

An analytic approach to infinite-dimensional continuity and Fokker–Planck–Kolmogorov equations

VLADIMIR I. BOGACHEV, GIUSEPPE DA PRATO, MICHAEL RÖCKNER
AND STANISLAV V. SHAPOSHNIKOV

Abstract. We prove a new uniqueness result for solutions to Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov (FPK) equations for probability measures on infinite-dimensional spaces. We consider infinite-dimensional drifts that admit certain finite-dimensional approximations. In contrast to much of the previous work on FPK-equations in infinite dimensions, we include cases with non-constant coefficients in the second order part and also include degenerate cases where these coefficients can even be zero. A new existence result is also proved. Some applications to FPK equations associated with SPDE's are presented.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 60H15 (primary); 60J35, 69J60, 47D07 (secondary).

Introduction

In this paper we study the Cauchy problem for infinite-dimensional Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equations of the form $\partial_t \mu = L^* \mu$ for bounded Borel measures μ on the space $\mathbb{R}^\infty \times (0, T_0)$, where \mathbb{R}^∞ is the countable power of \mathbb{R} with the product topology, and second order operators

$$L\varphi = \sum_{i,j} a^{ij} \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} \varphi + \sum_i B^i \partial_{x_i} \varphi$$

defined on smooth functions of finitely many variables. Then $A = (a^{ij})$ is called the diffusion matrix and $B = (B^i)$ is called the drift coefficient. Such equations arise in many applications and have been intensively studied in the last decades. In particular, they are satisfied by transition probabilities of infinite-dimensional diffusions, which is an important motivation for this paper. The finite-dimensional case has

This work was supported by the RFBR projects 12-01-33009, 14-01-00237, 14-01-91158, 14-01-90406-Ukr-f-a, the Simons-IUM fellowship of the Simons Foundation, and by the DFG through the program SFB 701 at the University of Bielefeld. It was completed during a visit to the De Giorgi Center of the Scuola Normale Superiore in Pisa.

Received March 10, 2013; accepted in revised version October 11, 2013.

been studied in depth by many authors (see the recent surveys [11] and [13]); in particular, there is an extensive literature on regularity and uniqueness of solutions to Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equations for measures on finite-dimensional spaces, see [3, 9–11, 13, 19, 29, 35], and the references there. The infinite-dimensional case is considerably less studied, although there is also a vast literature devoted to this case (see, *e.g.*, [5, 7, 8, 16, 25, 32], and the references there).

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 1 we introduce a general class of Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equations in infinite dimensions and prove some preliminary results. In Section 2 we prove uniqueness of probability solutions for these equations under a certain approximative condition (which is a condition on all components of the drift term in a certain uniform way), which considerably generalizes our previous uniqueness results in [7] and [8]. The main difference with the finite-dimensional case is that in the latter the global integrability of the coefficients a^{ij} and B^i with respect to the solution ensures its uniqueness, but there is no infinite-dimensional analog of this simple sufficient condition. What we prove is only a partial analog (Example 2.1(ii) formally gives a full analog, but the condition on the norm of the whole drift is very restrictive in infinite dimensions). More precisely, we establish two uniqueness results: Theorem 2.3 (nondegenerate diffusion matrices) and Theorem 2.5 that applies also to degenerate equations, in particular to fully degenerate transport (or continuity) equations including the continuity equation associated to $2d$ -Navier-Stokes equation.

In Section 3 we address the question of existence of solutions to our general FPK-equations and prove Theorem 3.1 which implies existence under quite broad assumptions, in particular, for stochastic Navier-Stokes equations over domains in \mathbb{R}^d for all dimensions d . In Section 2 and Section 3 we also consider examples that include two other types of SPDEs, namely, stochastic reaction diffusion equations on a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^d (Example 2.10) and Burgers equation (Example 2.11) on the interval $(0, 1)$; their mixture is considered in Example 2.12. More precisely, we consider the equation with a constant diagonal A and the drift B of the form $B(u) = D^2u + D(u^m) - u^{2l+1}$, where the last term produces some smoothing effect, which enables us to cover the case of arbitrary $m > 2$.

The approach and assumptions in this work differ from those in our earlier paper [5], where probabilistic tools were employed. Here we develop a purely analytic approach without stochastic analysis and (for the first time in infinite dimension) also include the case of nonconstant diffusion matrices. The techniques are also different from the ones in [5, 7], and [17], where measures on Hilbert spaces were considered, but the essential difference is not the type of infinite-dimensional spaces, but rather the method of proof which could be called *approximative Holmgren method*, the idea of which is to multiply the original equation by a solution of a certain equation approximating the adjoint equation (but not the exact adjoint equation as in Holmgren's method) and obtain after integration certain estimates (which replace exact equalities in the classical Holmgren method).

Let us illustrate our approach by the one-dimensional case. Suppose that

$$Lu = aD^2u + bDu,$$

where $a \geq 0$ is a constant. We are going to establish the uniqueness of a probability solution to the equation $\partial_t \mu = D_x^2(a\mu) - D_x(b\mu)$. Assume that one can approximate b by a nice sequence of smooth vector fields b_k . For any $s \in (0, T_0)$ and $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$ we can solve the adjoint Cauchy problem

$$\partial_t f_k + aD_x^2 f_k + b_k D_x f_k = 0, \quad f_k|_{t=s} = \psi.$$

By the maximum principle $|f_k| \leq \max |\psi|$. Let $\mu = \mu^1 - \mu^2$ be the difference of two different probability solutions μ^1 and μ^2 . Multiplying the equation $\partial_t \mu = D_x^2(a\mu) - D_x(b\mu)$ by the function f_k and integrating by parts we obtain the equality

$$\int \psi d\mu_s = \int_0^s \int (b - b_k) D_x f_k d\mu_t dt.$$

The main difficulty is to prove that the right-hand side tends to zero. We need some estimates on $|D_x f_k|$. There are two different cases.

(I) Let $a \neq 0$. Then multiplying the equation for $\mu^1 + \mu^2$ by the function f_k^2 , integrating by parts and using the Cauchy inequality we have

$$\int_0^s \int a |D_x f_k|^2 d(\mu_t^1 + \mu_t^2) dt \leq \max |\psi|^2 \left(1 + a^{-1} \int_0^s \int |b - b_k|^2 d(\mu_t^1 + \mu_t^2) dt \right).$$

Assume that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^s \int |b - b_k|^2 d(\mu_t^1 + \mu_t^2) = 0.$$

According to the above estimate

$$\int \psi d\mu_s \leq \left(\int_0^s \int |b - b_k|^2 d(\mu_t^1 + \mu_t^2) dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^s \int |D_x f_k|^2 d(\mu_t^1 + \mu_t^2) dt \right)^{1/2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{if } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

So we have

$$\int \psi d\mu_s \leq 0$$

for every $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$, which gives the equality $\mu_s = \mu_s^1 - \mu_s^2 = 0$.

(II) Let $a = 0$. The required estimate can be obtained by the maximum principle. Let us differentiate the equation $\partial_t f_k + b_k D_x f_k = 0$ in x and multiply the result by the function $D_x f_k$. We obtain

$$\partial_t v_k + b_k D_x v_k + 2D_x b_k v = 0, \quad v_k = |D_x f_k|^2 / 2.$$

The main difficulty is that in general the functions $D_x b_k$ are not bounded from above uniformly in k . Assume that there exists a sequence of smooth functions $V_k \geq 1$ on \mathbb{R}^1 such that

$$LV_k = b_k D_x V_k \leq (C - 2D_x b_k) V_k$$

for some number C and every k . Then the function $w_k = v_k/V_k$ satisfies the inequality

$$\partial_t w_k + b_k D_x w_k + C w_k \geq 0$$

and the maximum principle gives the estimate $w_k(x, t) \leq e^{C(s-t)} \max w_k(x, 0)$. So we have

$$|D_x f_k(x, t)| \leq e^{C(s-t)/2} \sqrt{V_k(x)} \max |D_x \psi|.$$

Assume that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{T_0} \int |b - b_k| \sqrt{V_k} d(\mu_t^1 + \mu_t^2) dt = 0.$$

Then, letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we arrive at the inequality $\int \psi d\mu_s \leq 0$. Hence $\mu_s = 0$.

The same method works equally well also in the infinite-dimensional case, as we shall see below; a more detailed study of the finite-dimensional case is presented in our paper [6].

1. Framework and preliminaries

Let us describe our framework. Let $B = (B^i)$ be a sequence of Borel functions on $\mathbb{R}^\infty \times (0, T_0)$, where $T_0 > 0$ is fixed, and let a^{ij} be Borel functions on $\mathbb{R}^\infty \times (0, T_0)$. Let $A = (a^{ij})$, $B = (B^i)$. Let us consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mu = L^* \mu, \\ \mu|_{t=0} = \nu, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where L^* is the formal adjoint operator for a differential operator L defined by

$$L\varphi(x, t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^\infty a^{ij}(x, t) \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} \varphi(x, t) + \sum_{i=1}^\infty B^i(x, t) \partial_{x_i} \varphi(x, t)$$

for every smooth function φ depending on finitely many coordinates of x , $\partial_{x_i} \varphi$ denotes the partial derivative with respect to x_i . Equations of this form are usually called Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equations.

