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#### Abstract

We establish birational superrigidity for a large class of singular projective Fano hypersurfaces of index one. In the special case of isolated singularities, our result applies for instance to: (1) hypersurfaces with semi-homogeneous singularities of multiplicity asymptotically bounded by twice the square root of the dimension of the hypersurface, (2) hypersurfaces with isolated singularities whose Tyurina numbers satisfy a similar bound, and (3) hypersurfaces with isolated singularities whose dual variety is a hypersurface of degree sufficiently close to the expected degree.
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## 1. Introduction

The interest in birationally rigidity originates from the realization that, differently from the surface case, higher dimensional Fano varieties and Mori fiber spaces present a wide spectrum of possible birational characteristics, with rational varieties at one end of the spectrum and birationally superrigid varieties at the other end. The problem of determining birational links between different Mori fiber spaces finds its motivation in the minimal model program, and can be viewed as the counterpart of the question asking about the existence of flops between minimal models.

Birational rigidity has been extensively studied in dimension three, and several examples of birationally rigid Fano manifolds are also known in higher dimensions. Starting with Iskovskikh and Manin's theorem on smooth quartic threefolds, the case of smooth hypersurfaces of projective spaces has been studied and progressively understood, over the arc of forty years, in the papers [2,6,10, 15,20,22,23], culminating with the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 ([6, Theorem A]). For $N \geq 4$, every smooth hypersurface $V$ of degree $N$ in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ is birationally superrigid.
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This means that there are no birational modifications of $V$ into Mori fiber spaces other than isomorphisms, and it implies that $V$ is not rational. Since no other smooth Fano hypersurface is birationally superrigid, one obtains from this fact the complete list of smooth birationally superrigid Fano hypersurfaces. Actually, the proof in [6] has a gap, and the main result of the present paper (see Theorem 1.3 below) provides a new proof which works, in the smooth case, for all $N \geq 7$, the lower dimensional cases already being established in the earlier papers on the subject cited above. ${ }^{1}$

The main purpose of this paper is to extend this study to singular hypersurfaces, a setting that is still far from being understood.

The property of birational rigidity is quite sensitive to the singularities. For example, smooth quartic threefolds are birationally superrigid, but those with a double point are only birationally rigid since the projection from the point induces a birational automorphism. Furthermore, quartic threefolds that are singular (with multiplicity two) along a line can be birationally modified into conic bundles.

In low dimensions, there are sporadic results on the birational rigidity of quartic threefolds and sextic fivefolds with mild singularities (mostly ordinary double points) obtained in $[3,5,19,21]$. A contribution in higher dimensions was given by Pukhlikov in [24,25], where hypersurfaces with semi-homogeneous singularities are studied under a certain "regularity" condition requiring that, at each point of the variety, the intermediate homogeneous terms of the local equation of the hypersurface form a regular sequence. We recall that semi-homogeneous singularities (also known as ordinary multiple points) are isolated hypersurface singularities whose tangent cone is smooth away from the vertex.

Singular Fano hypersurfaces provide a rich setting to explore. The works on quartic threefolds show that, in low dimensions, the problem becomes rather delicate already when dealing with very mild singularities. The main result of this paper should be viewed as complementing those studies, by showing that the situation stabilizes in the strongest possible terms when the dimension is let grow and the "depth" of the singularities is maintained, in some suitable sense, asymptotically bounded in terms of the dimension.

We allow positive dimensional singularities, and avoid to impose any "regularity" conditions on the local equations of the hypersurface. The following defines the type of condition on singularities we consider.
Definition 1.2. Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ be a hypersurface, and let $P \in V$ be a closed point. For any pair of integers $(\delta, v)$ with $\delta \geq-1$ and $v \geq 1$, we say that $P$ is a singularity of type $(\delta, \nu)$ if the singular locus of $V$ has dimension at most $\delta$ near $P$ and given a general complete intersection $X \subset V$ of codimension $c=\min \{\delta+2, \operatorname{dim} V\}$ through $P$, the $(v-1)$-th power of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{X, P} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X}$ is contained in the integral closure of the Jacobian ideal $\mathrm{Jac}_{X}$ of $X$.

For instance, regular points are singularities of type $(-1,1)$, and semi-homogeneous hypersurface singularities of multiplicity $v$ are singularities of type $(0, v)$.

[^0]More generally, every isolated hypersurface singularity of multiplicity $v$ whose tangent cone is smooth away from a set of dimension two is a singularity of type $(0, v)$. In general, singularities of type $(\delta, v)$ are also of type $\left(\delta^{\prime}, \nu^{\prime}\right)$ for every $\delta^{\prime} \geq \delta$ and $v^{\prime} \geq v$.

We can now state our main result.
Theorem 1.3. Let $N, \delta$ and $\nu$ be fixed integers with $\delta \geq-1, v \geq 1$, and

$$
2 \delta+v+7 \leq \frac{2(N+1)}{\sqrt{N}}
$$

Then every hypersurface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ of degree $N$ with only singularities of type $(\delta, v)$ is a Fano variety with Picard number 1 and factorial terminal singularities, and is birationally superrigid. In particular, $V$ is not rational and $\operatorname{Bir}(V)=\operatorname{Aut}(V)$.

The proof of this theorem combines the method of maximal singularities with inversion of adjunction, Nadel's vanishing theorem, and properties of Mather log discrepancies. Even assuming that there are not singularities, the core of the proof is quite different from the original proof given in the smooth case in [6].

To illustrate Theorem 1.3 when $V$ is singular, we present three special cases in which the singularities are isolated. In order to keep the formulas in the statements as simple as possible, we apply the theorem under the stronger assumption that

$$
2 \delta+v+7 \leq 2 \sqrt{N}
$$

We start with the case of semi-homogeneous singularities.
Corollary 1.4. Every hypersurface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ of degree $N$ with semi-homogeneous singularities of multiplicity at most $2 \sqrt{N}-7$ is birationally superrigid.

Comparing this with the results of Pukhlikov, one sees that while the bounds on multiplicity in the corollary are more restrictive than those in his papers, no "regularity" assumption is required in our result. Furthermore, the hypothesis on the singularities being semi-homogeneous can be relaxed by allowing, for instance, the tangent cones to have singularities in dimension 1 or 2.

Another special case of the theorem can be formulated in terms of the Tyurina numbers of the singularities. Let $\tau_{P}(V), \tau_{P}^{\prime}(V)$ and $\tau_{P}^{\prime \prime}(V)$ be, respectively, the Tyurina numbers (at $P$ ) of $V$, of a general hypersurface in $V$ passing through $P$, and of a general complete intersection of codimension 2 through $P$.

Corollary 1.5. Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ be a hypersurface of degree $N$ with isolated singularities, and assume that for every $P \in V$

$$
\min \left\{\tau_{P}(V), \tau_{P}^{\prime}(V), \tau_{P}^{\prime \prime}(V)\right\} \leq 2 \sqrt{N}-8
$$

Then $V$ is birationally superrigid.

