subscheme of exact sequences (2) with locally free quotients. Let

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0 \text{ on } \mathbf{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n)) \times C$$

be the universal sequence. The section (1) can be viewed as a general element of

$$\mathrm{Sym}^\ell V^\vee \simeq H^0(\mathbb{P}(V), \mathcal{O}(\ell)), \text{ where } V = \wedge^r \mathbb{C}^n.$$

X_ℓ is correspondingly the intersection of a general degree ℓ hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}(V)$ with $G(r, n)$, viewed under the Plücker embedding $G(r, n) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$. Through the universal sequence (3), s induces then a section

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{s} \mathrm{Sym}^\ell V^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow (\det \mathcal{E}^\vee)^{\otimes \ell} \text{ on } \mathbf{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n)) \times C$$

of the line bundle $(\det \mathcal{E}^\vee)^{\otimes \ell}$. We define

$$\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell) \subset \mathbf{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))$$

as the scheme theoretic locus of subsheaves $\{E \subset \mathcal{O}^n\} \in \mathbf{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))$ for which the section (4) vanishes at all points of C . By definition,

$$(5) \quad \mathrm{Mor}_d(C, X_\ell) \subset \mathbf{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell).$$

We denote as π, ρ the projections from the product $\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n)) \times C$ to the two factors. Whenever

$$d\ell > 2g - 2,$$

the pushforward

$$(6) \quad \mathbb{E}_\ell = \pi_* ((\det \mathcal{E}^\vee)^{\otimes \ell}) \text{ on } \mathbf{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))$$

is locally free of rank $d\ell - g + 1$. In this case, we have scheme-theoretically

$$(7) \quad \mathbf{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell) = Z(\tilde{s}) \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbf{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n)),$$

where

$$(8) \quad \tilde{s} \in H^0(\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n)), \mathbb{E}_\ell)$$

is the image of the section (4) under π_* .

As we will recall in the next section, both $\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))$ and, more subtly, $\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)$ are known to admit perfect obstruction theories and virtual classes which are naturally compatible under the inclusion (7), satisfying

$$(9) \quad \iota_* [\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)]^{vir} = c_{top}(\mathbb{E}_\ell) \cap [\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))]^{vir}$$

for all $d\ell > 2g - 2$.

Over the Quot scheme $\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))$, compactifying the space of degree d maps to the Grassmannian $G(r, n)$ itself, an interesting class of virtual intersections is calculated by the Vafa-Intriligator formula. Indeed, for a point $p \in C$, we let \mathcal{E}_p denote the restriction of the rank r universal subsheaf \mathcal{E} to $\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n)) \times \{p\}$, and focus on its Chern classes

$$(10) \quad a_i := c_i(\mathcal{E}_p^\vee), \quad 1 \leq i \leq r.$$

The formula calculates the virtual top intersections of these classes. Set

$$e = dn + r(n - r)(1 - g) = \text{virtual dim of } \mathbf{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n)).$$

Theorem (Vafa-Intriligator Formula, cf. [MO, B, ST]). *For any monomial $P = \prod_{k=1}^t a_{i_k}$, $1 \leq i_k \leq r$ of weighted degree $e = dn + r(n - r)(1 - g)$, we have*

$$(11) \quad \int_{[\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))]^{vir}} P = (-1)^{d(r-1)} \cdot \sum_{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_r} \prod_{k=1}^t e_{i_k}(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_r) J^{g-1}(\zeta_1 \dots \zeta_r),$$

with the sum being taken over all $\binom{n}{r}$ r -tuples $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_r)$ of distinct n^{th} roots of unity. Here, e_{i_k} is the i_k th elementary symmetric polynomial in r variables, and

$$J(x_1, \dots, x_r) = \prod_{i=1}^r n x_i^{n-1} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (x_i - x_j)^{-1}.$$

When the degree d is sufficiently large with respect to g, r and n , Bertram [B] showed that the above integral is enumerative and counts the number of maps from C to $G(r, n)$ sending t distinct points p_1, \dots, p_t to special Schubert subvarieties of type $\sigma_{i_k} = c_{i_k}(S^\vee)$, in general position.

We now turn to intersections of type a in the subscheme $\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)$ of virtual dimension $e_\ell = e - (d\ell - g + 1)$, and establish the following count. The analogous virtual count of maps from C to a complete intersection in $G(r, n)$ is addressed in Theorem 3 of Section 3.3.

Theorem 1. *Assume $d\ell > 2g - 2$. Let $P = \prod_{k=1}^t a_{i_k}$, $1 \leq i_k \leq r$ be a monomial of weighted degree $e_\ell = e - (d\ell - g + 1)$. Then*

$$(12) \quad \int_{[\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)]^{vir}} P = \frac{(n - \ell)^g \cdot \ell^{d\ell - g + 1}}{n^g} \int_{[\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))]^{vir}} a_1^{d\ell - g + 1} P.$$

The integral on the right hand side admits a closed-form evaluation by the Vafa-Intriligator Formula (11). The intersection product (12) is the virtual count of

maps from C to X_ℓ sending points $p_k \in C$ to $Y_{i_k} \cap X_\ell$, $1 \leq k \leq t$, where $Y_{i_k} \subset G(r, n)$ is a special Schubert subvariety of type σ_{i_k} .

Remark 1. For hypersurfaces $X_\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^r$, by specializing (12), the virtual count of maps from C to X_ℓ sending $t = e_\ell$ points to t hyperplanes in X_ℓ , is obtained:

$$(13) \quad \int_{[\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)]^{vir}} a_1^{e_\ell} = \ell^{d\ell-g+1} (r+1-\ell)^g.$$

Here the expected dimension is given by $e_\ell = d(r+1-\ell) + (1-g)(r-1)$.

Remark 2. The Lagrangian Grassmannian $LG(2, 4) \subset G(2, 4)$, parametrizing Lagrangian subspaces in a symplectic vector space \mathbb{C}^4 , is cut out by a section of $\det S^\vee$. Through Theorem 1, the virtual intersection numbers have the simple formulas

$$\int_{[\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, LG(2, 4))]^{vir}} a_1^{m_1} a_2^{m_2} = 2^{2d-m_2-g+1} \cdot 3^g,$$

where $m_1 + 2m_2 = 3(d-g+1)$ and $d > 2g-2$.