Throughout this paper “a measure” means a bounded signed measure (not necessarily nonnegative, although our principal results will be concerned with probability measures). The total variation of a measure μ is denoted by $|\mu|$. Let J be an interval in $[0, +\infty)$. We use the standard notation $C(\mathbb{R}^k \times J)$ and $C^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^k \times J)$ for the class of real continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^k \times J$ and its subclass consisting of all functions f having continuous partial derivatives $\partial_t f$, $\partial_{x_i} f$ and $\partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} f$ (the latter will be also denoted by $\partial_{x_i x_j} f$). Let $C_b(\mathbb{R}^k \times J)$ and $C_b^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^k \times J)$ denote the subclasses in these classes consisting of bounded functions and functions f with bounded derivatives $\partial_t f$, $\partial_{x_i} f$ and $\partial_{x_i x_j} f$, respectively, and $C_0^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^k \times J)$ is the

subspace in $C_b^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^k \times J)$ consisting of functions with compact support in $\mathbb{R}^k \times J$. For functions on \mathbb{R}^k we use the standard symbols $C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^k)$, $C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^k)$ and $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^k)$ for the classes of twice continuously differentiable functions with compact support, functions with bounded continuous derivatives up to the second order, and infinitely differentiable functions with compact support, respectively.

The inner product in \mathbb{R}^n will be denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$; in the case of L^2 -spaces we write $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ for its inner product and the corresponding norm is denoted by $\| \cdot \|_2$. The L^p -norm will be denoted by $\| \cdot \|_p$. The norm $\| \cdot \|_{p,k}$ in the Sobolev space $H^{p,k}(U)$ of all functions on a domain U belonging to $L^p(U)$ along with their generalized partial derivatives up to order k is defined as the sum of the L^p -norms of all partial derivatives up to order k (including $k = 0$).

Let $P_N: \mathbb{R}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$, $P_N x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$. Given a function φ on \mathbb{R}^k we denote by the same symbol the function on \mathbb{R}^∞ defined by $\varphi(x) := \varphi(P_k x)$.

We shall consider Borel measures on $\mathbb{R}^\infty \times (0, T_0)$ of the form

$$\mu = \mu_t(dx) dt,$$

where each μ_t is a bounded Borel measure on \mathbb{R}^∞ (possibly signed), which means that for every Borel set B in \mathbb{R}^∞ the function $t \mapsto \mu_t(B)$ is measurable, the function $t \mapsto \|\mu_t\|$ is integrable on $(0, T_0)$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty \times (0, T_0)} f d\mu = \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} f(x, t) \mu_t(dx) dt$$

for every bounded Borel function f on $\mathbb{R}^\infty \times (0, T_0)$; under the stated conditions the latter integral exists.

We shall say that a bounded Borel measure $\mu = \mu_t(dx) dt$ on $\mathbb{R}^\infty \times (0, T_0)$, where $(\mu_t)_{0 < t < T_0}$ is a family of bounded Borel measures on \mathbb{R}^∞ , satisfies the equation

$$\partial_t \mu = L^* \mu$$

if the functions a^{ij} , B^i are integrable with respect to the variation $|\mu|$ of μ and for every $k \geq 1$ and every function $\varphi \in C_0^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^k \times (0, T_0))$ we have

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \left[\partial_t \varphi + \sum_{i,j=1}^\infty a^{ij} \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} \varphi + \sum_{i=1}^\infty B^i \partial_{x_i} \varphi \right] d\mu_t dt = 0.$$

It is obvious that it is enough to have this identity for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^k \times (0, T_0))$.

It will be convenient to assume in some results below that the functions a^{ij} and B^i are defined on $\mathbb{R}^\infty \times [0, T_0]$. Due to the special form of μ described above the values of these functions at $t = 0$ and $t = T_0$ are not important for the equation.

Let ν be a bounded Borel measure on \mathbb{R}^∞ . We say that the measure μ satisfies the initial condition $\mu|_{t=0} = \nu$ if for every $k \geq 1$ and $\zeta \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^k)$ we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \zeta(x) \mu_t(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \zeta(x) \nu(dx).$$

Clearly, if $\sup_t \|\mu_t\| < \infty$, it suffices to have this equality for all $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^k)$.

We need the following auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $\mu = \mu_t(dx) dt$ be a solution to (1.1) such that $\sup_{t \in (0, T_0)} \|\mu_t\| < \infty$. Assume that $B^k \in L^1(|\mu|)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $0 < T < T_0$. Then for every number $k \geq 1$ and every function $\varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^k \times [0, T]) \cap C_b^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^k \times (0, T))$ the equality*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \varphi(x, t) \mu_t(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \varphi(x, 0) \nu(dx) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} [\partial_s \varphi + L\varphi] d\mu_s ds \quad (1.2)$$

holds for almost every $t \in [0, T]$. Conversely, (1.2) implies (1.1).

Proof. It is enough to prove this equality in the case where $\varphi(z, t) = 0$ if $|z| > R > 0$ for almost every $t \in [0, T]$. Let $\eta \in C_0^\infty((0, T))$. According to our definition we have

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} [\partial_t(\varphi\eta) + L(\varphi\eta)] d\mu_t dt = 0.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$-\int_0^T \eta'(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \varphi(x, t) \mu_t(dx) dt = \int_0^T \eta(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} [\partial_t \varphi + L\varphi] d\mu_t dt.$$

Hence the function

$$t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \varphi(x, t) \mu_t(dx)$$

on $(0, T)$ has an absolutely continuous version for which

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \varphi(x, t) \mu_t(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} [\partial_t \varphi + L\varphi] d\mu_t.$$

Therefore, for some constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$ the equality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \varphi(x, t) \mu_t(dx) = C + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} [\partial_s \varphi + L\varphi] d\mu_s ds$$

holds for almost every $t \in [0, T]$. Note that $\varphi(x, t)$ converges uniformly to $\varphi(x, 0)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \varphi(x, 0) \mu_t(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \varphi(x, 0) \nu(dx).$$

It follows that

$$C = \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \varphi(x, 0) \nu(dx),$$

which completes the proof of one implication. The converse is, however, obvious. \square

Remark 1.2. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\varphi(\cdot, t) = \psi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^k)$ for every $t \in [0, T], T < T_0$, then by (1.2) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \psi(x) \mu_t(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \psi(x) v(dx) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} L\psi(x, s) \mu_s(dx) ds \quad (1.3)$$

for almost all $t \in [0, T]$. Moreover, if J_ψ^μ denotes the set of all $t \in [0, T]$ such that equality (1.3) holds, then the closure of J_ψ^μ coincides with $[0, T]$ and the restriction of the mapping

$$t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \psi(x) \mu_t(dx)$$

to J_ψ^μ is continuous, since the right-hand side of (1.3) is continuous in t .

Remark 1.3. Let φ be as in Lemma 1.1. and assume that $T \in J_{\varphi(\cdot, T)}^\mu$. Then equality (1.2) holds with $t = T$. Indeed, $\varphi(x, t)$ converges uniformly to $\varphi(x, T)$ as $t \rightarrow T$. Let I be the set of all $t \in [0, T]$ such that equality (1.2) holds. Let us take a sequence $t_n \in J_{\varphi(\cdot, T)}^\mu \cap I$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = T$. Then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \varphi(x, t_n) \mu_{t_n}(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \varphi(x, T) \mu_T(dx)$$

and equality (1.2) holds for each t_n . Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain equality (1.2) with $t = T$.

2. Uniqueness of probability solutions

In this section we establish two different uniqueness results: first we consider non-degenerate diffusion matrices and then turn to the general case that includes fully degenerate equations. We start with stating our assumptions about A and B .

(A) $a^{ij} = a^{ji}$, each function a^{ij} depends only on the variables $t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\max\{i, j\}}$ and is continuous and for every natural number N the matrix $A_N = (a^{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$ satisfies the following condition:

- there exist positive numbers γ_N, λ_N and $\beta_N \in (0, 1]$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $t \in [0, T_0]$ one has

$$\gamma_N |y|^2 \leq \langle A_N(x, t)y, y \rangle \leq \gamma_N^{-1} |y|^2, \quad \|A_N(x, t) - A_N(y, t)\| \leq \lambda_N |x - y|^{\beta_N},$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the operator norm and $|\cdot|$ is the standard Euclidean norm.

Let ν be a Borel probability measure on \mathbb{R}^∞ and let \mathcal{P}_ν be some convex set of probability solutions $\mu = \mu_t(dx) dt$ to (1.1), i.e., $\mu_t \geq 0$ and $\mu_t(\mathbb{R}^\infty) = 1$ for every $t \in (0, T_0)$, such that $|B^k| \in L^2(\mu)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and the following condition holds:

(B) for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every natural number d there exist a natural number $N = N(\varepsilon, d) \geq d$ and a $C_b^{2,1}$ -mapping $(b_\varepsilon^k)_{k=1}^N : \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} |A_N(x, t)^{-1/2}(B_N(x, t) - b_\varepsilon(x_1, \dots, x_N, t))|^2 \mu_t(dx) dt < \varepsilon,$$

where $B_N = (B^1, \dots, B^N)$. We do not indicate dependence on d where it is meant. Let us illustrate condition (B) by several examples.

We shall use the following notation: given a sequence $\kappa = (\kappa_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of positive numbers, the weighted Hilbert space

$$l_\kappa^2 = \left\{ x = (x_n) : \|x\|_\kappa^2 = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \kappa_n x_n^2 < \infty \right\}$$

will be equipped with the inner product $\langle x, y \rangle_\kappa = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \kappa_n x_n y_n$.

Example 2.1.