Since the Tyurina number is bounded above by the Milnor number, a similar corollary can be formulated in terms of the Milnor numbers of general restrictions of $V$, which are known as the Teissier-Milnor numbers of $V$ [27]. Using then a result of Teissier [28], we obtain the following result, which comes unexpected to us.

Corollary 1.6. Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ be a hypersurface of degree $N$ with isolated singularities, and assume that the dual variety $\check{V} \subset \check{\mathbb{P}}^{N}$ is a hypersurface of degree

$$
\operatorname{deg} \check{V} \geq N(N-1)^{N-1}-(4 \sqrt{N}+2 s-18)
$$

where $s$ is the number of singular points of $V$. Then $V$ is birationally superrigid.
Properties of singularities of type $(\delta, v)$ are discussed in Section 2, and the three corollaries above are proven in Section 3. The subsequent section gathers several definitions and properties of singularities and multiplicites; in order to deal with the singularities of the hypersurface, we work with Mather log discrepancies, which are recalled there. Finally, the last section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.3. All varieties are assumed to be defined over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$.
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## 2. Singularities of type $(\delta, v)$

In this section we discuss some properties of singularities of type $(\delta, v)$ introduced in Definition 1.2. For ease of notation, it is convenient to focus on affine hypersurfaces. Throughout this section, fix $n \geq 1$, and let $X \subset \mathbb{A}^{n}$ be a hypersurface. Recall that if $h\left(x_{1}, \ldots, n_{n}\right)=0$ is an equation for $X$, then the Jacobian ideal Jac ${ }_{X} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X}$ is cut out, on $X$, by the partial derivatives of $h$ :

$$
\mathrm{Jac}_{X}=\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{n}}\right) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X}
$$

We say that a closed point $P \in X$ is an isolated singularity if $X$ is smooth in a punctured neighborhood of $P$. Note that this includes the possibility that $X$ is smooth at $P$. For an isolated singularity $P \in X$, we define

$$
v_{P}(X):=\min \left\{v \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid\left(\mathfrak{m}_{X, P}\right)^{v-1} \subset \overline{\mathrm{Jac}_{X}}\right\}
$$

where the bar in the right-hand side denotes integral closure.

Remark 2.1. A closed point $P$ on a normal hypersurface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ is a singularity of type $(\delta, \nu)$ if and only if the singular locus has dimension at most $\delta$ and $\nu_{P}(X) \leq$ $\nu$ for a general complete intersection $X \subset V$ of codimension $c=\min \{\delta+2, \operatorname{dim} V\}$ through $P$.

Proposition 2.2. Assume that $n \geq 2$, and let $P \in X$ be an isolated singularity. Then for every general hyperplane section $H \subset X$ through $P$ we have

$$
v_{P}(H) \leq v_{P}(X)
$$

Proof. Teissier's Idealistic Bertini Theorem [28, 2.15 Corollary 3] implies that $\overline{\left.\mathrm{Jac}_{X}\right|_{H}}=\overline{\mathrm{Jac}_{H}}$. By the definition of integral closure, there is an inclusion $\left.\overline{\mathrm{Jac}_{X}}\right|_{H} \subset$ $\overline{\left.\mathrm{Jac}_{X}\right|_{H}}$. Since $\left.\mathfrak{m}_{X, P}\right|_{H}=\mathfrak{m}_{H, P}$, the proposition follows.

Remark 2.3. It follows by Proposition 2.2 that a singularity of type $(\delta, v)$ of a hypersurface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ is also of type $\left(\delta^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)$ for every $\delta^{\prime} \geq \delta$ and $\nu^{\prime} \geq v$.

A special case where $\nu_{P}(X)$ is easy to compute is when $P \in X$ is a semihomogeneous hypersurface singularity. We denote by $e_{P}(X)$ the multiplicity of $X$ at $P$, given by the degree of the tangent cone $C_{P} X$.

Proposition 2.4. If $P \in X$ is a semi-homogeneous hypersurface singularity, then

$$
v_{P}(X)=e_{P}(X)
$$

Proof. Let for short $m:=e_{P}(X)$. Let $f: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ and $g: \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n}$ be the blowups of $X$ and $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ at $P$, and let $F$ and $G$ be the respective exceptional divisors. Then $\widetilde{X} \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}^{n}$ is the proper transform of $X$ and $g^{*} X=\widetilde{X}+m G$. If $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ are affine coordinates centered at $P$, and $h\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$ is an equation defining $X$, then $\operatorname{mult}_{P}(h)=m$, and thus mult $\left(\partial h / \partial x_{i}\right)=m-1$. By hypothesis, $F=\widetilde{X} \cap G$ is a smooth hypersurface of degree $m$ in $G \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, defined by the vanishing of the degree $m$ homogeneous form $h_{m}$ of $h$. It follows that the homogeneous ideal

$$
\left(\frac{\partial h_{m}}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial h_{m}}{\partial x_{n}}\right) \subset \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]
$$

has no zeroes in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. This implies that Jac ${ }_{X} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}=\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-(m-1) F)$, and thus $\overline{\operatorname{Jac}_{X}}=f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-(m-1) F)$. The assertion follows then by the fact that $\left(\mathfrak{m}_{X, P}\right)^{k}$. $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}=\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-k E)$.

The Jacobian ideal retains important information of a singularity. For instance, it is a theorem of Mather and Yau [18] that, for an isolated hypersurface singularity $P \in X$, the Jacobian $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{X, P} / \operatorname{Jac}_{X}$ determines the analytic isomorphism class of the singularity. The dimension of this algebra is called the Tyurina number of the singularity. If, as above, $X$ is defined by $h\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$ in $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ and $P=(0, \ldots, 0)$, then the Tyurina number is given by

$$
\tau_{P}(X):=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbb{C}\left[\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]\right]}{\left(h, \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{n}}\right)}
$$

The Tyurina number is closely related to the Milnor number of the singularity, which is the number of spheres in the bouquet homotopically equivalent to the Milnor fiber and is computed by the dimension

$$
\mu_{P}(X):=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbb{C}\left[\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]\right]}{\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{n}}\right)}
$$

For every $i$, we define the $i$-th Tyurina number $\tau_{P}^{(i)}(X)$ and the $i$-th Teissier-Milnor number $\mu_{P}^{(i)}(X)$ of $X$ at $P$ to be, respectively, the Tyurina number and the Milnor number of a general complete intersection of codimension $i$ passing through $P .{ }^{2}$ For $i=0,1$, 2 , we just write $\tau_{P}(X), \tau_{P}^{\prime}(X), \tau_{P}^{\prime \prime}(X)$ and $\mu_{P}(X), \mu_{P}^{\prime}(X), \mu_{P}^{\prime \prime}(X)$.
Proposition 2.5. With the above notation, we have

$$
v_{P}(X) \leq \tau_{P}(X)+1
$$

Proof. Let for short $v:=v_{P}(X)$. By definition, we have $\left(\mathfrak{m}_{X, P}\right)^{\nu-2} \not \subset \overline{\mathrm{Jac}_{X}}$. In view of the valuative interpretation of integral closure, this means that there is a divisorial valuation $v$ on the function field of $X$, with center $P$, such that

$$
(v-2) \cdot v\left(\mathfrak{m}_{X, P}\right)<v\left(\operatorname{Jac}_{X}\right)
$$