More generally, the symplectic Grassmannian $SG(2, n) \subset G(2, n)$, parametrizing rank two isotropic subspaces in a symplectic vector space \mathbb{C}^n , is cut out by a section of $\det S^\vee$. In this case, $\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, SG(2, n))$ is precisely the Isotropic Quot scheme considered in [Si], which parametrizes rank two isotropic subsheaves of the trivial bundle \mathcal{O}^n equipped with a symplectic form. Our method provides a short proof of [Si, Theorem 1.3] in the setting $d > 2g-2$.

Remark 3. The proof of Theorem 1 uses the virtual class compatibility (9) along with the explicit evaluation of a large class of virtual top intersections on $\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))$ extending beyond the Vafa-Intriligator formula (11). This proof will be given in Section 3.1.

Remark 4. Theorem 1 fits in the broad study of quasimap invariants by Ciocan-Fontanine and Kim (cf. [CFK1, CFK2, CFK3], see also [MOP]), in the simpler setting of a fixed domain curve. Related fixed-domain virtual counts were explored in a stable map setting in recent papers on Tevelev degrees (cf. [BP, C, CL1, FL]), as follows. Consider the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,t}(X, \beta)$ of stable maps to a smooth projective variety X and the morphism $\tau : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,t}(X, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,t} \times X^t$, remembering the domain and evaluating at the t points. When the expected fiber dimension of τ is zero, the (virtual) Tevelev degree $\mathbf{vTev}_{g,n,\beta}$ is defined by

$$\tau_*[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,t}(X, \beta)]^{vir} = \mathbf{vTev}_{g,n,\beta}[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,t} \times X^t],$$

and gives the virtual count of maps from a fixed domain curve to X with prescribed outputs at t domain points. When X is a Grassmannian, initial calculations of Tevelev degrees recovered particular cases of the Vafa-Intriligator formula (11); further computations for Fano hypersurfaces and complete intersections in projective space were carried out in [BP, C]. Theorem 1 calculates virtual map counts to a hypersurface in any Grassmannian, using the Quot compactification. The incidence conditions are at special Schubert subvarieties, not points, in the target.

We now examine the enumerativity of the virtual invariants of Theorem 1. This hinges on the basic question whether the space of maps from C to a general hypersurface in $G(r, n)$ has expected dimension for sufficiently large degree d . We expect:

Conjecture 1. *For a general hypersurface X_ℓ in $G(r, n)$ with $\ell < n$, there exists a threshold degree $d_0(g, r, n)$ so that the space of maps $\text{Mor}_d(C, X_\ell)$ has expected dimension for all $d > d_0$.*

We will show that if the space of maps $\text{Mor}_d(C, X_\ell)$ has expected dimension, the boundary of the Quot compactification $\text{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)$ is well-behaved, allowing for an enumerative interpretation of the virtual type a invariants.

Theorem 2. *Let $X_\ell \subset G(r, n)$ be a hypersurface such that the space of maps $\text{Mor}_d(C, X_\ell)$ has expected dimension for all sufficiently large d . Then the virtual type a integral*

$$\int_{[\text{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)]^{vir}} \prod_{k=1}^t a_{i_k}$$

in Theorem 1 is enumerative for all d sufficiently large, whenever $i_k < n - \ell$, with $1 \leq k \leq t$. The virtual integral counts the actual number of maps from C to X_ℓ sending t distinct domain points p_1, \dots, p_t to the intersection of X_ℓ with general Schubert subvarieties of type $c_{i_k}(S^\vee)$, for $1 \leq k \leq t$.

In the case of a complete intersection X_ℓ in $G(r, n)$, of multidegree $\underline{\ell} = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_u)$, the analogous enumerativity statement holds subject to the condition $i_k < n - \sum_{j=1}^u \ell_j$ for each $1 \leq k \leq t$.

When $C = \mathbb{P}^1$, for a general degree ℓ hypersurface $X_\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^r$, it was shown in [HRS, RY] that whenever $\ell < r - 1$, the space of maps $\text{Mor}_d(\mathbb{P}^1, X_\ell)$ is irreducible of the expected dimension for all d . Recent developments in arithmetic geometry,

beginning with Browning and Vishe [BV], established irreducibility of $\text{Mor}_d(\mathbb{P}^1, X_\ell)$ for arbitrary X_ℓ of sufficiently low degree relative to r . This was extended to higher genus domain curves in [H1]. For *general* hypersurfaces $X_\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^r$, the bound was significantly improved in [H2, Corollary 7] and [Sa] to $\ell \leq (r+6)/4$.

In the case of a hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^r , we note the following corollary of Theorems 1 and 2, which will be discussed in Section 3.2.

Corollary 1. *Let $X_\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a hypersurface such that $\text{Mor}_d(C, X_\ell)$ has expected dimension for d sufficiently large. There are exactly $\ell^{d\ell-g+1}(r+1-\ell)^g$ maps from $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$ such that $f(C) \subset X_\ell$ and f sends distinct points p_1, \dots, p_t to codimension i_k planes $H_{i_k} \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ in general position for $1 \leq k \leq t$. Here we assume $\sum_{k=1}^t i_k = e_\ell$ and $1 \leq i_k \leq r - \ell$ for all $1 \leq k \leq t$.*

Remark 5. It is convenient to introduce a new notion to describe varieties whose associated space of curves of fixed genus has expected dimension. Let X be a smooth projective variety and let $\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ be an effective curve class. We say that the pair (X, β) is **weakly g -convex** if there exists a bound $d_0 = d_0(g, X, \beta)$ such that the space

$$\text{Mor}_{d\beta}(C, X) = \{f: C \rightarrow X \mid f_*[C] = d\beta\}$$

has the expected dimension for any curve C of genus g and all $d \geq d_0$.

We recall that a variety X is said to be *convex* if $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, f^*T_X) = 0$ for every morphism $f: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$. In particular, if X is a homogeneous variety G/P , then X is convex and $\text{Mor}_\beta(C, X)$ is moreover irreducible for all β (cf. [KP]). If X is convex, then (X, β) is weakly 0-convex for any β . It is not known however whether convexity implies weak g -convexity for $g > 0$.

We summarize below the known examples of weak convexity from the literature, studied by various authors using different techniques. In all the examples listed, except the last, $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ has rank one, and there is a natural choice of the homology class β .

- The Grassmannian $G(r, n)$ is weakly g -convex for all $g \geq 0$ [BDW], proven using the irreducibility of the moduli space of stable bundles on C .
- A general hypersurface $X_\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ is weakly 0-convex for $\ell < r - 1$ (see [HRS, RY]), proven by analyzing the boundary of the moduli space of stable maps.