- (i) Let B^k depend only on the variables t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k . Then in order to ensure our condition (B) we need only the inclusion $|B^k| \in L^2(\mu)$ for all $k \geq 1$. Indeed, we set $N = d$ and approximate each function B^k separately.
- (ii) Let $\alpha = (\alpha_k)_{k \geq 1}, \alpha_k > 0$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1/\alpha := (\alpha_k^{-1})_{k \geq 1}$. Suppose that a^{ij} satisfy condition (A) and there exists a positive number C independent of N such that

$$|A_N(x, t)^{-1/2}y| \leq C \|y\|_{l_{1/\alpha}^2}$$

for all x, t and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N, 0, 0, \dots)$. For example, this is true if $a^{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $a^{ii} = \alpha_i$.

Let $(B^k(x, t)) \in l_{1/\alpha}^2$ for μ -almost every (x, t) and let $\|B\|_{l_{1/\alpha}^2} \in L^2(\mu)$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every natural number d we pick a number $M > d$ such that

$$\sum_{k=M+1}^\infty \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \alpha_k^{-1} |B^k|^2 d\mu_t dt < \varepsilon/2.$$

Then for every B^k we find a smooth function b_ε^k depending on the first n_k variables such that

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \alpha_k^{-1} |B^k - b_\varepsilon^k|^2 d\mu_t dt < \varepsilon(2M)^{-1}, \quad k = 1, \dots, M.$$

Set $N = \max\{M, n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M\}$ and $b_\varepsilon^k \equiv 0$ for $k > N$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \alpha_k^{-1} |B^k - b_\varepsilon^k|^2 d\mu_t dt \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^M \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \alpha_k^{-1} |B^k - b_\varepsilon^k|^2 d\mu_t dt + \sum_{k=M+1}^N \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \alpha_k^{-1} |B^k|^2 d\mu_t dt < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) Finally, for a^{ij} as in (ii), we can combine both examples. Let $B = G + F$, where $G^k, F^k \in L^2(\mu)$, $G^k(x, t) = G^k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, t)$, $F(x, t) \in l^2_{1/\alpha}$ and $\|F\|_{1/\alpha} \in L^2(\mu)$. Obviously, for given B^k of this type the set of all probability solutions $\mu = \mu_t(dx)dt$ to (1.1) satisfying the previous integrability conditions is convex.

Remark 2.2.

- (i) Condition (B) is equivalent to the following condition: there exist an increasing sequence $N_l \rightarrow +\infty$ and $C_b^{2,1}$ -mappings $b_l: \mathbb{R}^{N_l} \times [0, T_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N_l}$ such that

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \left| A_{N_l}(x, t)^{-1/2} (B_{N_l}(x, t) - b_l(x_1, \dots, x_{N_l}, t)) \right|^2 \mu_t(dx) dt = 0.$$

- (ii) Assume that $a^{ij} = \delta^{ij}$. Let $\tilde{P}_N(x, t) = (P_N x, t)$ and let $\mathbb{E}_\mu[\cdot | \tilde{P}_N = (x, t)]$ be the corresponding conditional expectation. Then condition (B) is equivalent to the following condition: for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every natural number d there exists a natural number $N \geq d$ such that

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \sum_{k=1}^N \left| B^k(x, t) - \mathbb{E}_\mu[B^k | \tilde{P}_N = (x, t)] \right|^2 \mu_t(dx) dt < \varepsilon.$$

This condition is known in Euclidean quantum field theory as the Høegh-Krohn condition (see [1]) and has been used, e.g., to prove Markov uniqueness for semigroups (see [33]).

Theorem 2.3. *Assume that conditions (A) and (B) hold. Then the set \mathcal{P}_ν contains at most one element.*

Proof. Assume that two measures $\sigma^1 = \sigma_t^1 dt$ and $\sigma^2 = \sigma_t^2 dt$ belong to \mathcal{P}_ν . By our assumption about \mathcal{P}_ν , $\sigma = (\sigma^1 + \sigma^2)/2 \in \mathcal{P}_\nu$. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $|\psi(x)| \leq 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. By condition (B) for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a natural number $N \geq d$ and a $C_b^{2,1}$ -mapping $(b_\varepsilon^k)_{k=1}^N$ on $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T_0]$ such that

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \left| A_N^{-1/2}(x, s) (B_N(x, s) - b_\varepsilon(x_1, \dots, x_N, s)) \right|^2 \sigma_s(dx) ds < \varepsilon.$$

Fix $t \in J_\psi^{\sigma^1} \cap J_\psi^{\sigma^2} \cap J_{\psi^2}^{\sigma^1} \cap J_{\psi^2}^{\sigma^2}$. Let f be a solution to the finite-dimensional Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + \sum_{i,j=1}^N a^{ij} \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} f + \sum_{i=1}^N b_\varepsilon^i \partial_{x_i} f = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, t), \\ f(t, x) = \psi(x). \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

It is known (see, e.g., [31, Theorem 1.3] and also [18, 23], and [36]) that a solution exists and belongs to the class $C_b(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, t]) \cap C_b^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, t))$. Moreover, according to the maximum principle $|f(x, s)| \leq 1$ for all $(x, s) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, t]$. Set $\mu = \sigma^1 - \sigma^2$. The measure μ solves the Cauchy problem (1.1) with zero initial condition. Applying Lemma 1.1 and Remark 1.3 with $\varphi = f$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} f(x, t) \mu_t(dx) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \left[\partial_s f + \sum_{i,j=1}^N a^{ij} \partial_{x_j} \partial_{x_i} f + \sum_{i=1}^N B^i \partial_{x_i} f \right] d\mu_s ds.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \psi d\mu_t = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \langle B - b_\varepsilon, \nabla f \rangle d\mu_s ds. \tag{2.2}$$

Let us estimate the following expression:

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} |\sqrt{A_N} \nabla f|^2 d\sigma_s ds.$$

Using (1.2) for σ and $\varphi = f^2$, taking into account that $(\partial_s + L)(f^2) = 2f(\partial_s + L)f + 2|\sqrt{A_N} \nabla f|^2$, and recalling that $t \in J_{\psi^2}^{\sigma^1} \cap J_{\psi^2}^{\sigma^2}$, we obtain from (2.1) (again by Remark 1.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \psi^2 d\sigma_t - \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} f^2(x, 0) \nu(dx) \\ &= 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \left[|\sqrt{A_N} \nabla f|^2 + f \sum_{i=1}^N (B^i - b_\varepsilon^i) \partial_{x_i} f \right] d\sigma_s ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} |\sqrt{A_N} \nabla f|^2 d\sigma_s ds \\ & \leq 2 + \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \left| A_N^{-1/2}(x, s) (B_N(x, s) - b_\varepsilon(x_1, \dots, x_N, s)) \right|^2 \sigma_s(dx) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the estimate

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} |\sqrt{A_N} \nabla f|^2 d\sigma_s ds \leq 2 + \varepsilon. \tag{2.3}$$

Applying (2.2) and (2.3) and the fact that $|\mu| \leq \sigma^1 + \sigma^2 = 2\sigma$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \psi d\mu_t \leq 2\sqrt{\varepsilon(2 + \varepsilon)}.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \psi \, d\mu_t \leq 0.$$

Replacing ψ with $-\psi$ we arrive at the equality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \psi \, d\mu_t = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \psi \, d\sigma_t^1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \psi \, d\sigma_t^2$$

for every $t \in J_\psi^{\sigma^1} \cap J_\psi^{\sigma^2} \cap J_{\psi^2}^{\sigma^1} \cap J_{\psi^2}^{\sigma^2}$, hence for almost every $t \in [0, T_0]$. Thus, $\sigma^1 = \sigma^2$. \square

We now consider a typical example to which the previous theorem applies, namely, the Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equations associated with stochastic partial differential equations of reaction diffusion type on a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, i.e.,

$$du(t) = \sigma(u(t), t)dW(t) + B(u(t), t)dt, \quad t \in [0, T_0],$$

where $\sigma\sigma^* = A$ and $u(t) \in L^2(D)$. Furthermore, $W(t), t \geq 0$, is a cylindrical Wiener process in $L^2(D)$ on a stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), P)$ and $u(0)$ has the law ν . Below we denote by u generic elements of functional spaces such as $L^2(D)$ which we embed into \mathbb{R}^∞ (e.g., by using a suitable orthonormal basis) to be able to apply our framework above.

Example 2.4 (Reaction diffusion equations in dimension d with infinite trace). Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is an open bounded set and $\{e_k\}$ is an eigenbasis of the Laplacian on $L^2(D)$ with zero boundary condition, i.e., $\Delta e_k = -\lambda_k e_k, \lambda_k > 0$. Let $f: D \times \mathbb{R} \times [0, T_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Borel function. Set

$$B(u, t)(z) = \Delta u(z) + f(z, u(z), t), \quad z \in D,$$

that is,

$$B^i(u, t) = -\lambda_i u_i + \langle f(\cdot, u(\cdot), t), e_i \rangle_2, \quad u \in L^2(D), \quad u_i = \langle u, e_i \rangle_2.$$

Assume that the coefficients a^{ij} satisfy (A) with $\gamma_N = \gamma > 0$ independent of N . For instance, the last assumption is true if $a^{ij} = \langle S e_i, e_j \rangle_2$ for some invertible symmetric positive operator S on $L^2(D)$.