Consider the sequence of ideals $\mathfrak{q}_{k}:=\left(\mathfrak{m}_{X, P}\right)^{k}+\operatorname{Jac}_{X} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X}$. Since $v\left(\mathfrak{q}_{k}\right)=$ $k \cdot v\left(\mathfrak{m}_{X, P}\right)$ for $1 \leq k \leq v-2$, we have a chain of strict inclusions of ideals

$$
\mathcal{O}_{X} \supsetneq \mathfrak{q}_{1} \supsetneq \mathfrak{q}_{2} \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq \mathfrak{q}_{v-2} \supsetneq \operatorname{Jac}_{X} .
$$

This implies that $\tau_{P}(X) \geq v-1$.
Remark 2.6. The inequality in Proposition 2.5 may look weak at a first glance, and in fact much stronger inequalities hold in many cases (for instance, for semihomogeneous singularities). The inequality is however optimal as stated. Examples where equality is achieved for each possible value of $v_{P}$ are given by the hypersurfaces $X_{d}=\left(x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n-1}^{2}+x_{n}^{d}=0\right) \subset \mathbb{A}^{n}, d \geq 1$, for which $\nu_{P}\left(X_{d}\right)=d$ and $\tau_{P}\left(X_{d}\right)=d-1, P$ being the origin in $\mathbb{A}^{n}$.

## 3. Proofs of the corollaries

In this short section we prove the three corollaries stated in the introduction.
Proof of Corollary 1.4. By Proposition 2.4, $P \in V$ is a singularity of type $\left(0, e_{P}(X)\right)$ for a general complete intersection $X \subset V$ of codimension two passing through $P$. Since $e_{P}(X)=e_{P}(V)$, the corollary follows directly from Theorem 1.3.
${ }^{2}$ The reader is cautioned that the notation adopted here differs with the notation originally used by Teissier [27] where the index $i$ refers to the dimension of the projective subspace cutting out the section, rather than the codimension of the section in $X$.

Proof of Corollary 1.5. Let $P \in V$ be one of the singularities of $V$, and fix $i \in$ $\{0,1,2\}$ such that $\tau_{P}^{(i)}(V) \leq 2 \sqrt{N}-8$. If $V^{(i)} \subset V$ denotes a general complete intersection of codimension $i$ through $P$, then we have $v_{P}\left(V^{(i)}\right) \leq 2 \sqrt{N}-7$ by Proposition 2.5. Since $i \leq 2$, it follows by Proposition 2.2 that if $V^{\prime \prime} \subset V$ is a general complete intersection of codimension two then $v_{P}\left(V^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq 2 \sqrt{N}-7$. Then the corollary follows from Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Corollary 1.6. Let $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{s} \in V$ be the singular points. It is proven in [29, Appendice II.3] that the dual variety has degree

$$
\operatorname{deg} \check{V}=N(N-1)^{N-1}-\sum_{j=1}^{s}\left(\mu_{P_{j}}(V)+\mu_{P_{j}}^{\prime}(V)\right)
$$

It follows by our assumption of the degree of $\check{V}$ that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{s}\left(\mu_{P_{j}}(V)+\mu_{P_{j}}^{\prime}(V)\right) \leq 4 \sqrt{N}+2 s-18
$$

Bearing in mind that, for every $j$, both $\mu_{P_{j}}(V)$ and $\mu_{P_{j}}^{\prime}(V)$ are positive integers, we deduce that $\mu_{P_{j}}(V)+\mu_{P_{j}}^{\prime}(V) \leq 4 \sqrt{N}-16$ for any given $j$, and hence

$$
\min \left\{\mu_{P_{j}}(V), \mu_{P_{j}}^{\prime}(V)\right\} \leq 2 \sqrt{N}-8
$$

Since $\tau_{P_{j}}^{(i)}(V) \leq \mu_{P_{j}}^{(i)}(V)$, we conclude by Corollary 1.5.

## 4. Log discrepancies and multiplicities

In this section we review some results related to singularities of pairs and multiplicities. General references on the subject are [16,17].

Let $X$ be a variety, and let $E$ be a prime divisor on a resolution of singularities $f: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$. We say that $E$ is a divisor over $X$; the image of $E$ in $X$ is called the center of $E$. When $X$ is normal, we say that the divisor $E$ is exceptional over $X$ if its center has codimension $\geq 2$ in $X$.

The divisor $E$ defines a valuation $\operatorname{val}_{E}$ over $X$, with valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}, E}$. If $Z \subset X$ is a proper closed subscheme and $I_{Z} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X}$ is its ideal sheaf, then we set $\operatorname{val}_{E}(Z):=\operatorname{val}_{E}\left(I_{Z}\right)$. If $Z=\sum c_{i} Z_{i}$ if a finite formal $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combination of proper closed subschemes $Z_{i} \subset X$, then we denote $\operatorname{val}_{E}(Z):=\sum c_{i} \operatorname{val}_{E}\left(Z_{i}\right)$.

We will use the following basic fact without further notice. We refer to [12, Lemma 2.3] for a proof.

Lemma 4.1. Let $X \rightarrow Y$ be a dominant morphism of varieties. If $E$ is a divisor over $X$, then the restriction of $\operatorname{val}_{E}$ to $\mathbb{C}(Y)$ is a valuation of the form $q \operatorname{val}_{F}$ for some divisor $F$ over $Y$ and some positive integer $q$.

We consider pairs of the form $(X, Z)$ where $X$ is a variety and $Z=\sum c_{i} Z_{i}$ is a finite, formal $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combination of proper closed subschemes $Z_{i} \subset X$. The pair is said to be effective if $c_{i} \geq 0$ for all $i$.

We say that a variety $X$, or a pair $(X, Z)$, is $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein if $X$ is normal and the canonical class $K_{X}$ of $X$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. The log discrepancy of a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein pair ( $X, Z$ ) along $E$ is defined to be

$$
a_{E}(X, Z):=\operatorname{ord}_{E}\left(K_{X^{\prime} / X}\right)+1-\operatorname{val}_{E}(Z)
$$

where $K_{X^{\prime} / X}$ is the relative canonical divisor. If $Z=0$, then we drop it from the notation and write $a_{E}(X)$. A $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein pair $(X, Z)$ is log canonical (respectively, $\log$ terminal) if $a_{E}(X, Z) \geq 0$ (respectively, $a_{E}(X, Z)>0$ ) for every prime divisor $E$ over $X$. The pair is canonical (respectively, terminal) if $a_{E}(X, Z) \geq 1$ (respectively, $a_{E}(X, Z)>1$ ) for every $E$ exceptional over $X$.