- A general complete intersection $X_{\underline{\ell}}$ in \mathbb{P}^r is weakly 0-convex where multi-degree $\underline{\ell} = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_m)$ satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^m \ell_i < 2r/3$, see [BK].
- Any smooth hypersurface $X_{\ell} \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ with small ℓ is weakly g -convex for all $g \geq 0$ (see [BV] and [H1] for explicit bounds on ℓ in the cases $g = 0$ and arbitrary g , respectively); these results are obtained via point counts over finite fields. In the genus zero case, analogous results were proved for any complete intersections in \mathbb{P}^r of low multidegrees in [BVY].
- A general hypersurface $X_{\ell} \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ is weakly g -convex for all g when $5 \leq \ell \leq (r+6)/4$ (see [H2, Corollary 7] and [Sa]); this is done by explicit points count for Fermat hypersurfaces over finite fields using the circle method.
- The Lagrangian and orthogonal Grassmannians $LG(n, 2n)$ and $OG(n, 2n)$ are weakly g -convex for all g , as shown in [CCH1, CCH2] by analyzing the geometric properties of isotropic Quot schemes.
- Two-step flag varieties $FL(r_1, r_2; n)$ are weakly g -convex for all $g \geq 0$ and for specific choices of the curve class β ; see [RS] for conditions ensuring the irreducibility of the associated hyper/nested Quot schemes.

Remark 6. In a stable map context, the asymptotic enumerativity of Tevelev degrees was studied in [LP, Theorem 11] and [BLLRST] for $X_{\ell} \subset \mathbb{P}^r$, but not for hypersurfaces in a general Grassmannian. For low map degrees, the Tevelev numbers of \mathbb{P}^r were studied in [L]. Furthermore, the enumerativity question is addressed in quasimap context for blowups of projective spaces in [CL2]. We also note that the enumerativity of virtual Tevelev degrees was established for positive symplectic manifolds and general almost complex structure on the domain in [CD].

The question regarding the enumerativity of virtual invariants over the isotropic Quot scheme $\text{Quot}_d(C, SG(r, n))$, for sufficiently large d with respect to g , r and n , was also raised in [Si]. Recently, a generalization of the Vafa–Intriligator formula, computing virtual intersection numbers over the Hyperquot scheme, was derived in [OSX]. The enumerativity of these virtual counts of maps to partial flag varieties $FL(r_1, \dots, r_k; n)$ is also expected in a suitable large-degree regime. While the symplectic Grassmannian and partial flag varieties are convex, it would be interesting to study their weak g -convexity in greater generality.

Acknowledgments. We thank Alessio Cela, Izzet Coskun, Matthew Hase-Liu, Carl Lian, and Dragos Oprea for useful discussions.

2. VIRTUAL FUNDAMENTAL CLASS

The virtual fundamental class for the Quot scheme $\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))$ was described in [CFKa], [MO]. In this section, we briefly review the perfect obstruction theory of the hypersurface Quot scheme $\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)$, using the [CKM] framework of quasimaps to GIT quotients. Readers interested in explicitly constructing the virtual fundamental class for $\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)$ can also follow the construction in [Si, Section 2], making the necessary adjustments.

2.1. Quasimap Space to X_ℓ . Consider the vector space $V = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathbb{C}^n)$ with the obvious $G = \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{C})$ action. Let θ be the inverse of the determinant character of G , and let $L_\theta \rightarrow V$ be the linearized line bundle. Then $G(r, n) = V // G = V/\theta G$, and $L_\theta \rightarrow V$ descends to $\det(S^\vee)$, as considered in the introduction.

A section $s \in H^0(G(r, n), \det(S^\vee)^{\otimes \ell})$ lifts to the G -equivariant section \tilde{s} of $L_\theta^{\otimes \ell}$. Denote by $W \subset V$ the subspace cut out by \tilde{s} ; the hypersurface $X_\ell \subset G(r, n)$ is then the corresponding GIT quotient $W // G$.

The Quot scheme $\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))$ can be identified with the genus g quasimap moduli space to $G(r, n)$ with parameterized component C and no marked points, as described in [CKM, Section 7.2]. The subscheme $\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)$ is the quasimap moduli space to $X_\ell = W // G$ with parameterized component C , and consequently, by [CKM, Theorem 7.2.2], it admits a perfect obstruction theory, described explicitly as follows (cf [CKM, Section 4.5]).

Proposition 1. *The scheme $\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)$ admits a perfect obstruction theory given by*

$$\left(R^\bullet \pi_* \left[R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (\det \mathcal{E}^\vee)^{\otimes \ell} \right] \right)^\vee \rightarrow \tau_{[-1,0]} \mathbb{L}_{\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)}.$$

Here \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} are the universal subsheaf and quotient over $\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell) \times C$, pulled back from $\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n)) \times C$, and π is a projection from the product to $\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)$.

Proof. Let $\rho : \mathrm{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell) \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_G$ be the morphism sending the subsheaf $E \subset \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus n}$ to its dual E^\vee in the moduli stack Bun_G of rank r vector bundles over C . An analogue of Theorem 4.2 in [CKM] implies that the relative obstruction theory for ρ is quasi-isomorphic to $(R^\bullet \pi_* (F^\bullet))^\vee$, where F^\bullet is induced by

$R^\bullet T_W = [T_V|_W \rightarrow L_\theta^{\otimes \ell}]$, and is explicitly given by

$$F^\bullet = [\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}^{\oplus n}) \rightarrow (\det \mathcal{E}^\vee)^{\otimes \ell}].$$

Since \mathbf{Bun}_G is a smooth Artin stack with obstruction theory given by

$$(R^\bullet \pi_* R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}))^\vee[-1],$$

the absolute obstruction theory for $\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)$ is quasi-isomorphic to

$$\left(R^\bullet \pi_* \left[R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (\det \mathcal{E}^\vee)^{\otimes \ell} \right] \right)^\vee.$$

□

The virtual fundamental class $[\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)]^{vir} \in A_{e_\ell}(\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell))$ is then constructed using [BF]. Here $e_\ell = d(n - \ell) + (1 - g)(nr - r^2 - 1)$ is the virtual dimension of $\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)$. The compatibility (9) of virtual fundamental classes for the two targets X_ℓ and $G(r, n)$ follows formally from the compatibility of perfect obstruction theories, see [KKP]. Here, for concreteness, we simply cite the result of [CKM, Proposition 6.2.2] in our setting.