Assume also that there exist a Borel function $C \geq 0$ on $[0, T_0]$ and a number $m \geq 1$ such that

$$|f(z, u, t)| \leq C(t) + C(t)|u|^m.$$

Set

$$L\varphi = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} a^{ij} \partial_{e_i} \partial_{e_j} \varphi + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} B^i \partial_{e_i} \varphi,$$

where ∂_{e_i} denotes the partial derivative along e_i (and corresponds to ∂_{x_i} if we embed $L^2(D)$ into \mathbb{R}^∞ by the mapping $u \mapsto \langle (u, e_i)_2 \rangle$). Then there is at most one probability solution $\mu = \mu_t(du) dt$, i.e., $\mu_t \geq 0$ and $\mu_t(\mathbb{R}^\infty) = 1$ for every $t \in (0, T_0)$, to the Cauchy problem (1.1) such that

$$\int_0^{T_0} (1 + C(t)^2) \int_{L^2(D)} \|u\|_{2m}^{2m} \mu_t(du) dt < \infty.$$

Proof. The mapping $u \mapsto (u_i)$ defines an embedding $L^2(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\infty$. Extending B^i and a^{ij} to all of $\mathbb{R}^\infty \times [0, T_0]$ by zero we end up in the framework described above. Set

$$F^i(u, t) = \langle f(\cdot, u(\cdot), t), e_i \rangle_2.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |F^i(u, t)|^2 = \|f(\cdot, u(\cdot), t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(t)^2 + C(t)^2 \|u\|_{2m}^{2m}.$$

Thus we have $B^i = A^i + F^i$, where $A^i(u) = -\lambda_i u_i$ and $\|F\|_{l^2} \in L^2(\mu)$, and Example 2.1(iii) applies with $\alpha_k = 1$. □

Let now $d = 1$, $D = (0, 1)$ and $\Delta = \frac{d^2}{dz^2}$. We recall that according to [7] and [8] if $a^{ij} = \alpha \delta^{ij}$ with $\alpha > 0$ and if

$$f(z, u, t) = f_1(z, u, t) + f_2(z, u, t),$$

where $(u, t) \mapsto f_i(z, u, t)$ are continuous for each z and for some nonnegative functions $c_1, c_3 \in L^2[0, T_0], c_2 \in L^1[0, T_0]$ and all t, z, u we have

- (i) $|f_1(z, u, t)| \leq c_1(t)(1 + |u|^m)$,
- (ii) $(f_1(z, u, t) - f_1(z, v, t))(u - v) \leq c_2(t)|u - v|^2$,
- (iii) $|f_2(z, u, t)| \leq c_3(t)(1 + |u|)$,

then for every initial value v with $\|u\|_{2m}^{2m} \in L^1(v)$ there exists a probability solution μ of the Cauchy problem (1.1) such that $(1 + c_1(t) + c_3(t))^2(1 + \|u\|_{2m}^{2m}) \in L^1(\mu)$. It follows from the previous example that such a solution is unique, which improves the uniqueness result from [7] and [8] (where the diffusion operator was invertible).

We now present another uniqueness condition that applies to degenerate (even zero) diffusion matrices. Let us list our new assumptions (A') and (B').

(A') $A(x, t) = (a^{ij}(x, t))$, where each function a^{ij} is bounded and depends only on the variables $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\max\{i,j\}}, t$ and for every natural number N the matrix

A_N is symmetric nonnegative and the elements σ_N^{ij} of the matrix $\sigma_N := \sqrt{A_N}$ are in the class $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T_0])$.

Let ν be a Borel probability measure on \mathbb{R}^∞ and let \mathbb{P}_ν be some convex set of probability solutions $\mu = \mu_t(dx) dt$ of (1.1), i.e., $\mu_t \geq 0$ and $\mu_t(\mathbb{R}^\infty) = 1$ for every $t \in (0, T_0)$, such that $|B^k| \in L^1(\mu)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and the following condition holds:

(B') for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every natural number d there exist a natural number $N = N(\varepsilon, d) \geq d$, a C^∞ -mapping $b_\varepsilon = (b_\varepsilon^k)_{k=1}^N : \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$, a function θ_ε on \mathbb{R}^N , a function $V_\varepsilon \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $V_\varepsilon \geq 1$, and numbers $C_\varepsilon \geq 0$ and $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

(i) $\sqrt{V_\varepsilon(P_N x)}, |B_N(x, t) - b_\varepsilon(P_N x, t)|\sqrt{V_\varepsilon(P_N x)} \in L^1(\mu)$ and

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} |B_N(x, t) - b_\varepsilon(P_N x, t)|\sqrt{V_\varepsilon(P_N x)} e^{C_\varepsilon(T_0-t)/2} \mu_t(dx) dt < \varepsilon,$$

where $B_N = (B^1, \dots, B^N)$;

(ii) the matrix $\mathcal{B} = (\partial_{x_j} b_\varepsilon^i)_{i,j \leq N}$ and the operator

$$L_{A_N, b_\varepsilon} \varphi(x, t) = \sum_{i,j \leq N} a^{ij}(x, t) \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} \varphi(x, t) + \sum_{i \leq N} b_\varepsilon^i(x, t) \partial_{x_i} \varphi(x, t)$$

satisfy the estimates

$$\langle \mathcal{B}(x, t)h, h \rangle \leq \theta_\varepsilon(x) |h|^2 \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad L_{A_N, b_\varepsilon} V_\varepsilon(x, t) \leq (C_\varepsilon - \Lambda(x, t)) V_\varepsilon(x),$$

where

$$\Lambda(x, t) := 4 \sum_{i,j,k \leq N} \left| \partial_{x_k} \sigma_N^{ij}(x, t) \right|^2 + 2\theta_\varepsilon(x) + \delta_\varepsilon (1 + |x|^2)^{-1} |b_\varepsilon(x, t)|^2$$

for every $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T_0]$.

In the notation for N we omit indication of its dependence on d and ε ; in the notation for $b_\varepsilon, \theta_\varepsilon, V_\varepsilon, \delta_\varepsilon$ also the indication of dependence on d is omitted. Recall also that $|\cdot|$ is the standard Euclidean norm.

Theorem 2.5. *If (A') and (B') hold, then the set \mathbb{P}_ν contains at most one element.*

Remark 2.6. The above condition takes a simpler form in the special, but very important case of $A = 0$.

In the case $A = 0$ the above assumptions (B') can be reformulated in the following way:

- there exist natural numbers N_k with $N_k < N_{k+1}$, positive numbers δ_k and C_k , a vector field $b_k \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N_k} \times [0, T])$ and a positive function $V_k \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^{N_k})$ such that

(i) $\sqrt{V_k}, |b_k - B|\sqrt{V_k} \in L^1(\mu)$ and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} |b_k(P_{N_k}x, t) - B_{N_k}(x, t)| \sqrt{V_k(P_{N_k}x)} e^{C_k(T-t)/2} \mu_t(dx) dt = 0,$$

(ii) $V_k(x) \geq 1$ and

$$\langle b_k(x, t), \nabla V_k(x) \rangle \leq \left(C_k - \delta_k (1 + |x|^2)^{-1} |b_k(x, t)|^2 - 2 \max_{|h|=1} \langle \mathcal{B}_k(x, t)h, h \rangle \right) V_k(x)$$

for every k and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_k} \times [0, T]$.

Note that in typical examples

$$N_k = k, \quad b_k(x, t) = B_k(P_kx, t), \quad V_k(x) = V(P_kx),$$

where

$$V(x) = \exp\left(\kappa \|x\|_{l^2}^2\right) \quad \text{or} \quad V(x) = \exp\left(\kappa \|x\|_{l^2_\kappa}^2\right).$$

Remark 2.7.

(i) If $A = (a^{ij})$ is a constant matrix and $|b_\varepsilon(x, t)| \leq C_1(N) + C_1(N)|x|$, then the estimate $L_{A_N, b_\varepsilon} V \leq (C_\varepsilon - \Lambda)V_\varepsilon$ in condition (B')(ii) is fulfilled if

$$L_{A_N, b_\varepsilon} V_\varepsilon(x, t) \leq (C_\varepsilon - 2\theta_\varepsilon(x))V_\varepsilon(x) \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T_0].$$

(ii) If $A = (a^{ij})$ is a constant matrix and $|b_\varepsilon(x, t)| \leq C_1(N) + C_1(N)|x|^2$, then the estimate $L_{A_N, b_\varepsilon} V \leq (C_\varepsilon - \Lambda)V_\varepsilon$ in condition (B')(ii) is fulfilled if

$$L_{A_N, b_\varepsilon} V_\varepsilon(x, t) \leq (C_\varepsilon - 2\theta_\varepsilon(x) - \delta_\varepsilon |x|^2)V_\varepsilon(x)$$

for every $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T_0]$ and some $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$.

(iii) Let $a^{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$ and $a^{ii}(x, t) = \alpha^i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, t) \geq 0$. Suppose also that we have $|b_\varepsilon(x, t)| \leq C_1(N) + C_1(N)|x|^2$. Then the estimate $L_{A_N, b_\varepsilon} V \leq (C_\varepsilon - \Lambda)V_\varepsilon$ in condition (B')(ii) is fulfilled if

$$L_{A_N, b_\varepsilon} V_\varepsilon(x, t) \leq (C_\varepsilon - \Lambda(x, t))V_\varepsilon(x),$$

$$\Lambda(x, t) := 4 \sum_{i \leq N} \sum_{k \leq i} \frac{|\partial_{x_k} \alpha^i(x, t)|^2}{\alpha^i(x, t)} + 2\theta_\varepsilon(x) + \delta_\varepsilon |x|^2.$$

(iv) We note that (B') is a substantial generalization of a corresponding condition in [32] (see Section 4 there, where exponents of quadratic forms are used for V along with some additional restrictions).