A log resolution of a pair $(X, Z)$ is a resolution $f: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ such that the exceptional locus $\operatorname{Ex}(f)$ of $f$ and each subscheme $f^{-1} Z_{i} \subset X^{\prime}$ is a Cartier divisor, and their sum $\operatorname{Ex}(f)+\sum f^{-1} Z_{i}$ has simple normal crossing support. If $Z=\sum c_{i} Z_{i}$, then we denote $f^{-1} Z:=\sum c_{i} f^{-1} Z_{i}$. If $(X, Z)$ is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein pair, then one defines the multiplier ideal of $(X, Z)$ to be the ideal sheaf

$$
\mathcal{J}(X, Z):=f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}\left(\left\lceil K_{X^{\prime} / X}-f^{-1} Z\right\rceil\right)
$$

where the round-up in the right-hand side is taken componentwise. The definition is independent of the choice of resolution.

Theorem 4.2 ([17, Theorem 9.4.17]). Let $(X, c Z)$ be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein pair where $Z$ is a subscheme and $c \geq 0$. Let $L$ and $A$ be Cartier divisors such that $\mathcal{O}_{X}(A) \otimes I_{Z}$ is globally generated and $L-\left(K_{X}+c A\right)$ is nef and big. Then

$$
H^{i}\left(X, \mathcal{J}(X, c Z) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}(L)\right)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad i>0
$$

The minimal log discrepancy of a $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein pair $(X, Z)$ along a proper closed subset $T \subset X$ is the infimum of all $\log$ discrepancies along divisors with center in $T$, and is denoted by $\operatorname{mld}(T ; X, Z)$. We will use the following inversion of adjunction property.

Theorem 4.3 ([13, Theorem 1.1]). Consider an effective pair $(X, Z)$ where $X$ is a normal variety with locally complete intersection singularities and $Z=\sum c_{i} Z_{i}$, and let $Y \subset X$ be a normal, locally complete intersection subvariety of codimension $e$ that is not contained in $\bigcup_{i} Z_{i}$. Then for every proper closed subset $T \subset Y$ we have

$$
\operatorname{mld}(T ; X, Z+e Y)=\operatorname{mld}\left(T ; Y,\left.Z\right|_{Y}\right)
$$

The log canonical threshold of an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein pair $(X, Z)$ is defined by

$$
\operatorname{lct}(X, Z):=\sup \left\{c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid(X, c Z) \text { is } \log \text { canonical }\right\}
$$

(where we set $\sup \emptyset=-\infty$ ). Note that, for any $c \geq 0, \operatorname{lct}(X, Z)>c$ if and only if $\mathcal{J}(X, c Z)=\mathcal{O}_{X}$. We denote by $\operatorname{lct}_{P}(X, Z)$ the $\log$ canonical threshold of $(X, Z)$ at $P$, defined as the the minimum of the $\log$ canonical thresholds $\operatorname{lct}\left(U,\left.Z\right|_{U}\right)$ over all open neighborhoods $U$ of $P$.

In a similar fashion, we define the canonical threshold of an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ Gorenstein pair ( $X, Z$ ) by

$$
\operatorname{ct}(X, Z):=\sup \left\{c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid(X, c Z) \text { is canonical }\right\}
$$

Note that $\operatorname{ct}(X, Z)>0$ if $X$ has terminal singularities. We denote by $\operatorname{ct}_{P}(X, Z)$ the canonical threshold of $(X, Z)$ at $P$.

A Mori fiber space is a normal projective variety $X$ with $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities, equipped with an extermal Mori contraction of fiber type $g: X \rightarrow S$ (so that $\operatorname{dim} S<\operatorname{dim} X, g_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}=\mathcal{O}_{S}$, rk $\operatorname{Pic}(S)=\operatorname{rk} \operatorname{Pic}(X)-1$, and $-K_{X}$ is relatively ample over $S$ ). A Mori fiber space is said to be birationally superrigid if there are no birational maps to other Mori fiber spaces other than isomorphisms.

The following result, known as the Noether-Fano inequality, is central for the method of maximal singularities. The result is essentially due to [15]. A proof using the minimal model program is given in [4]; see also [7] for a short, self-contained proof.

Theorem 4.4. Let $X$ be a Fano variety of Picard number 1 with terminal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial singularities. Suppose that there is a birational map $\phi: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ where $X^{\prime}$ is a Mori fiber space. Fix an embedding $X^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}^{m}$, and let $\mathcal{H}:=\phi_{*}^{-1}\left|\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}(1)\right|$ be the linear system on $X$ giving the map $X \rightarrow X^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{m}$. Let $B(\mathcal{H}) \subset X$ be the base scheme of $\mathcal{H}$, and let $r$ be the rational number such that $\mathcal{H} \subset\left|-r K_{X}\right|$. If $\phi$ is not an isomorphism, then

$$
\operatorname{ct}(X, B(\mathcal{H}))<1 / r
$$

We now turn to a variant (and more general) notion of log discrepancy, called Mather log discrepancy. While the usual log discrepancy is defined by comparing canonical divisors, this variant is defined by comparing sheaves of Kähler differentials.

Let $X$ be a variety of dimension $n$. Let $f: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ be a resolution of singularities, and let $\operatorname{Jac}_{f}:=\operatorname{Fitt}^{0}\left(\Omega_{X^{\prime} / X}\right) \subset \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}$ be the Jacobian ideal of the map. For every prime divisor $E$ on $X^{\prime}$, we define the Mather $\log$ discrepancy of a pair ( $X, Z$ ) along a prime divisor $E$ over $X$ to be

$$
\widehat{a}_{E}(X, Z):=\operatorname{ord}_{E}\left(\operatorname{Jac}_{f}\right)+1-\operatorname{val}_{E}(Z) .
$$

If $Z=0$, then we simply write $\widehat{a}_{E}(X)$.
Remark 4.5. If $X$ has locally complete intersection singularities, then $\widehat{a}_{E}(X)=$ $a_{E}(X)+\operatorname{val}_{E}\left(\mathrm{Jac}_{X}\right)(c f .[9$, Corollary 3.5]).

The minimal Mather log discrepancy of a pair $(X, Z)$ along a proper closed subset $T \subset X$ is the infimum of all Mather $\log$ discrepancies along divisors with
center in $T$, and is denoted by $\widehat{\operatorname{mld}}(T ; X, Z)$. The reader is cautioned that in general minimal Mather log dicrepancies do not satisfy an inversion of adjunction theorem analogous to Theorem 4.3.