Proposition 2. *Assume $R^1 \pi_* (\det \mathcal{E}^\vee)^{\otimes \ell} = 0$. Then*

$$\iota_* [\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)]^{vir} = c_{top}(\mathbb{E}_\ell) \cap [\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))]^{vir}.$$

Note that this assumption is satisfied when $d\ell > 2g - 2$.

Remark 7. We note finally that the virtual class of $\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)$ and the compatibility (9) can also be efficiently obtained from the virtual cycle $[\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))]^{vir}$ via Manolache's framework in [Man] (see also [Fu, Chapter 12]). We preferred to use the setup of [CKM] in order to identify geometrically the scheme $\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)$ with a quasimap space to X_ℓ with fixed domain, improving the zero locus description (7).

3. VIRTUAL INTERSECTION NUMBERS

3.1. The virtual count of Theorem 1. We will first describe the top Chern class $c_{top}(\mathbb{E}_\ell)$ appearing in Proposition 2 explicitly, to aid in computing the virtual intersection numbers on $\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)$.

Let $\{1, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{2g}, \eta\}$ be a symplectic basis for the cohomology ring $H^*(C, \mathbb{Z})$. Consider the Künneth decomposition of the Chern classes of the universal subsheaf \mathcal{E} on the product $\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n)) \times C$,

$$(14) \quad c_i(\mathcal{E}^\vee) = a_i \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{2g} b_i^k \otimes \delta_k + f_i \otimes \eta,$$

for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Recall that $a_i = c_i(\mathcal{E}_p^\vee)$ in (10).

Lemma 1. *Assume $d\ell > 2g - 2$. Then \mathbb{E}_ℓ is a vector bundle of rank $d\ell - g + 1$, and*

$$(15) \quad c_{top}(\mathbb{E}_\ell) = (\ell a_1)^{d\ell - g + 1} e^{\frac{-\ell\phi}{a_1}} \in A^{d\ell - g + 1}(\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))),$$

where $\phi = \sum_{j=1}^g b_1^j b_1^{j+g}$. The expression on the right is well defined since $\phi^{g+1} = 0$.

Proof. Using Serre duality and the condition $d\ell > 2g - 2$, we have

$$H^1(C, (\det E^\vee)^\ell) = H^0(C, \omega_C \otimes (\det E)^\ell)^\vee = 0$$

for each point $E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus n}$ in $\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))$. Thus $\mathbb{E}_\ell = \pi_*((\det \mathcal{E}^\vee)^{\otimes \ell})$ is a locally free sheaf of rank $\chi(C, (\det E^\vee)^\ell) = d\ell - g + 1$.

The first Chern class of the universal subsheaf is

$$c_1(\det(\mathcal{E}^\vee)) = c_1(\mathcal{E}^\vee) = a_1 \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{2g} b_1^k \otimes \delta_k + d \otimes \eta,$$

and consequently the Chern character of the line bundle $\det(\mathcal{E}^\vee)^{\otimes \ell}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}(\det(\mathcal{E}^\vee)^{\otimes \ell}) &= e^{\ell(a_1 \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{2g} b_1^k \otimes \delta_k + d \otimes \eta)} \\ &= e^{\ell a_1 \otimes 1} (1 + \ell \sum_{k=1}^{2g} b_1^k \otimes \delta_k + (d\ell - \ell^2 \phi) \otimes \eta). \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi = \sum_{k=1}^g b_1^k b_1^{k+g}$. Using Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}(\mathbb{E}_\ell) &= \pi_*(\text{ch}(\det(\mathcal{E}^\vee)^{\otimes \ell}) \cdot (1 - (g-1)\eta)) \\ &= e^{\ell a_1} (d\ell - g + 1 - \ell^2 \phi). \end{aligned}$$

The following is a standard fact: for a vector bundle V and a line bundle L on a scheme X , such that $\text{ch}(V) = \text{ch}(L) \cdot (k + \alpha)$ where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha \in A^1(X)$, we have

$$c_t(V) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t^n c_n(V) = (1 + tc_1(L))^k \cdot \exp\left(\frac{t\alpha}{1 + tc_1(L)}\right).$$

Applying the above identity to our setting yields the total Chern class

$$c_t(\mathbb{E}_\ell) = (1 + t\ell a_1)^{d\ell-g+1} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{t\ell^2\phi}{1 + t\ell a_1}\right),$$

where t is a formal variable recording the degree. The coefficient of $t^{d\ell-g+1}$ in the above expression is given by

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d\ell-g+1} (-1)^i (\ell a_1)^{d\ell-g+1-i} \cdot \frac{(\ell^2\phi)^i}{i!} = (\ell a_1)^{d\ell-g+1} e^{-\frac{\ell\phi}{a_1}}.$$

In the above equality, we used the observation that $\phi^{g+1} = 0$ and $d\ell - g + 1 \geq g$. \square

We recall the virtual integrals involving the b classes and a classes over the Quot scheme $\text{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))$, explicitly calculated in [MO, Proposition 2] using torus localization.

Proposition 3 ([MO]). *For all $s \leq d$, and $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_s \leq g$,*

$$(16) \quad \int_{[\text{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))]^{vir}} (b_1^{j_1} b_1^{j_1+g} \dots b_1^{j_s} b_1^{j_s+g}) P = \int_{[\text{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))]^{vir}} \frac{a_1^s}{n^s} P.$$

Here $P = \prod_{k=1}^t a_{i_k}$, $1 \leq i_k \leq r$ is a monomial of weighted degree $e - s$. The expression evaluates to zero if $s > d$ or if superscripts of b_1 are repeated.

Proof of Theorem 1. The top intersection numbers involving powers of ϕ and a polynomial $P = \prod_{k=1}^t a_{i_k}$ are computed using Proposition 3:

$$(17) \quad \int_{[\text{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))]^{vir}} \phi^s P = \int_{[\text{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))]^{vir}} \frac{g!}{(g-s)!} \frac{a_1^s}{n^s} P,$$

whenever $s \leq \min\{d, g\}$. The integral is zero otherwise. The coefficient $\frac{g!}{(g-s)!}$ counts the number of terms of the form $b_1^{j_1} b_1^{j_1+g} \dots b_1^{j_s} b_1^{j_s+g}$, satisfying $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_s \leq g$, in the binomial expansion of ϕ^s .