Let us illustrate condition (B'). In (i)-(iii) in the next example we discuss condition (B')(ii) assuming that (B')(i) is already satisfied for some b_ε and only in (iv) we discuss how both (i) and (ii) can be ensured. Moreover, we deal with $V(x) = \exp(\kappa \|x\|_{l^2}^2)$ or $V(x) = \exp(\kappa \|x\|_{l^2_\lambda}^2)$ and $b'_\varepsilon(x) = -\lambda_i x_i + f^i(x, t)$. In particular, such V actually does not depend on ε in the sense that, for any N , on \mathbb{R}^N we use the restriction of a common function on \mathbb{R}^∞ .

Example 2.8. We assume here that $A = (a^{ij})_{i,j \geq 1}$ is a constant matrix, $A_N := (a^{ij})_{i,j \leq N}$ is symmetric nonnegative.

- (i) Let $b_\varepsilon^k(x, t) = -\lambda_k x_k + f^k(x, t)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Then the estimate $\langle \mathcal{B}h, h \rangle \leq \theta_\varepsilon(x) |h|^2$, $x, h \in \mathbb{R}^N$, follows from the estimate

$$\langle \mathcal{F}(x, t)h, h \rangle \leq \theta_\varepsilon(x) |h|^2 + \|h\|_{l^2_\lambda}^2, \quad x, h \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \mathcal{F} = (\partial_{x_j} f^i)_{i,j \leq N}.$$

- (ii) Set $V(x) = \exp(\kappa \sum_{k=1}^\infty x_k^2)$, where $\kappa > 0$. Then the inequality $L_{A_N, b_\varepsilon} V_\varepsilon \leq (C_\varepsilon - \Lambda) V_\varepsilon$ in (B')(ii) can be written as follows: for some numbers C_ε and $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ (dependent on ε and d) one has

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_\varepsilon(x) &\leq C_\varepsilon - \kappa (\text{tr} A_N + 2\kappa \langle A_N x, x \rangle + \langle b_\varepsilon(x, t), x \rangle) \\ &\quad - 2^{-1} \delta_\varepsilon (1 + |x|^2)^{-1} |b_\varepsilon(x, t)|^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Let us consider a more specific case:

$$b_\varepsilon^k(x, t) = -\lambda_k x_k + f^k(x, t), \quad f(x, t) = (f^k(x, t))_{k=1}^N, \quad \langle f(x, t), x \rangle \leq 0$$

and $|f^k(x, t)| \leq C_1 + C_2 |x|^2$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Assume that for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and every $N \geq 1$ one has

$$\varepsilon_0 (\langle A_N x, x \rangle + |x|^2) \leq \|x\|_{l^2_\lambda}^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Then condition (B')(ii) can be rewritten in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{F}(x, t)h, h \rangle &\leq \theta_\varepsilon(x) |h|^2 + \|h\|_{l^2_\lambda}^2, \quad x, h \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \mathcal{F} = (\partial_{x_j} f^i)_{i,j \leq N}, \\ \theta_\varepsilon(x) &\leq C_\varepsilon - \kappa \text{tr} A_N + 2^{-1} \kappa (\varepsilon_0 - \kappa) \|x\|_{l^2_\lambda}^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in this case we take V with $\kappa < \varepsilon_0/4$.

This assertion follows from (2.4) if we choose $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\delta_\varepsilon (1 + |x|^2)^{-1} |b_\varepsilon(x, t)|^2 \leq \varepsilon_0 \kappa |x|^2 + 1.$$

(iii) Let $V(x) = \exp(\kappa \|x\|_{l_\lambda^2}^2) = \exp(\kappa \sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n x_n^2)$. Then the inequality $L_{A_N, b_\varepsilon} V_\varepsilon \leq (C_\varepsilon - \Lambda) V_\varepsilon$ in (B')(ii) can be written as follows: for some constants C_ε and $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_\varepsilon(x) \leq C_\varepsilon - \kappa \left(\sum_{i=1}^N a^{ii} \lambda_i + 2\kappa \sum_{i,j \leq N} a^{ij} \lambda_i \lambda_j x_i x_j + \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i b_\varepsilon^i(x, t) x_i \right) \\ - 2^{-1} \delta_\varepsilon (1 + |x|^2)^{-1} |b_\varepsilon(x, t)|^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Let us consider again a more specific case:

$$\begin{aligned} b_\varepsilon^k(x, t) = -\lambda_k x_k + f^k(x, t), \quad f(x, t) = (f^k(x, t))_{k=1}^N, \\ \langle f(x, t), x \rangle_{l_\lambda^2} = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i f^i(x, t) x_i \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and $|f^k(x, t)| \leq C_1 + C_2 |x|^2$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Assume that for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and every $N \geq 1$ one has

$$\varepsilon_0 \sum_{i,j \leq N} a^{ij} \lambda_i \lambda_j x_i x_j + \varepsilon_0 |x|^2 \leq \sum_{i \leq N} \lambda_i^2 x_i^2.$$

Then condition (B')(ii) can be rewritten in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{F}(x, t)h, h \rangle \leq \theta_\varepsilon(x) |h|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i h_i^2, \quad x, h \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \mathcal{F} = (\partial_{x_j} f^i)_{i,j \leq N}, \\ \theta_\varepsilon(x) \leq C_\varepsilon - \kappa \sum_{i=1}^N a^{ii} \lambda_i + 2^{-1} \kappa (\varepsilon_0 - \kappa) \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^2 x_i^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in this case we take $V(x)$ with $\kappa < \varepsilon_0/4$.

This assertion follows from (2.5) if we choose $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\delta_\varepsilon (1 + |x|^2)^{-1} |b_\varepsilon(x, t)|^2 \leq \varepsilon_0 \kappa |x|^2 + 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

(iv) Let consider a yet more special case, which, however, will be useful below. Namely, suppose that $a^{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$, $a^{ii} = \alpha_i > 0$ are constant and the restrictions of the functions B^k to the spaces \mathbb{R}^n are infinitely differentiable. Let $|B^k| \in L^2(\mu)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose also that there are a μ -integrable function $V \geq 1$ on \mathbb{R}^∞ with smooth restrictions to \mathbb{R}^n and a number $C_0 \geq 0$ such that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$ one can find numbers $N \geq d$ and $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} |B_N(x, t) - B_N(P_N x, t)| \sqrt{V(P_N x)} \mu_t(dx) dt < \varepsilon, \tag{2.6}$$

where $B_N = (B^1, \dots, B^N)$, i.e. we take for b_ε the restriction of B_N to \mathbb{R}^N with a sufficiently large N , and, in addition, the matrix $\mathcal{B} = (\partial_{x_j} B^i)_{i,j \leq N}$ and the operator

$$L_N \varphi(x, t) = \sum_{i \leq N} [\alpha_i \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} \varphi(x, t) + B^i(x, t) \partial_{x_i} \varphi(x, t)], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

satisfy the estimate

$$L_N V(x, t) \leq (C_0 - \Lambda(x, t))V(x), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T_0],$$

where

$$\Lambda(x, t) := \delta_\varepsilon (1 + |x|^2)^{-1} |B_N(x, t)|^2 + 2 \sup_{|h| \leq 1} (\mathcal{B}(x, t)h, h).$$

Then condition (B')(ii) is fulfilled. We shall apply this case with the function $V(x) = \exp\left(\kappa \sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n x_n^2\right)$. Finally, in order to ensure also (i) in (B'), it suffices to have an integrable majorant for the functions $|B_N(x, t) - B_N(P_N x, t)| \sqrt{V(P_N x)}$ and convergence $|B_N(x, t) - B_N(P_N x, t)| \rightarrow 0$ almost everywhere.

For the proof of Theorem 2.5 we need the following lemma. In its formulation and proof for notational simplicity we omit indication of ε for b, θ and δ .

Let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$ be such that $\eta(x) = 1$ if $|x| \leq 1$ and $\eta(x) = 0$ if $|x| > 2$, $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and there exists a number $C_\eta > 0$ such that $|\eta'(x)|^2 \eta^{-1}(x) \leq C_\eta$ for every x .