Proposition 4.6. If $P \in X$ is a closed point on a variety $X$ of dimension $n$, then we have $\widehat{\operatorname{mld}}_{P}(X, n P) \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $E$ be an arbitrary divisor over $X$ with center $P$. Let $\pi: \mathbb{A}^{N} \rightarrow Y:=\mathbb{A}^{n}$ be a general linear projection, and let $Q:=\pi(P)$. We have $\left.\operatorname{val}_{E}\right|_{\mathbb{C}(Y)}=q \operatorname{val}_{F}$ where $F$ is a divisor over $Y$ with center $Q$ and $q$ is a positive integer. By taking the projection general enough, we can ensure that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{val}_{E}(P)=q \operatorname{val}_{F}(Q) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can assume that there is a diagram

where $X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ and $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ are resolutions such that $E$ is a divisor on $X^{\prime}$, and $F$ is a divisor on $Y^{\prime}$. Note that $\operatorname{ord}_{E}\left(g^{*} F\right)=q$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{E}\left(K_{X^{\prime} / Y^{\prime}}\right)=q-1$. Denoting by $h: X^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ the composition of $f$ with the projection to $Y$, we have $\operatorname{ord}_{E}\left(K_{X^{\prime} / Y}\right)=\operatorname{val}_{E}\left(\mathrm{Jac}_{h}\right)$. If $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are local parameters in $X^{\prime}$ centered at a general point of $E$, then $f$ is locally given by equations $y_{i}=f_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, and $\mathrm{Jac}_{f}$ is locally defined by the $n \times n$ minors of the matrix $\left(\partial f_{i} / \partial x_{j}\right)$. For a linear projection $\pi: \mathbb{A}^{N} \rightarrow Y=\mathbb{A}^{n}, \mathrm{Jac}_{h}$ is locally defined by a linear combination of the $n \times n$ minors of $\left(\partial f_{i} / \partial x_{j}\right)$. If the projection is general, then so is the linear combination, and we have $\widehat{a}_{E}(X)=\operatorname{val}_{E}\left(K_{X^{\prime} / Y}\right)+1$. Writing $K_{X^{\prime} / Y}=K_{X^{\prime} / Y^{\prime}}+$ $g^{*} K_{Y^{\prime} / Y}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{a}_{E}(X)=\operatorname{val}_{E}\left(K_{X^{\prime} / Y^{\prime}}\right)+\operatorname{val}_{E}\left(g^{*} K_{Y^{\prime} / Y}\right)+1=q a_{F}(Y) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $E$ is an arbitrary divisor over $X$ with center $P$, and $q \geq 1$, we deduce from (4.1) and (4.2) that $\operatorname{mld}_{P}(X, n P) \geq \operatorname{mld}_{Q}(Y, n Q)$. Then the proposition follows by observing that, since $Y$ is smooth of dimension $n$, we have $\operatorname{mld}_{Q}(Y, n Q)=0$.

We will use the following result from [12], stated here in a special case.
Theorem 4.7 ([12, Theorem 2.5]). Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}^{N}$ be a Cohen-Macaulay variety of dimension $n$, and let $E$ be a divisor over $X$. Let $Z \subset X$ closed subscheme of pure codimension $k$ whose ideal in $X$ is locally generated by a regular sequence. Then let

$$
\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n-k+1}
$$

be the morphism induced by restriction of a very general linear projection $\sigma: \mathbb{A}^{N} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{A}^{n-k+1}$, so that $\left.\phi\right|_{Z}$ is a proper finite morphism and $\phi_{*}[Z]$ is a cycle of codimension one in $\mathbb{A}^{n-k+1}$. Regard $\phi_{*}[Z]$ as a Cartier divisor on $\mathbb{A}^{n-k+1}$. Write $\left.\operatorname{val}_{E}\right|_{\mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{A}^{n-k+1}\right)}=q \operatorname{val}_{G}$ where $G$ is a divisor over $\mathbb{A}^{n-k+1}$ and $q$ is a positive integer. Then, for every $c>0$ such that $\widehat{a}_{E}(X, c Z) \geq 0$, we have

$$
q a_{G}\left(\mathbb{A}^{n-k+1}, \frac{c^{k}}{k^{k}} \phi_{*}[Z]\right) \leq \widehat{a}_{E}(X, c Z)
$$

We end this section by recalling some properties of multiplicities. If $Z$ is a scheme and $\xi \in Z$ is a (non necessarily closed) point, then the multiplicity of $Z$ at $\xi$ is defined to be the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity of the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{Z, \xi}$ and is denoted by $e_{\xi}(Z)(c f .[14$, Example 4.3.4]). If $T \subset Z$ is the closure of $\xi$, then we also denote this multiplicity by $e_{T}(Z)$.

If $Z$ is pure-dimensional, then the function $P \mapsto e_{P}(Z)$ is upper-semicontinuous on closed points ( $c f$. [1, Theorem (4)]), and we have $e_{T}(Z)=\min _{P \in T} e_{P}(Z)$ for any subvariety $T \subset Z$. Here the minimum is taken over the closed points $P$ of $T$, and is achieved for all points of a dense open subset of $T$. If $Z$ is a complete intersection subscheme of a variety $X$, and $T \subset Z$ is an irreducible component, then $e_{T}(Z)$ is the same as the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity of the ideal of $Z$ in $\mathcal{O}_{X, T}$ (cf. [14, Exercise 7.1.10(a)]).

The definition of multiplicity extends in a natural way to cycles. If $\alpha=$ $\sum n_{i}\left[Z_{i}\right]$ is a cycle on a variety $X$ (here each $Z_{i}$ is a pure-dimensional subscheme of $X$, without embedded points), and $T \subset X$ is a subvariety, then we define $e_{T}(\alpha):=\sum n_{i} e_{T}\left(Z_{i}\right)$, where we set $e_{T}\left(Z_{i}\right)=0$ whenever $T \not \subset Z_{i}$. This is well defined (i.e., it does not depend on the way we write the cycle, $c f$. [14, Example 4.3.4]).

Proofs of the following two basic properties can be found in [6, Section 8].
Proposition 4.8. Let $D$ be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on a smooth variety $X$, and suppose that $a_{E}(X, D) \leq 1$ for some prime divisor $E$ over $X$. If $P$ is any point in the center of $E$ in $X$, then $e_{P}(D) \geq 1$.

Proposition 4.9. Let $Z$ be a pure-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$, and let $\mathcal{H} \subset\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)^{\vee}$ be a hyperplane. Then for any general $H \in \mathcal{H}$ we have $e_{P}(Z \cap H)=e_{P}(Z)$ for every $P \in Z \cap H$.