Using the compatibility of virtual fundamental cycles in Proposition 2 and the explicit formula for the top Chern class of \mathbb{E}_ℓ in Lemma 1, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[\text{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)]^{vir}} P &= \int_{[\text{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))]^{vir}} c_{top}(\mathbb{E}_\ell) \cdot P \\ &= \int_{[\text{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))]^{vir}} (\ell a_1)^{d\ell-g+1} e^{-\frac{\ell\phi}{a_1}} \cdot P. \end{aligned}$$

Using (17), the above integral equals

$$\int_{[\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))]^{\mathrm{vir}}} (\ell a_1)^{d\ell-g+1} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\min\{d, g\}} \frac{(-\ell)^s}{n^s} \binom{g}{s} \right) \cdot P.$$

Note the condition $d \geq 2g - 1$, which implies that $d \geq g$, hence the sum inside the integral becomes $(n - \ell)^g / n^g$. Thus we obtain the required formula (12). \square

3.2. Hypersurfaces in projective space. We now specialize the formula in Theorem 1 to count maps to a smooth hypersurface $X_\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^r$. Let $e = d(r+1) + (1-g)r$ and $e_\ell = d(r+1-\ell) + (1-g)(r-1)$ denote the expected dimensions of $\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, \mathbb{P}^r)$ and $\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)$, respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)]^{\mathrm{vir}}} a_1^{e_\ell} &= \ell^{d\ell-g+1} \left(\frac{r+1-\ell}{r+1} \right)^g \int_{[\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, \mathbb{P}^r)]^{\mathrm{vir}}} a_1^e \\ &= \ell^{d\ell-g+1} (r+1-\ell)^g. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows easily from the Vafa–Intriligator formula (11). Note that this gives a virtual count of maps from C to X_ℓ sending e_ℓ distinct points p_1, \dots, p_{e_ℓ} to the intersection of X_ℓ with general hyperplanes $H_i \subset \mathbb{P}^r$, for $1 \leq i \leq e_\ell$.

We shall next relate the virtual invariant for the Grassmannians $G(r, n)$ and $G(n-r, n)$. Note that the Quot schemes $\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))$ and $\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, G(n-r, n))$ are not isomorphic outside the case $d = 0$. We denote by X_ℓ and \tilde{X}_ℓ the same hypersurface viewed in $G(r, n)$ and $G(n-r, n)$ respectively. The Vafa–Intriligator formula admits the following symmetry:

Proposition 4. *Let \mathcal{E} and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ denote the universal subsheaves of ranks r and $n-r$ over $\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)$ and $\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, \tilde{X}_\ell)$ respectively. Then*

$$\int_{[\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \prod_{k=1}^t c_{i_k}(\mathcal{E}_p^\vee) = \int_{[\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, \tilde{X}_\ell)]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \prod_{k=1}^t s_{i_k}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_p),$$

where c_{i_k} and s_{i_k} denote the Chern and Segre classes, and $1 \leq i_k \leq r$.

Proof. Observe that in Theorem 1, the constant factor and exponent of a_1 on the right hand side of (12) depend only on the integers n, ℓ, g , and d , and not on r . Note that the Segre class $s_i(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_p)$ takes the form

$$s_i(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_p) = h_i(\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_{n-r}),$$

where $\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_{n-r}$ are the Chern roots of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_p^\vee$ and h_i denotes the i th complete homogeneous symmetric polynomial. Using the identity $s_1(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_p) = c_1(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_p^\vee)$, we are

reduced to proving the following equality of integrals:

$$\int_{[\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \prod_{k=1}^t c_{i_k}(\mathcal{E}_p^\vee) = \int_{[\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, G(n-r, n))]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \prod_{k=1}^t s_{i_k}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_p).$$

Let S and \tilde{S} denote the universal subbundles on $G(r, n)$ and $G(n-r, n)$, respectively. Then the cohomology classes satisfy

$$c_i(S^\vee) = s_i(\tilde{S}) \quad \text{in } H^{2i}(G(r, n), \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{2i}(G(n-r, n), \mathbb{Z}).$$

We recall that the Vafa-Intriligator formula (cf. [MO, Theorem 3]) holds for any symmetric polynomial, in particular for a product of homogeneous symmetric functions. When the degree d is sufficiently large, one can invoke the enumerativity (cf. [B]) of the formula to complete the proof. Alternatively, the equality can be established by substituting the identities

$$\begin{aligned} e_i(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_r) &= h_i(-\zeta_{r+1}, \dots, -\zeta_n) \quad \text{for all } i \leq r, \\ J(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_r) &= (-1)^{r(n-r)} \tilde{J}(\zeta_{r+1}, \dots, \zeta_n), \end{aligned}$$

into the right-hand side of (11), where ζ_1, \dots, ζ_n are distinct n^{th} roots of unity. Here, e_i and h_i denote the elementary and complete homogeneous symmetric polynomials, respectively. To observe the second equality, we use the identity $n\zeta_i^{n-1} = \prod_{j \neq i} (\zeta_i - \zeta_j)$. \square

Proof of Corollary 1. We now consider X_ℓ as a subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^r = G(r, r+1)$. The class of a codimension i plane in X_ℓ is given by $a_i = c_i(S^\vee)$. Dually, for $\tilde{X}_\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^r = G(1, r+1)$, the universal bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_p \rightarrow \mathrm{Quot}_d(C, \tilde{X}_\ell)$ is a line bundle, and its Segre class satisfies

$$s_i(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_p) = c_1(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_p^\vee)^i = \tilde{a}_1^i.$$

Proposition 4 along with (13) implies the virtual count stated in Corollary 1

$$(18) \quad \int_{[\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \prod_{k=1}^t a_{i_k} = \int_{[\mathrm{Quot}_d(C, \tilde{X}_\ell)]^{\mathrm{vir}}} \tilde{a}_1^{e_\ell} = \ell^{d\ell-g+1} (r+1-\ell)^g,$$

where $i_1 + \dots + i_t = e_\ell$. The enumerativity of the virtual count follows from Theorem 2, which is proved in Section 4. \square

Remark 8. Let $X_\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^r = G(r, r+1)$ and consider the line $L = H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_{r-1}$ for general hyperplanes H_i . Then X_ℓ intersects L in ℓ distinct points. Suppose $t = e_\ell / (r-1)$ is a positive integer. A naive virtual count of degree d maps from a

genus g curve C sending t distinct points p_1, \dots, p_t to general points q_1, \dots, q_t on X_ℓ is given by

$$Q_{g,t}(X_\ell) := \int_{[\text{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell)]^{\text{vir}}} \left(\frac{a_{r-1}}{\ell} \right)^t = \ell^{d\ell-g+1-t} (r+1-\ell)^g.$$

Comparing this with the virtual Tevelev degree $\mathbf{vTev}_{g,t,d}$ from [BP, Theorem 1.5] (valid when $3 \leq \ell \leq r/2 + 1$ and $g+t \geq 2$), we find the relation

$$Q_{g,t}(X_\ell) = \left(\frac{\ell^\ell}{\ell!} \right)^t \mathbf{vTev}_{g,t,d}(X_\ell).$$

The virtual count $Q_{g,t}(X_\ell)$ is not expected to be enumerative. This does not contradict the enumerativity result established in Corollary 1 of Theorems 1 and 2, which regards counts of maps sending marked points on C to *planes in X_ℓ of codimension at most $r - \ell$* . The bound on the codimension is subtle and arises from the analysis of Quot boundary contributions, as explained in Section 4. This bound also draws a clear distinction between the map counts studied in this paper and Tevelev degrees which calculate the number of maps sending marked points on C to *points in X_ℓ* .