Lemma 2.9. *Let $b \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$. Assume that there exist a function θ on \mathbb{R}^N , a function $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $V \geq 1$, and numbers $C_0 \geq 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T_0]$, $h \in \mathbb{R}^N$ one has*

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{B}(x, t)h, h) &\leq \theta(x)|h|^2, \quad \mathcal{B} = (\partial_{x_j} b^i)_{i,j \leq N}, \\ L_{A_N, b} V(x, t) &\leq (C_0 - \Lambda(x, t))V(x), \\ \Lambda(x, t) &:= 4 \sum_{i,j,k \leq N} |\partial_{x_k} \sigma_N^{ij}(x, t)|^2 + 2\theta(x) + \delta(1 + |x|^2)^{-1} |b(x, t)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a number $\kappa > 0$ dependent on $\delta, \text{tr } A, C_\eta$ such that for every $M > 0$ and every $s \in (0, T_0)$ the Cauchy problem

$$\partial_t f + \zeta_M L_{A_N, b} f = 0, \quad f|_{t=s} = \psi,$$

where $\psi \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\zeta_M(x) = \eta((1 + |x|^2)^\kappa / M)$, has a smooth solution f such that

$$|f(x, t)| \leq \max_x |\psi(x)|, \quad |\nabla f(x, t)|^2 \leq e^{(C_0+1)(s-t)} V(x) \max_x |\nabla \psi(x)|^2 / 2.$$

Proof. The existence of a smooth bounded (with bounded derivatives) solution f is well known (see [30, Theorem 2], [36, Theorem 3.2.4, Theorem 3.2.6]). The maximum principle implies that $|f(x, t)| \leq \max_x |\psi(x)|$. Set

$$u = 2^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^N |\partial_{x_k} f|^2.$$

Differentiating the equation $\partial_t f + \zeta_M L_{A_N, b} f = 0$ with respect to x_k and multiplying by $\partial_{x_k} f$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t u + \zeta_M L_{A_N, b} u + \zeta_M \langle \mathcal{B} \nabla f, \nabla f \rangle + \langle \nabla \zeta_M, \nabla f \rangle \langle b, \nabla f \rangle \\ & + \zeta_M \partial_{x_k} a^{ij} \partial_{x_i x_j} f \partial_{x_k} f \\ & + a^{ij} \partial_{x_i x_j} f \partial_{x_k} f \partial_{x_k} \zeta_M - \zeta_M a^{ij} \partial_{x_k x_i} f \partial_{x_k x_j} f = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Note that

$$\langle \mathcal{B} \nabla f, \nabla f \rangle \leq 2\theta u, \quad \langle \nabla \zeta_M, \nabla f \rangle \langle b, \nabla f \rangle \leq 2|\nabla \zeta_M| |b| u.$$

Let us consider the expression

$$\zeta_M \partial_{x_k} a^{ij} \partial_{x_i x_j} f \partial_{x_k} f + a^{ij} \partial_{x_i x_j} f \partial_{x_k} f \partial_{x_k} \zeta_M - \zeta_M a^{ij} \partial_{x_k x_i} f \partial_{x_k x_j} f. \tag{2.8}$$

Recall that $A_N = \sigma_N^2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i, j, k} \partial_{x_k} a^{ij} \partial_{x_i x_j} f \partial_{x_k} f &= 2 \sum_{i, j, m, k} \partial_{x_k} \sigma_N^{im} \sigma_N^{mj} \partial_{x_i x_j} f \partial_{x_k} f \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{i, m} \left(\sum_k |\partial_{x_k} \sigma_N^{im}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_k |\partial_{x_k} f|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left| \sum_j \sigma_N^{mj} \partial_{x_i x_j} f \right|, \end{aligned}$$

which is estimated by

$$4u \sum_{i, m, k} |\partial_{x_k} \sigma_N^{im}|^2 + 4^{-1} \sum_{i, m} \left| \sum_j \sigma_N^{mj} \partial_{x_i x_j} f \right|^2.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{i, m} \left| \sum_j \sigma_N^{mj} \partial_{x_i x_j} f \right|^2 = \sum_{i, j, k} a^{ij} \partial_{x_k x_j} f \partial_{x_k x_i} f,$$

which is the square of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of the operator $\sigma_N D^2 f$ (recall again that $A_N = \sigma_N^2$). Thus,

$$\zeta_M \partial_{x_k} a^{ij} \partial_{x_i x_j} f \partial_{x_k} f \leq 4\zeta_M u \sum_{i,m,k} \left| \partial_{x_k} \sigma^{im} \right|^2 + 4^{-1} \zeta_M \sum_{i,j,k} a^{ij} \partial_{x_k x_j} f \partial_{x_k x_i} f.$$

We now estimate the term

$$a^{ij} \partial_{x_i x_j} f \partial_{x_k} f \partial_{x_k} \zeta_M.$$

Applying the inequality $xy \leq (4 + 4\text{tr}A)^{-1}x^2 + (1 + \text{tr}A)y^2$ we obtain

$$a^{ij} \partial_{x_i x_j} f \partial_{x_k} f \partial_{x_k} \zeta_M \leq 2u \frac{|\nabla \zeta_M|^2}{\zeta_M} (1 + \text{tr}A) + \zeta_M (4 + 4\text{tr}A)^{-1} \left(a^{ij} \partial_{x_i x_j} f \right)^2.$$

Note also that the following inequality is true:

$$\left(\sum_{i,j} a^{ij} \partial_{x_i x_j} f \right)^2 \leq \left(\sum_i a^{ii} \right) \left(\sum_{i,j,k} a^{ij} \partial_{x_i x_k} f \partial_{x_j x_k} f \right).$$

This follows by the inequality

$$|\text{tr}(AB)|^2 \leq \text{tr}A \text{tr}(AB^2)$$

valid for symmetric matrices A and B , where A is nonnegative. The latter is due to the Cauchy inequality applied to the inner product $\langle X, Y \rangle = \text{tr}(XY^*)$ on the space of $N \times N$ -matrices and the matrices $X = A^{1/2}$, $Y = BA^{1/2}$, for which

$$\text{tr}(YY^*) = \text{tr}(BA^{1/2}A^{1/2}B) = \text{tr}(AB^2).$$

Therefore, we have the estimate

$$a^{ij} \partial_{x_i x_j} f \partial_{x_k} f \partial_{x_k} \zeta_M \leq 2u \frac{|\nabla \zeta_M|^2}{\zeta_M} (1 + \text{tr}A) + 4^{-1} \zeta_M \sum_{i,j,k} a^{ij} \partial_{x_k x_j} f \partial_{x_k x_i} f.$$

Applying the above inequalities we estimate (2.8) by

$$u \left(4\zeta_M \sum_{i,m,k} \left| \partial_{x_k} \sigma^{im} \right|^2 + 2 \frac{|\nabla \zeta_M|^2}{\zeta_M} (1 + \text{tr}A) \right).$$

Therefore, using (2.7) we arrive at the estimate

$$\partial_t u + \zeta_M L_{A_N, b} u + Qu \geq 0,$$

where

$$Q = 2 \frac{|\nabla \zeta_M|^2}{\zeta_M} (1 + \text{tr}A) + |\nabla \zeta_M| |b| + 2\zeta_M \theta + 4\zeta_M \sum_{i,j,k \leq N} \left| \partial_{x_k} \sigma_N^{ij} \right|^2.$$

We have

$$|\nabla \zeta_M(x)| \leq 4\kappa(1 + |x|^2)^{-1/2} |\eta'((1 + |x|^2)^\kappa/M)|.$$

Hence

$$Q \leq 8\kappa^2 C_\eta(1 + \text{tr } A) + 16\kappa C_\eta + \zeta_M \left(4 \sum_{i,j,k \leq N} |\partial_{x_k} \sigma_N^{ij}|^2 + 2\theta + 2\kappa(1 + |x|^2)^{-1} |b|^2 \right).$$

Let us choose $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$Q \leq 1 + \zeta_M \left(4 \sum_{i,j,k \leq N} |\partial_{x_k} \sigma_N^{ij}|^2 + 2\theta + \delta(1 + |x|^2)^{-1} |b|^2 \right).$$

Let us set $u = wV$. Then w satisfies the inequality

$$\partial_t w + \zeta_M L_{A_N} \tilde{b} w + \tilde{Q} w \geq 0,$$

where

$$\tilde{b}^k = b^k + 2 \frac{a^{kj} \partial_{x_j} V}{V}, \quad \tilde{Q} = Q + \zeta_M \frac{L_{A_N, b} V}{V}.$$

By our assumptions we have $\tilde{Q} \leq C_0 + 1$. Since $u(x, s) = |\nabla f(x, s)|^2/2 = |\nabla \psi(x)|^2/2$, we have

$$w(x, s) = V(x)^{-1} |\nabla \psi(x)|^2/2 \leq |\nabla \psi(x)|^2/2.$$

Applying the maximum principle (see [36, Theorem 3.1.1]) we obtain

$$\max_x |w(x, t)| \leq e^{(C_0+1)(s-t)} \max_x |\nabla \psi(x)|^2/2,$$

which completes the proof. □

We can now prove Theorem 2.5.

Proof. Assume that $\sigma^1 = \sigma_t^1 dt$ and $\sigma^2 = \sigma_t^2 dt$ belong to \mathbb{P}_ν . By our assumption about \mathbb{P}_ν we have $\sigma = (\sigma^1 + \sigma^2)/2 \in \mathbb{P}_\nu$. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $|\nabla \psi(x)| + |\psi(x)| \leq 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every natural number d we find a natural number $N \geq d$, a C^∞ -mapping $b_\varepsilon = (b_\varepsilon^k)_{k=1}^N: \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$, a function θ_ε on \mathbb{R}^N , a function $V_\varepsilon \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $V \geq 1$, and numbers $C_\varepsilon \geq 0$ and $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that (i) and (ii) in condition (B') are fulfilled.

Let a function $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$ be such that $\eta(x) = 1$ if $|x| \leq 1$ and $\eta(x) = 0$ if $|x| > 2$, $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and there exists a number $C_\eta > 0$ such that $|\eta'(x)|^2 \eta^{-1}(x) \leq C_\eta$ for every x . Let $\kappa > 0$ be as in Lemma 2.9. Set $\varphi_K(x) = \eta(|x|^2/K)$ and $\zeta_M(x) = \eta((1 + |x|^2)^\kappa/M)$ on \mathbb{R}^N .

For each $K \in \mathbb{N}$ we find a number $M = M(K)$ such that $\zeta_M(x) = 1$ if $|x|^2 < 2K$.