We close this section with the following property, due to Pukhlikov.
Proposition 4.10 ([23, Proposition 5]). Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a hypersurface, and let $\alpha$ be an effective cycle on $X$ of pure codimension $k \leq n / 2$. Assume that $\alpha \equiv$ $m c_{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(1)\right)^{k}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $e_{S}(\alpha) \leq m$ for every closed subvariety $S \subseteq X$ of dimension $\operatorname{dim} S \geq k$ not meeting the singular locus of $X$. In particular, if $d=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sing}(X)$, then we have $e_{T}(\alpha) \leq m$ for every closed subvariety $T \subseteq X$ of dimension $\operatorname{dim} T \geq d+1+k$.
Remark 4.11. The statement of [23, Proposition 5] is only given for $k<n / 2$, but the proof can be extended to include the case $k=n / 2$ ( $c f$. [10, Remark 4.4]).

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.3

We start observing the following general property.
Lemma 5.1. Any normal hypersurface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ whose singular locus has codimension at least 4 is factorial.

Proof. If $\operatorname{dim} V \leq 3$ then $V$ is smooth and hence factorial. Assume then that $\operatorname{dim} V \geq 4$. The hypersurface $W \subset \mathbb{P}^{4}$ cut out by $V$ on a general linear 4space $\mathbb{P}^{4} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ is smooth. By the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem, both $\operatorname{Pic}(V)$ and $\operatorname{Pic}(W)$ are generated by the respective hyperplane classes, and so the restriction map $\operatorname{Pic}(V) \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(W)$ is an isomorphism. Since $W$ is smooth, the class map $\operatorname{Pic}(W) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}(W)$ is an isomorphism. On the other hand, the restriction of Weil divisors (which is well-defined in our setting) induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{Cl}(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}(W)$ by an inductive application of [26, Theorem 1]. It follows that $\operatorname{Pic}(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}(V)$ is an isomorphism.

Theorem 1.3, whose proof is postponed to the end of the section, will be deduced from the following theorem:

Theorem 5.2. Fix integers $N, \delta, v, r$ such that $v, r \geq 1$ and

$$
-1 \leq \delta \leq \frac{N}{2}-3
$$

Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ be a normal hypersurface of degree $N$ with a singularity of type ( $\delta, v$ ) at a point $P$ and with singular locus of dimension at most $\delta$. Let $B \subset V$ be a proper closed subscheme of codimension at least 2 , and assume that the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{V}(r) \otimes I_{B}$ is globally generated. Then

$$
r \operatorname{ct}_{P}(V, B) \geq \min \left\{1, \frac{2(N+1)}{(2 \delta+v+7) \sqrt{N}}\right\} .
$$

Proof. Since $N-\delta \geq 5, V$ is factorial by Lemma 5.1.
After replacing $B$ with the intersection of two general members of $D, D^{\prime} \in$ $\left|\mathcal{O}_{V}(r) \otimes I_{B}\right|$, we reduce to prove the theorem when $B=D \cap D^{\prime}$ is a codimension 2 complete intersection subscheme of $V$, cut out by two divisors $D, D^{\prime} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{V}(r)\right|$. We denote

$$
c:=\operatorname{ct}_{P}(V, B)
$$

and henceforth assume that $c<1 / r$.
Note that $N \geq 4$. Since the singular locus of $V$ has at most dimension $\delta$, Proposition 4.10 implies that for every closed subvariety $T \subset V$ of dimension $\operatorname{dim} T \geq \delta+2$ we have $e_{T}(D) \leq r$. It follows by Proposition 4.8 that the pair ( $V, c B$ ) has terminal singularities away from a set of dimension $\delta+1$.

We cut down by $\delta+1$ general hyperplanes through $P$. Let $\mathbb{P}^{N-\delta-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ be a general linear subspace of codimension $\delta+1$ passing through $P$, and let
$W \subset \mathbb{P}^{N-\delta-1}$ be the restriction of $V$ to this subspace. By inversion of adjunction (Theorem 4.3), $\left(W,\left.c B\right|_{W}\right)$ is terminal away from finitely many points, and is not canonical at $P$. This implies that $\operatorname{mld}\left(P ; W,\left.c B\right|_{W}\right) \leq 1$. Adding $P$ to the pair, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{mld}\left(P ; W,\left.c B\right|_{W}+P\right) \leq 0 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take one more hyperplane section. Let $\mathbb{P}^{N-\delta-2} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N-\delta-1}$ be a general hyperplane through $P$, and let

$$
X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N-\delta-2}
$$

be the restriction of $W$ to $\mathbb{P}^{N-\delta-2}$. We remark that, under our assumption on $\delta$ and $N$, we have $\operatorname{dim} X \geq 2$. By (5.1) and inversion of adjunction, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{mld}\left(P ; X,\left.c B\right|_{X}\right) \leq 0 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note, on the other hand, that $\left(X,\left.c B\right|_{X}\right)$ is log terminal in dimension one. In fact, we have the following stronger property.

Lemma 5.3. The pair $\left(X,\left.2 c B\right|_{X}\right)$ is log terminal in dimension one.
Proof. If $N=4$, then $\delta=-1, X$ is a smooth surface, and $\left.B\right|_{X}$ is zero dimensional. Clearly the lemma holds in this case. We can therefore assume that $N \geq 5$.

Let $C \subset X$ be any irreducible curve.
Proposition 4.10 implies that for every closed subvariety $T \subset V$ of dimension $\operatorname{dim} T \geq \delta+3$ we have $e_{T}(B) \leq r^{2}$. This means that the set of points $Q \in V$ such that $e_{Q}(B)>r^{2}$ has dimension at most $\delta+2$. Since $X$ is cut out by $\delta+2$ general hyperplane sections of $V$ through $P$, it follows by Proposition 4.9 that the set of points $Q \in X$ such that $e_{Q}\left(\left.B\right|_{X}\right)>r^{2}$ is finite. Therefore we have $e_{Q}\left(\left.B\right|_{X}\right) \leq r^{2}$ for a general point $Q \in C$.

Fix such a point $Q \in C$, and let $S \subset X$ be a smooth surface cut out by general hyperplanes through $Q$. By applying again Proposition 4.9, we see that $e_{Q}\left(\left.B\right|_{S}\right) \leq r^{2}$.

Since $\left.B\right|_{S}$ is a zero-dimensional complete intersection subscheme of $S$, the multiplicity $e_{Q}\left(\left.B\right|_{S}\right)$ is computed by the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity of the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\left.B\right|_{S}, Q} \subset \mathcal{O}_{S, Q}$ locally defining $\left.B\right|_{S}$ near $Q(c f$.[14, Exercise 7.1.10(a)]). Then [11, Theorem 0.1] implies that the $\log$ canonical threshold of $\left(S,\left.B\right|_{S}\right)$ near $Q$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\operatorname{lct}_{Q}\left(S,\left.B\right|_{S}\right) \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{e_{Q}\left(\left.B\right|_{S}\right)}}
$$

Since $e_{Q}\left(\left.B\right|_{S}\right) \leq r^{2}$ and $c<1 / r$, this implies that $\operatorname{lct}_{Q}\left(S,\left.B\right|_{S}\right)>2 c$, and therefore $\left(S,\left.2 c B\right|_{S}\right)$ is $\log$ terminal near $Q$. It follows by inversion of adjunction that $\left(X,\left.2 c B\right|_{X}\right)$ is $\log$ terminal near $Q$. As $Q$ was chosen to be a general point of an arbitrary curve $C$ on $X$, we conclude that $\left(X,\left.2 c B\right|_{X}\right)$ is log terminal in dimension one.