3.3. Virtual counts of maps to complete intersections in Grassmannians.

We now briefly describe how to calculate the virtual count of maps from C to complete intersections in $G(r, n)$. Let $\underline{\ell} = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_u)$, and let $X_{\underline{\ell}}$ be a smooth complete intersection in $G(r, n)$, cut out by a general section

$$s \in H^0(G(r, n), \det(S^\vee)^{\otimes \ell_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \det(S^\vee)^{\otimes \ell_u}).$$

Assume that $d\ell_i \geq 2g-2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq u$. Let $\text{Quot}_d(C, X_{\underline{\ell}})$ denote the subscheme of $\text{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))$ defined as the zero locus $Z(\tilde{s})$ of the induced section \tilde{s} of the vector bundle

$$\mathbb{E}_{\underline{\ell}} := \pi_* \left((\det \mathcal{E}^\vee)^{\otimes \ell_1} \right) \oplus \dots \oplus \pi_* \left((\det \mathcal{E}^\vee)^{\otimes \ell_u} \right).$$

The virtual fundamental class of $\text{Quot}_d(C, X_{\underline{\ell}})$, and its compatibility with the virtual class of the Quot scheme $\text{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))$, can be described by making obvious modifications to Propositions 1 and 2.

We note that the vector bundle $\mathbb{E}_{\underline{\ell}}$ has rank $\sum_{i=1}^u (d\ell_i - g + 1)$. The calculation in the proof of Lemma 1 immediately implies

$$(19) \quad c_{\text{top}}(\mathbb{E}_{\underline{\ell}}) = \prod_{i=1}^u (\ell_i a_1)^{d\ell_i - g + 1} e^{\frac{-\ell_i \phi}{a_1}},$$

where $\phi = \sum_{j=1}^g b_1^j b_1^{j+g}$. Using the compatibility of virtual fundamental classes and the explicit formula for the top Chern class, we obtain, for any monomial P in a_1, \dots, a_r of weighted degree $e_{\underline{\ell}} = e - \sum_{i=1}^u (d\ell_i - g + 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[\text{Quot}_d(C, X_{\underline{\ell}})]^{\text{vir}}} P &= \int_{[\text{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))]^{\text{vir}}} c_{\text{top}}(\mathbb{E}_{\underline{\ell}}) \cdot P \\ &= \int_{[\text{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))]^{\text{vir}}} \prod_{i=1}^u (\ell_i a_1)^{d\ell_i - g + 1} e^{\frac{-\ell_i \phi}{a_1}} \cdot P. \end{aligned}$$

Expanding the exponential in the last expression and using (17), the integral becomes

$$\int_{[\text{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))]^{\text{vir}}} \prod_{i=1}^u (\ell_i a_1)^{d\ell_i - g + 1} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\min\{d, g\}} \frac{(-\sum_{i=1}^u \ell_i)^s}{n^s} \binom{g}{s} \right) \cdot P.$$

Note that the condition $d \geq 2g - 1$ implies $d \geq g$, and the sum inside the integral equals $(n - \sum_{i=1}^u \ell_i)^g / n^g$. We summarize the discussion in the following theorem, which expresses the virtual intersection numbers of $\text{Quot}_d(C, X_{\underline{\ell}})$ in terms of the Vafa–Intriligator formula (11).

Theorem 3. *Assume $d\ell_i > 2g - 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq u$. For any monomial $P = \prod_{k=1}^t a_{i_k}$, $1 \leq i_k \leq r$ of weighted degree $e_{\underline{\ell}} = e - \sum_{i=1}^u (d\ell_i - g + 1)$, we have*

$$\int_{[\text{Quot}_d(C, X_{\underline{\ell}})]^{\text{vir}}} P = \frac{(n - \sum_{i=1}^u \ell_i)^g \cdot \prod_{i=1}^u \ell_i^{d\ell_i - g + 1}}{n^g} \int_{[\text{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))]^{\text{vir}}} \prod_{i=1}^u a_1^{d\ell_i - g + 1} P.$$

We note the following consequence of Theorems 2 and 3.

Corollary 2. *Let $X_{\underline{\ell}} \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a complete intersection such that $\text{Mor}_d(C, X_{\underline{\ell}})$ has expected dimension for d sufficiently large. There are exactly*

$$\prod_{i=1}^u \ell_i^{d\ell_i - g + 1} \left(r + 1 - \sum_{i=1}^u \ell_i \right)^g$$

maps from $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$ such that $f(C) \subset X_{\underline{\ell}}$ and f sends distinct points p_1, \dots, p_t to codimension i_k planes $H_{i_k} \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ in general position for $1 \leq k \leq t$. Here we assume $\sum_{k=1}^t i_k = e_{\underline{\ell}}$ and $1 \leq i_k \leq r - \sum_{i=1}^u \ell_i$ for all $1 \leq k \leq t$.

4. ASYMPTOTIC ENUMERATIVITY

We take up now the question of the enumerativity of the invariants, proving Theorem 2. We recall first of all that for sufficiently large degrees, the Quot scheme $\text{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))$ has long been known [BDW, PR] to be irreducible of the expected dimension $nd - r(n - r)(g - 1)$. Furthermore, in this situation, it has been known [B] that the intersection of a top monomial in the a classes is enumerative, counting the number of maps sending distinct points of the domain curve to general representatives of special Schubert cycles as prescribed by the monomial.

The enumerative interpretation of the virtual count of maps to a general hypersurface $X_\ell \subset G(r, n)$ in (12) is conditional on the space of maps to X_ℓ having expected dimension, which is the case when X_ℓ is known to be weakly g -convex, as discussed in Remark 5 of the Introduction. Establishing Conjecture 1 would greatly enlarge the class of targets for which this count of maps is enumerative.