Fix $t \in \bigcap_{K \in \mathbb{N}} (J_{\psi\varphi_K}^{\sigma^1} \cap J_{\psi\varphi_K}^{\sigma^2})$, where the sets J_{ψ}^{μ} are defined in Remark 1.2. Let f be a smooth bounded solution to the finite-dimensional Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + \zeta_M \sum_{i,j=1}^N a^{ij} \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} f + \zeta_M \sum_{i=1}^N b_{\varepsilon}^i \partial_{x_i} f = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, t), \\ f(t, x) = \psi(x). \end{cases}$$

Set $\mu = \sigma^1 - \sigma^2$. The measure μ solves the Cauchy problem (1.1) with zero initial condition. Recall that $\zeta_M(x) = 1$ if $\varphi_K(x) \neq 0$. Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{\infty}} \psi \varphi_K d\mu_t = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\infty}} [\varphi_K \langle B - b_{\varepsilon}, \nabla_x f \rangle + f L\varphi_K + 2 \langle A \nabla_x f, \nabla_x \varphi_K \rangle] d\mu_s ds.$$

Applying Lemma 2.9 we have the estimate

$$|f(x, s)| \leq 1, \quad |\nabla_x f(x, s)|^2 \leq e^{(C_{\varepsilon}+1)(T_0-s)} V_{\varepsilon}(x)/2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\infty}} \psi d\mu_t \leq 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\infty}} & \left[|B - b_{\varepsilon}| V_{\varepsilon}^{1/2} e^{(C_{\varepsilon}+1)(T_0-s)/2} + |L\varphi_K| \right. \\ & \left. + 2|A \nabla \varphi_K| e^{(C_{\varepsilon}+1)(T_0-s)/2} V_{\varepsilon}^{1/2} \right] d\sigma_s ds. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $K \rightarrow +\infty$ we find that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{\infty}} \psi d\mu_t \leq 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\infty}} |B - b_{\varepsilon}| V_{\varepsilon}^{1/2} e^{(C_{\varepsilon}+1)(T_0-s)/2} d\sigma_s ds < 2\varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{\infty}} \psi d\mu_t \leq 0.$$

Replacing ψ by $-\psi$ we arrive at the equality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{\infty}} \psi d\mu_t = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{\infty}} \psi d\sigma_t^1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\infty}} \psi d\sigma_t^2$$

for almost every t . Thus, $\sigma^1 = \sigma^2$. □

Example 2.10 (Reaction diffusion equations). Let us return to the situation of Example 2.4, but now we assume that there exists a sequence of smooth bounded functions $f_n(z, u, t)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(z, u, t) = f(z, u, t)$ for every u, t, z and

$$|f_n(z, u, t)| \leq C_1 + C_1|u|^m, \quad (f_n(z, u, t) - f_n(z, v, t))(u - v) \leq C_2|u - v|^2,$$

where C_1 and C_2 do not depend on n . Assume also that $a^{ij} = \langle Se_i, e_j \rangle_2$ for some symmetric nonnegative operator S on $L^2[0, 1]$, which can be degenerate (unlike the case of Example 2.4). Then there exists at most one probability solution μ of the Cauchy problem for the Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equation $\partial_t \mu = L^* \mu$ such that

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_{L^2[0,1]} \|u\|_{2m}^m \mu_t(du) dt < \infty.$$

The same conclusion is true if $A = (a^{ij})$ is a nonconstant matrix satisfying condition (A') and there exists a constant C_1 such that for every natural number N and every $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times [0, T_0]$ we have

$$\sum_{i,j,k \leq N} |\partial_{x_k} \sigma_N^{ij}(x, t)|^2 \leq C_1.$$

Proof. Set $F^i(u, t) = \langle f(\cdot, u(\cdot), t), e_i \rangle_2$, $F_n^i(u, t) = \langle f_n(\cdot, u(\cdot), t), e_i \rangle_2$, $F_n(u, t) = (F_n^i(u, t))_{i=1}^\infty$, and extend all these maps to all of $\mathbb{R}^\infty \times [0, T_0]$ by zero. According to our assumptions and the dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{T_0} \int_{L^2[0,1]} \|F(u, t) - F_n(u, t)\|_{l^2} \mu_t(du) dt = 0.$$

Let $P_N u := u_1 e_1 + \dots + u_N e_N$. The above equality shows that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $d \geq 1$ there exist numbers n and $N > d$ such that

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_{L^2[0,1]} \|F(u, t) - F_n(P_N u, t)\|_{l^2} \mu_t(du) dt < \varepsilon.$$

Note that the condition

$$(f_n(z, u, t) - f_n(z, v, t))(u - v) \leq C_2|u - v|^2$$

implies that

$$\sum_{i,j \leq N} \partial_{u_i} F_n^j(P_N u, t) h_i h_j \leq C_2|h|^2, \quad h = (h_i) \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Hence Theorem 2.5 with $V \equiv 1$ implies uniqueness. □

Below for simplicity the integral of the product of an integrable function f_1 and a bounded function f_2 is denoted by $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_2$.

Example 2.11 (Stochastic Burgers equation). Suppose that $\{e_k\}$ is an eigenbasis of the Laplacian on $L^2[0, 1]$ with zero boundary condition, i.e., $D^2e_k = -\lambda_k e_k$, $\lambda_k > 0$. Set

$$B(u)(z) = D^2u(z) + D(u^2(z)),$$

that is,

$$B^i(u) = -\lambda_i u_i - \langle u^2, De_i \rangle_2, \quad u \in L^2[0, 1], \quad u_i = \langle u, e_i \rangle_2.$$

Assume that $a^{ij} = \langle Se_i, e_j \rangle_2$ for some symmetric nonnegative operator S on $L^2[0, 1]$ with finite trace ($\text{tr}S < \infty$). Set

$$L\varphi = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} a^{ij} \partial_{e_i} \partial_{e_j} \varphi + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} B^i \partial_{e_i} \varphi,$$

where ∂_{e_i} is the partial derivative along the vector e_i . Let H_0^1 be the space of all absolutely continuous functions u on $[0, 1]$ such that $u(0) = u(1) = 0$ and $\|u\|_{H_0^1} := \|u'\|_2 < \infty$. Then there exists at most one probability solution μ of the Cauchy problem for the Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equation $\partial_t \mu = L^* \mu$ such that

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_{L^2[0,1]} \|u\|_{H_0^1}^2 e^{\delta \|u\|_2^2} \mu_t(du) dt < \infty$$

for some $\delta > 0$ (which may depend on μ).

Proof. We apply Example 2.8(ii). Recall that the matrix (a^{ij}) has to satisfy the following condition for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$:

$$\varepsilon_0 (\langle A_N x, x \rangle + |x|^2) \leq \|x\|_{l_2^N}^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\varepsilon_0 (\langle Su, u \rangle_2 + \|u\|_2^2) \leq \|u\|_{H_0^1}^2, \quad u = x_1 e_1 + \dots + x_N e_N,$$

which is true for sufficiently small ε_0 . We fix $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \delta)$. Set

$$F^i(u) := \langle u^2, De_i \rangle_2 \quad \text{for } u \in L^2$$

and extend F^i by zero to all other $u = (u_k)$ in \mathbb{R}^∞ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} F(u) &= (F^i(u))_{i=1}^\infty, \quad P_N u := u_1 e_1 + \dots + u_N e_N, \\ b^k(u_1, \dots, u_N) &:= -\lambda_k u_k + F^k(P_N u), \quad k \leq N. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\|F(u)\|_{l^2} = \|(u^2)'\|_2 = 2\|uu'\|_2 \leq 2\|u\|_{H_0^1}^2.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{T_0} \int_{L^2[0,1]} \|F(u) - F(P_N u)\|_{l^2} e^{\delta \|u\|_2^2} \mu_t(du) dt = 0.$$

It is easy to see that $|b^k(u)| \leq C_1(N) + C_2(N)\|P_N u\|_2^2$ and $\langle F(P_N u), P_N u \rangle_2 \leq 0$. Moreover, for every $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ we have the inequalities

$$\sum_{i,k \leq N} \partial_{u_i} F^k(P_N u) h_i h_k \leq \|h\|_{l_\lambda^2} + \left(\gamma \|P_N u\|_{H_0^1}^2 + C_\gamma \right) |h|^2, \quad h = (h_i) \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Set $\theta(P_N u) = \gamma \|P_N u\|_{H_0^1}^2 + C_\gamma$ and $C_0 = C_\gamma + \text{tr}S$ (we recall that $\text{tr}S < \infty$). In order to apply Example 2.8(ii) we choose $\gamma < 2^{-1}\delta(\varepsilon_0 - \delta)$. □

Example 2.12 (Mixed Burgers/reaction diffusion type equations).

- (i) In the situation of the previous example we consider the operator L with the drift coefficient of the form

$$B(u)(z) = D^2 u(z) + D(u^2(z)) - u^{2m+1}(z), \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

that is,

$$B^i(u) = -\lambda_i u_i - \langle u^2, D e_i \rangle_2 - \langle u^{2m+1}, e_i \rangle_2.$$

Assume that a^{ij} satisfies the assumptions in the previous example. Then there exists at most one probability solution μ of the Cauchy problem for the Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equation $\partial_t \mu = L^* \mu$ such that

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_{L^2[0,1]} \left[\|u\|_{4m+2}^{2m+1} + \|u\|_{H_0^1}^2 \right] e^{\delta \|u\|_2^2} \mu_t(du) dt < \infty$$

for some $\delta > 0$ (which may depend on μ). Note that the measure μ_t is concentrated on H_0^1 for a.e. t .