Lemma 5.3 implies that the multiplier ideal $\mathcal{J}\left(X,\left.2 c B\right|_{X}\right)$ defines a zero-dimensional subscheme $\Sigma \subset X$. We have $H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{J}\left(X,\left.2 c B\right|_{X}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}(\delta+3)\right)=0$ by Nadel's vanishing theorem (Theorem 4.2), and therefore there is a surjection

$$
H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(\delta+3)\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}(\delta+3)\right) \cong H^{0}\left(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}(\delta+3) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}$ because $\Sigma$ is zero dimensional. Keeping in mind that

$$
H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(\delta+3)\right) \cong H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{N-\delta-2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N-\delta-2}}(\delta+3)\right)
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{0}\left(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}\right) \leq h^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(\delta+3)\right)=\binom{N+1}{\delta+3} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5.4. There is a prime divisor $E$ over $X$ with center $P$ and log discrepancy

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{E}\left(X,\left.c B\right|_{X}+(\delta+2) P\right) \leq 0 \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that the center of $E$ on the blow-up of $X$ at $P$ has dimension $\geq \delta+2$.
Proof. Let $f: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ be a $\log$ resolution of $(X, B+P)$, and let $Y \subset X$ be a subvariety cut out by $\delta+2$ general hyperplane sections through $P$. We remark that $\operatorname{dim} Y \geq 2$, given our assumption on $\delta$ and $N$. Let $Y^{\prime} \subset X^{\prime}$ be the proper transform of $Y$. By Bertini's theorem, we can ensure that $Y^{\prime}$ intersects transversally the exceptional locus of $f$ (i.e., $Y^{\prime}$ intersects transversally each stratum of the exceptional locus that it meets), and that the induced map $Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ is a $\log$ resolution of $\left(Y,\left.B\right|_{Y}+P\right)$. By (5.2) and inversion of adjunction, we have $\operatorname{mld}\left(P ; Y,\left.c B\right|_{Y}\right) \leq 0$. This means that there is a prime exceptional divisor $F \subset Y^{\prime}$ with center $P$ in $Y$ and $\log$ discrepancy $a_{F}\left(Y,\left.c B\right|_{Y}\right) \leq 0$. There is a unique prime exceptional divisor $E \subset X^{\prime}$ such that $F$ is an irreducible component of $\left.E\right|_{Y^{\prime}}$. Note that $\left.E\right|_{Y^{\prime}}$ is reduced. Since $E$ is the only prime divisor of $X^{\prime}$ that is contained in either supports of the inverse images of $\left.B\right|_{X}$ and $P$ and whose restriction to $Y^{\prime}$ contains $F$, we have $\operatorname{val}_{E}\left(\left.B\right|_{X}\right)=\operatorname{val}_{F}\left(\left.B\right|_{Y}\right)$ and $\operatorname{val}_{E}(P)=\operatorname{val}_{F}(P)$. Then the lemma follows by adjunction formula.

Let $E$ be as in Lemma 5.4, and let

$$
\lambda:=\frac{\operatorname{val}_{E}(P)}{c \operatorname{val}_{E}\left(\left.B\right|_{X}\right)} .
$$

Lemma 5.5. $(N+1) \lambda>1$.
Proof. For a general linear projection $\tau: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N-\delta-3}$, let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N-\delta-3} \in$ $\mathfrak{m}_{X, P}$ be elements obtained by pulling back a regular system of parameters of
$\tau(P) \in \mathbb{P}^{N-\delta-3}$, and let $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\delta+3} \in \mathfrak{m}_{X, P}$ be $\delta+3$ general linear combinations of these elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N-\delta-3}$. Since $\delta+3 \leq N-\delta-3$, we can assume that $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\delta+3}$ are linearly independent.

We claim that if $h\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\delta+3}\right)$ is any nonzero polynomial in these variables, then

$$
\operatorname{val}_{E}(h)=\operatorname{mult}(h) \operatorname{val}_{E}(P)
$$

To see this, let $m:=\operatorname{mult}(h)$, and let $h_{m}$ be the initial term of degree $m$ of $h$. The center $C$ of $E$ in $\mathrm{Bl}_{P} X$ is contained in the exceptional divisor $E_{P}$ of $\mathrm{Bl}_{P} X \rightarrow X$. Note that, by Lemma 5.4, $C$ is a variety of dimension $\geq \delta+2$. By construction, there is a finite map from $E_{P}$ to the projective space Proj $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N-\delta-3}\right]$, and linear projection (a rational map) from this space to Proj $\mathbb{C}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\delta+3}\right]$. If $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\delta+3}$ are general, then $C$ dominates Proj $\mathbb{C}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\delta+3}\right]$, and therefore it cannot be contained in the hypersurface defined by the equation $h_{m}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\delta+3}\right)=0$ in $E_{P}$. Writing $E_{P}=\sum a_{i} E_{i}$, we have $\operatorname{val}_{E_{i}}(h)=m a_{i}$, and hence

$$
\operatorname{val}_{E}(h)=\sum \operatorname{val}_{E_{i}}(h) \operatorname{val}_{E}\left(E_{i}\right)=\sum m a_{i} \operatorname{val}_{E}\left(E_{i}\right)=m \operatorname{val}_{E}(P)
$$

which proves our claim.
Suppose now that $d$ is a positive integer such that

$$
d \operatorname{val}_{E}(P) \leq-a_{E}\left(X,\left.2 c B\right|_{X}\right)
$$

The lemma implies that for every nonzero polynomial $h\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\delta+3}\right)$ of degree $\leq d$ we have $\operatorname{val}_{E}(h) \leq-a_{E}\left(X,\left.2 c B\right|_{X}\right)$, and therefore $h \notin \mathcal{J}\left(X,\left.2 c B\right|_{X}\right) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X, P}$. This means that if $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X, P}$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space spanned by the polynomials $h\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\delta+3}\right)$ of degree $\leq d$, then the quotient map $\mathcal{O}_{X, P} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma, P}$ restricts to a injective map $\mathcal{V} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma, P}$. It follows that

$$
h^{0}\left(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}\right) \geq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V}=\binom{d+\delta+3}{\delta+3}
$$

Comparing with (5.3), we conclude that $d \leq N-\delta-2$, and hence we must have

$$
(N-\delta-1) \operatorname{val}_{E}(P)>-a_{E}\left(X,\left.2 c B\right|_{X}\right)
$$

Keeping in mind the definition of $\lambda$, this means that

$$
a_{E}\left(X,\left.(2-(N+1) \lambda) c B\right|_{X}+(\delta+2) P\right)=a_{E}\left(X,\left.2 c B\right|_{X}-(N-\delta-1) P\right)>0
$$

Since, on the contrary, we know that $a_{E}\left(X,\left.c B\right|_{X}+(\delta+2) P\right) \leq 0$ by Lemma 5.4, we conclude that $(N+1) \lambda>1$, as stated.