To start the proof of Theorem 2, we assume therefore that the morphism space $\text{Mor}_d(C, X_\ell)$ has the expected dimension

$$e_\ell = (n - \ell)d - (r(n - r) - 1)(g - 1), \quad \text{for all } d \geq d_0.$$

The theorem is obtained by a variation of the argument of [B], as follows.

Let $B_m \subset \text{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))$ denote the boundary stratum parametrizing short exact sequences whose quotients have a torsion subsheaf of degree m . For such sequences, the subsheaf factors as

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow E_m \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^n,$$

where E_m/E is torsion of degree m and E_m is a subbundle of \mathcal{O}^n . We note the surjective morphisms

$$\tau_m : B_m \rightarrow \text{Mor}_{d-m}(C, G(r, n)), \quad \{E \subset \mathcal{O}^n\} \rightarrow \{E_m \subset \mathcal{O}^n\},$$

$$\tilde{\tau}_m : B_m \rightarrow C^{(m)} \times \text{Mor}_{d-m}(C, G(r, n)), \quad \{E \subset \mathcal{O}^n\} \rightarrow (\text{supp } E/E_m, E_m \subset \mathcal{O}^n).$$

There is a universal sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^n \text{ on } B_m \times C,$$

and a corresponding injective map of line bundles

$$(\det \mathcal{E}_m^\vee)^\ell \rightarrow (\det \mathcal{E}^\vee)^\ell \text{ on } B_m \times C.$$

By pushing forward under π , we obtain the morphism

$$(20) \quad \mathbb{E}_{\ell,m} = R^0\pi_*(\det \mathcal{E}_m^\vee)^\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_\ell.$$

We assume first that $d - m \geq d_0$ and $d - m > 2(g - 1)$. In this case, $\mathbb{E}_{\ell,m}$ is locally free and also a subbundle of \mathbb{E}_ℓ . Therefore, the section \tilde{s} of (8) factors as

$$(21) \quad \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\tilde{s}} \mathbb{E}_{\ell,m} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_\ell,$$

and we have that

$$(22) \quad \mathbf{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell) \cap B_m \subset \tau_m^{-1}(\mathbf{Mor}_{d-m}(C, X_\ell)).$$

For k with $1 \leq k \leq t$, indexing the factors in the monomial $\prod_{k=1}^t a_{i_k}$, pick distinct points $p_k \in C$, and general special Schubert varieties $Y_k \subset G(r, n)$ representing $c_{i_k}(S^\vee)$. Importantly, as explained in [B] and also used in [Mar], for each k there is a codimension i_k subscheme

$$D_k \subset \mathbf{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))$$

associated to p_k, Y_k such that

$$[D_k] = c_{i_k}(\mathcal{E}_{p_k}^\vee),$$

and

$$D_k \cap \mathbf{Mor}_d(C, G(r, n)) = \text{ev}_{p_k}^{-1}(Y_k).$$

Here $\text{ev}_p : \mathbf{Mor}_d(C, G(r, n)) \rightarrow G(r, n)$ is the evaluation map at p . The subscheme D_k is in fact a degeneracy locus representative of the universal Chern class $c_{i_k}(\mathcal{E}_{p_k}^\vee)$ constructed using the universal morphism $\mathcal{O}^{n^\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{p_k}^\vee$ on $\mathbf{Quot}_d(C, G(r, n))$. As explained in [B], we further have

$$(23) \quad D_k \cap B_m \subset \tilde{\tau}_m^{-1}(p_k \times C^{(m-1)} \times \mathbf{Mor}_{d-m}(C, G(r, n))) \cup \tau_m^{-1}(D_{m,k}).$$

Here we have set

$$D_{m,k} = \text{ev}_{p_k}^{-1}(Y_k) \subset \mathbf{Mor}_{d-m}(C, G(r, n)),$$

the corresponding degeneracy locus in $\mathbf{Mor}_{d-m}(C, G(r, n))$.

As $i_1 + \dots + i_t = d(n - \ell) - (r(n - r) - 1)(g - 1)$ and $i_k \leq n - \ell - 1$, we note the inequality

$$t > d \cdot \frac{n - \ell}{n - \ell - 1} - \frac{(r(n - r) - 1)(g - 1)}{n - \ell - 1}.$$

For sufficiently large d we have therefore

$$t > d \geq m.$$

Combining (22) and (23), we find

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell) \cap_{k=1}^t D_k \cap B_m &\subset \tau_m^{-1}(\text{Mor}_{d-m}(C, X_\ell)) \cap (\cap_{k=1}^t D_k \cap B_m) \\ &\subset \cup_I \tau_m^{-1}(\text{Mor}_{d-m}(C, X_\ell) \cap_{k \in I} D_{m,k}), \end{aligned}$$

where we let I index subsets of $\{1, \dots, t\}$ of cardinality at least $t - m$.

We calculate

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{codim } \cap_{k \in I} D_{m,k} &= d(n - \ell) - (r(n - r) - 1)(g - 1) - \sum_{k \in \{1, \dots, t\} \setminus I} i_k \\ &\geq d(n - \ell) - (r(n - r) - 1)(g - 1) - m(n - \ell - 1), \end{aligned}$$

for each I . The right-hand side is strictly larger than the dimension of the scheme $\text{Mor}_{d-m}(C, X_\ell)$ which is assumed expected,

$$\dim \text{Mor}_{d-m}(C, X_\ell) = (d - m)(n - \ell) - (r(n - r) - 1)(g - 1).$$

Thus the intersection

$$\text{Quot}_d(C, X_\ell) \cap_{k=1}^t D_k \cap B_m$$

is empty.

Finally, let us consider the case when m is sufficiently large so that $d - m < d_0$, where d_0 is as before the irreducibility threshold for $\text{Mor}_d(C, X_\ell)$. The actual dimensions of the schemes $\text{Mor}_{d-m}(C, G(r, n))$ are in this case bounded. The codimension (25) of the intersection $\cap_{k \in I} D_{m,k}$ is nevertheless bounded below by an expression increasing linearly with d . For d sufficiently large therefore, this intersection is empty in $\text{Mor}_{d-m}(C, X_\ell)$.