Let $J_{X} \subset X$ be the subscheme defined by $\mathrm{Jac}_{X}$. Since $X$ has locally complete intersection singularities, we have $\widehat{a}_{E}(X)=a_{E}(X)+\operatorname{val}_{E}\left(J_{X}\right)$ by Remark 4.5, and hence we have

$$
\widehat{a}_{E}\left(X,\left.c B\right|_{X}+J_{X}+(\delta+2) P\right) \leq 0
$$

by (5.4). By hypothesis, $V$ has a singularity of type $(\delta, v)$ at $P$. It follows by Proposition 2.2 that $\left(\mathfrak{m}_{X, P}\right)^{\nu-1} \subset \overline{\mathrm{Jac}_{X}}$, and thus we have $(\nu-1) \operatorname{val}_{E}(P) \geq$ $\operatorname{val}_{E}\left(J_{X}\right)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{a}_{E}\left(X,\left.c B\right|_{X}+(\delta+v+1) P\right) \leq 0 \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality in (5.5) can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{a}_{E}\left(X,\left.(1-(N-2 \delta-v-6) \lambda) c B\right|_{X}\right) \leq(N-\delta-5) \operatorname{val}_{E}(P) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next lemma implies that the pair in the right hand side of (5.6) is effective.
Lemma 5.6. $(N-2 \delta-v-4) \lambda \leq 1$.
Proof. By the definition of $\lambda$ and Proposition 4.6, we have

$$
\widehat{a}_{E}\left(X,\left.(N-2 \delta-v-4) \lambda c B\right|_{X}+(\delta+v+1) P\right)=\widehat{a}_{E}(X,(N-\delta-3) P) \geq 0 .
$$

The assertion follows by contrasting this inequality with (5.5).

## Let

$$
\pi: \mathbb{P}^{N-\delta-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N-\delta-4}
$$

be a very general linear projection. Let $Q:=\pi(Q)$ and $A:=\pi_{*}\left[\left.B\right|_{X}\right]$. Note that $A$ is a divisor on $\mathbb{P}^{N-\delta-4}$ of degree $r^{2} N$. The divisorial valuation val $E_{E}$ restricts to a divisorial valuation $q \operatorname{val}_{G}$ with center $Q$ on $\mathbb{P}^{N-\delta-4}$, where $q$ is a positive integer. By taking a general projection, we can ensure that $q \operatorname{val}_{G}(Q)=\operatorname{val}_{E}(P)$. Since, by Lemma 5.6, the pair in the right hand side of (5.6) is effective, and $N-\delta-5 \geq 0$, we can apply Theorem 4.7, which gives

$$
a_{G}\left(\mathbb{P}^{N-\delta-4}, \frac{(1-(N-2 \delta-v-6) \lambda)^{2} c^{2}}{4} A\right) \leq(N-\delta-5) \operatorname{val}_{G}(Q)
$$

Using again that we are dealing with effective pairs, we can apply inversion of adjunction. Thus, looking at the degree after restricting to a general line through $Q$, we conclude that

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\frac{(1-(N-2 \delta-v-6) \lambda)^{2} c^{2}}{4} A\right) \geq 1
$$

Since $\operatorname{deg} A=r^{2} N$ and $(N+1) \lambda>1$ (by Lemma 5.5), we get

$$
r c>\frac{2(N+1)}{(2 \delta+v+7) \sqrt{N}}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The inequality assumed in the theorem on the integers $\delta, \nu, N$ imply that $\delta \leq \frac{N}{2}-3$, which in turns implies that $N-\delta \geq 5$. Therefore $\delta$ and $N$ satisfy the hypotheses of both Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 5.2. In particular, we see that $V$ is factorial by Lemma 5.1. Adjunction shows that $\omega_{V} \cong \mathcal{O}_{V}(1)$, hence $V$ is Fano. The Lefschetz hyperplane theorem implies that the Picard group is generated by $\mathcal{O}_{V}(1)$.

The next step is to ensure that $V$ has terminal singularities. Suppose otherwise. Then there is a prime divisor $E$ over $V$ with $\log$ discrepancy $a_{E}(V) \leq 1$. Let $C \subset V$ be the center of $E$, and fix a point $P \in C$. Note that $C$ has codimension $\geq 2$ in $V$ since $V$ is normal. Let $s$ be a large enough integer so that the base locus of the linear system $\left|\mathcal{O}_{V}(s) \otimes I_{C}\right|$ has codimension $\geq 2$, and let $Z \subset V$ be the subscheme cut out by two general members of $\left|\mathcal{O}_{V}(s) \otimes I_{C}\right|$. Note that $\mathrm{ct}_{P}(V, Z) \leq 0$, since $V$ is not terminal at $P$ and $P \in Z$. On the other hand, since $P$ is a singularity of type ( $\delta, \nu$ ), Theorem 5.2 implies that

$$
\operatorname{ct}_{P}(V, Z) \geq \frac{2(N+1)}{r(2 \delta+v+7) \sqrt{N}}>0
$$

This gives a contradiction, and therefore $V$ must have terminal singularities.
In particular, $V$ is a Mori fiber space (over a point), and it makes sense to inquire whether it is birationally superrigid. Suppose by contradiction that $V$ is not birationally superrigid. Then there is a birational map $\phi: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ from $V$ to a Mori fiber space $V^{\prime}$ that is not an isomorphism. Fix a projective embedding $V^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}^{m}$, and let $\mathcal{H}=\phi_{*}^{-1}\left|\mathcal{O}_{V^{\prime}}(1)\right|$. Note that $\mathcal{H} \subset\left|\mathcal{O}_{V}(r)\right|$ for some integer $r \geq 1$. Let $B \subset V$ be the intersection of two general members of $\mathcal{H}$. The NoetherFano inequality (Theorem 4.4) implies that the pair ( $V, B$ ) has canonical threshold

$$
\operatorname{ct}(V, B)<\frac{1}{r}
$$

Then Theorem 5.2 implies that

$$
\operatorname{ct}(V, B) \geq \frac{2(N+1)}{r(2 \delta+v+7) \sqrt{N}}
$$

By comparing these two inequalities, we obtain

$$
2 \delta+v+7>\frac{2(N+1)}{\sqrt{N}}
$$

in contradiction with our assumptions. We conclude that $V$ is birationally superrigid.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The erratum [8] with an amended proof in the smooth case has been written to accompany [6].