The theorem is argued similarly when X_ℓ is a complete intersection in $G(r, n)$, as long as the factors a_{i_k} of the integrand in Theorem 3 satisfy the codimension condition

$$i_k < n - \sum_{j=1}^u \ell_j, \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq t.$$

REFERENCES

- [B] A. Bertram, *Towards a Schubert calculus for maps from a Riemann surface to the Grassmannian*, Internat. J. Math. 5 (1994), 811–825.
- [BDW] A. Bertram, G. Daskalopoulos, R. Wentworth, *Gromov invariants for holomorphic maps from Riemann surfaces to Grassmannians*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1996), 529–571.
- [BF] K. Behrend, B. Fantechi, *The intrinsic normal cone*, Invent. Math. 128 (1997), 45–88.
- [BK] R. Beheshti, N. M. Kumar, *Spaces of rational curves on complete intersections*, Compositio Math. 149 (2013), 1041–1060.

- [BLLRST] R. Beheshti, B. Lehmann, C. Lian, E. Riedl, J. Starr, S. Tanimoto, *On the asymptotic enumerativity property for Fano manifolds*, Forum of Mathematics, Sigma 12 (2024) e112.
- [BP] A. Buch, R. Pandharipande, *Tevelev degrees in Gromov–Witten theory*, arXiv:2112.14824.
- [BV] T. Browning, P. Vishe, *Rational curves on smooth hypersurfaces of low degree*, Algebra Number Theory, 11(7):1657–1675, (2017).
- [BVY] T. Browning, P. Vishe, S. Yamagishi, *Rational curves on complete intersections and the circle method*, arXiv:2404.11123.
- [C] A. Cela, *Quantum Euler class and virtual Tevelev degrees of Fano complete intersections*, Ark. Mat. 61 (2023), 301 - 322.
- [CD] A. Cela, A. Doan, *On the fixed domain Gromov–Witten invariants of positive symplectic manifolds*, arXiv:2505.13120.
- [CL1] A. Cela, C. Lian, *Fixed-domain curve counts for blow-ups of projective space*, arXiv:2303.03433.
- [CL2] A. Cela, C. Lian, *Complete quasimaps to $B\mathbb{P}^r$* , arXiv:2505.14672.
- [CCH1] D. Cheong, I. Choe, G.H. Hitching, *Irreducibility of Lagrangian Quot schemes over an algebraic curve*, Math. Z. 300, 1265–1289 (2022).
- [CCH2] D. Cheong, I. Choe, G.H. Hitching, *Isotropic Quot schemes of orthogonal bundles over a curve*, Internat. J. Math. 32 (2021), no. 8, Paper No. 2150047.
- [CFKa] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, M. Kapranov, *Virtual fundamental classes via dg- manifolds*, Geom. Topol. 13 (2009), 1779 - 1804.
- [CFK1] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, B. Kim, *Wall-crossing in genus zero quasimap theory and mirror maps*, Algebr. Geom. 1 (2014), no. 4, 400 - 448.
- [CFK2] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, B. Kim, *Higher genus quasimap wall-crossing for semipositive targets*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 19 (2017), no. 7, 2051–2102.
- [CFK3] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, B. Kim, *Quasimap wall-crossings and mirror symmetry*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 131 (2020), 201–260.
- [CKM] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, B. Kim, D. Maulik, *Stable quasimaps to GIT quotients*, J. Geom. Phys. 75 (2014), 17–47.
- [FL] G. Farkas, C. Lian, *Linear series on general curves with prescribed incidence conditions*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 22 (2023), 2857-2877.
- [Fu] W. Fulton, “Intersection Theory”, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [HRS] J. Harris, M. Roth, J. Starr, *Rational curves on hypersurfaces of low degree*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 571:73–106, 2004.
- [H1] Matthew Hase-Liu, *A higher genus circle method and an application to geometric Manin’s conjecture*, arXiv:2402.10498.
- [H2] Matthew Hase-Liu, *A converse to geometric Manin’s conjecture for general low degree hypersurfaces* arXiv:2501.12506.
- [KKP] B. Kim, A. Kresch, T. Pantev, *Functoriality in intersection theory and a conjecture of Cox, Katz, and Lee*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 179 (1–2) (2003), 127-136.
- [KP] B. Kim, R. Pandharipande, *The connectedness of the moduli space of maps to homogeneous spaces*, Symplectic geometry and mirror symmetry (Seoul, 2000), 187–201.
- [L] C. Lian, *Degenerations of complete collineations and geometric Tevelev degrees of \mathbb{P}^r* , J. Reine Angew. Math. 817 (2024), 153–212.
- [LP] C. Lian, R. Pandharipande, *Enumerativity of virtual Tevelev degrees*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) 26 (2025), no. 1, 71–89.
- [LT] J. Li, G. Tian. *Virtual moduli cycles and Gromov-Witten invariants of algebraic varieties*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1998), 119 - 174.

- [Man] C. Manolache, *Virtual pull-backs*, J. Algebraic Geom. 21 (2012), 201-245.
- [Mar] A. Marian, *On the intersection theory of Quot schemes and moduli of bundles with sections*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 610 (2007), 13-27.
- [MO] A. Marian, D. Oprea, *Virtual intersections on the Quot scheme and Vafa-Intriligator formulas*, Duke Math. J. 136 (2007), 81–113.
- [MOP] A. Marian, D. Oprea, R. Pandharipande, *The moduli space of stable quotients*, Geom. Topol. 15 (2011), 1651 - 1706.
- [OSX] R. Ontani, S. Sinha, W. Xu, *Intersection Theory of Hyperquot Schemes on curves*, arXiv:2512.00578.
- [PR] M. Popa, M. Roth, *Stable maps and Quot schemes*, Invent. Math. 152 (2003), no. 3, 625–663.
- [RS] P. Rasul, R. Sebastian, *Irreducibility of some nested Quot schemes*, arXiv:2404.05532.
- [RY] E. Riedl and D. Yang, *Kontsevich spaces of rational curves on Fano hypersurfaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 748 (2019): 207–225.
- [Sa] W. Sawin, *The asymptotic in Waring’s problem over function fields via singular sets in the circle method*, arXiv:2412.14053.
- [Si] S. Sinha, *The virtual intersection theory of isotropic Quot schemes*, J. Geom. Phys. 198 (2024), 105-128.
- [ST] B. Siebert, G. Tian, *On quantum cohomology rings of Fano manifolds and a formula of Vafa and Intriligator*, Asian J. Math. (1997), no. 4, 679–695.

THE ABDUS SALAM INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS, STRADA
COSTIERA 11, 34151 TRIESTE
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY, 360 HUNTINGTON AV-
ENUE, BOSTON, MA 02115
Email address: amarian@ictp.it

THE ABDUS SALAM INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS, STRADA
COSTIERA 11, 34151 TRIESTE
Email address: ssinha1@ictp.it