- (ii) In the situation of Example 2.11 we consider the operator L with the drift coefficient of the form

$$B(u)(z) = D^2 u(z) + D(u^m(z)) - u^{2l+1}(z), \quad 2 \leq m \leq l + 1, \quad m, l \in \mathbb{N}$$

that is,

$$B^i(u) = -\lambda_i u_i - \langle u^m, D e_i \rangle_2 - \langle u^{2l+1}, e_i \rangle_2.$$

Assume also that $a^{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$ and that $\sum_{i=1}^\infty a^{ii} < \infty$. Then there exists at most one probability solution μ of the Cauchy problem for the Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equation $\partial_t \mu = L^* \mu$ such that

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_{L^2((0,1))} \left[\|u\|_{4l+2}^{2l+1} + \|u\|_{H_0^1} + \|u^m\|_{H_0^1} \right] \exp\left(\kappa' (\|u\|_{2l+2}^{2l+2} + \|u\|_2^2)\right) \mu_t(du) dt < \infty$$

for some $\kappa' > 0$ (which may depend on μ). As above, the measure μ_t is concentrated on H_0^1 for a.e. t . This partially improves the results in [26] (where the last term in the equation is of linear growth).

Proof. (i) We apply Example 2.8(ii). Note that as in the above example the matrix (a^{ij}) satisfies all conditions in Example 2.8(ii). Let $\psi_M \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$, $\psi(s) = -\psi(-s)$, $0 \leq \psi' \leq 1$, $\psi_M(s) = s$ if $|s| \leq M - 1$ and $\psi_M(s) = M$ if $s > M + 1$. Set

$$F^i(u) := -\langle u^2, De_i \rangle_2 - \langle u^{2m+1}, e_i \rangle_2, \quad F_M^i(u) := -\langle u^2, De_i \rangle_2 - \langle \psi_M(u)^{2m+1}, e_i \rangle_2,$$

$$P_N u := u_1 e_1 + \dots + u_N e_N, \quad b^k(u_1, \dots, u_N) := -\lambda_k u_k + F_M^k(P_N u).$$

As above, we define all these functions by zero if u is not in $L^2[0, 1]$. Note that

$$\|F(u)\|_{l^2} \leq 2\|u\|_{H_0^1}^2 + \|u\|_{4m+2}^{2m+1}$$

and the same is true for $F_M(u)$ in place of $F(u)$. Hence

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{T_0} \int_{L^2[0,1]} \|F(u) - F_M(P_N u)\|_{l^2} e^{\delta \|u\|_2^2} \mu_t(du) dt \right) = 0.$$

It is easy to see that $|b^k(u)| \leq C_1(N) + C_2(N)\|P_N u\|_2^2$. Recall that $\psi'_M \geq 0$ and $\psi_M(s) = -\psi_M(-s)$. Hence $\langle F_M(P_N u), P_N u \rangle_2 \leq 0$. For every $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\sum_{i,k \leq N} \partial_{u_i} F_M^k(P_N u) h_i h_k \leq \|h\|_{l_\lambda^2} + \left(\gamma \|P_N u\|_{H_0^1}^2 + C_\gamma \right) |h|^2, \quad h = (h_i) \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Set $\theta(P_N u) = \gamma \|P_N u\|_{H_0^1}^2 + C_\gamma$ and $C_0 = C_\gamma + \text{tr} S$ (we recall that $\text{tr} S < \infty$). In order to apply Example 2.8(ii) we choose $\gamma < 2^{-1} \delta(\varepsilon_0 - \delta)$.

(ii) We check condition (B'). Let $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\zeta(x) = 1$ if $|x| \leq 1$, $\zeta(x) = 0$ if $|x| \geq 0$, $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$, $|\zeta'| \leq 1$. Set

$$\zeta_j(x) = \zeta(x/j), \quad \psi_j(x) = \int_0^x \zeta_j(s) ds.$$

We observe that $\psi_j(x) = x$ if $|x| \leq j$, $|\psi_j(x)| \leq |x|$, $|\psi'_j| \leq 1$, $|\psi''_j(x)| \leq I_{\{|j < |x| < 2j\}}(x)/j$. Set

$$F_j^k(u) = -\langle \psi_j(u)^m, De_k \rangle_2 - \langle u^{2l+1}, e_k \rangle_2, \quad P_N u = u_1 e_1 + \dots + u_N e_N,$$

$$b^k(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N) = -\lambda_k u_k + F_j^k(P_N u).$$

Since

$$\int_0^1 \left| \left(m \psi_j(u)^{m-1} \psi'_j(u) \right)' \right|^2 dx \leq C \int_0^1 \left| (u^{m-1})' \right|^2 dx$$

with some C independent of j , it is easy to see that for every $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ there exists a number $C_\gamma > 0$ (independent of N) such that

$$\sum_{i,k \leq N} \partial_{u_i} F^k(u^N) h_i h_k \leq \gamma \|h\|_{l_\lambda^2} + \left(\gamma \|(P_N u)^{m-1}\|_{H_0^1}^2 + C_\gamma \right) |h|^2, \quad h = (h_i) \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

We shall now construct a suitable Lyapunov function V of the form $V = \exp(\alpha G)$, where

$$G(u) = \frac{1}{2l+2} \int_0^1 u^{2l+2} dx + \frac{M}{2} \int_0^1 u^2 dx,$$

and α and M will be picked below. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{e_i} G(u) &= \int_0^1 u^{2l+1} e_i dx + M \int_0^1 u e_i dx, \\ \partial_{e_j} \partial_{e_i} G(u) &= (2l+1) \int_0^1 u^{2l} e_i e_j dx + M \delta_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that $e_i'' = -\lambda_i^2 e_i$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} LG &= \sum_{i=1}^n (a^{ii} \partial_{e_i}^2 G + b^i \partial_{e_i} G) = \sum_{i=1}^n a^{ii} (2l+1) \int_0^1 u^{2l} e_i^2 dx + M \sum_{i=1}^n a^{ii} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^1 u e_i'' dx \left(\int_0^1 u^{2l+1} e_i dx + M \int_0^1 u e_i dx \right) \\ &\quad + m \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^1 \psi_j(u)^{m-1} \psi_j'(u) u' e_i dx \left(\int_0^1 u^{2l+1} e_i dx + M \int_0^1 u e_i dx \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^1 u^{2l+1} e_i dx \left(\int_0^1 u^{2l+1} e_i dx + M \int_0^1 u e_i dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $|\psi_j(u)| \leq |u|$ and $|\psi_j'| \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} LG &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a^{ii} \left(M + (2l+1) \int_0^1 u^{2l} dx \right) \\ &\quad - (2l+1) \int_0^1 u^{2l} |u'|^2 dx - M \int_0^1 |u'|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \frac{m}{2\alpha} \int_0^1 u^{2m-2} |u'|^2 dx + \frac{m\alpha}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_0^1 u^{2l+1} e_i dx \right)^2 \\ &\quad - M \int_0^1 u^{2l+2} dx - \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_0^1 u^{2l+1} e_i dx \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $u^{2m-2} \leq C(\delta) + \delta u^{2l}$, since $2m-2 < 2l$. Taking δ small enough and M large enough we obtain

$$LG \leq C_1 - C_2 W,$$

where $C_1, C_2 > 0$ are constants and

$$W = \int_0^1 |u'|^2 dx + \int_0^1 u^{2l} |u'|^2 dx + \int_0^1 u^{2l+2} dx + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_0^1 u^{2l+1} e_i dx \right)^2.$$

Hence

$$L \exp(\alpha G) \leq \alpha LG + 2\alpha^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a^{ii} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_0^1 u^{2l+1} e_i dx \right)^2 + M \|u\|_2^2 \right).$$

For α small enough we obtain

$$L \exp(\alpha G) \leq (C_3 - C_4 W) \exp(\alpha G), \quad \left(\|u\|_{4l+2}^{2l+1} + \|u^m\|_{H_0^1} \right) \exp(\alpha G) \in L^1(\mu).$$

Note that $\|u^{m-1}\|_{H_0^1} \leq C(\|u^{l+1}\|_{H_0^1} + \|u\|_{H_0^1})$, where C depends on l and m . Let us also observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |b|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n |b^i|^2 \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\langle u, e_i'' \rangle^2 + \langle \psi_j(u)^m, e_i' \rangle^2 + \langle u^{2l+1}, e_i \rangle^2 \right) \\ &\leq C(N) \left(\|u\|_2 + \|u^m\|_2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_0^1 u^{2l+1} e_i dx \right)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking δ_N sufficiently small we obtain $\delta_N |b(P_N u)|^2 (1 + \|P_N u\|_2^2)^{-1} \leq C_4 W/2$. Choosing γ small enough we have

$$L_{AN,b} V(P_N u) \leq \left(C_0 - \gamma \|(P_N u)^{m-1}\|_{H_0^1}^2 - \delta_N |b(P_N u)| (1 + \|P_N u\|_2^2)^{-1} \right) V(P_N u)$$

for some number $C_0 > 0$. Note that C_0 does not depend on N and we can omit the term $e^{C_0(T-t)/2}$ in condition (B')(i).

Finally, we have to verify that for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $d \in \mathbb{N}$ there are j and $N > d$ such that

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_{L^2[0,1]} \|F_j(P_N u) - F(u)\|_{l_2} \exp(\alpha G(P_N u)) \mu_t(du) dt < \varepsilon.$$

By a classical result (see [27, page 59]), for each $p > 1$ there is a number $C(p)$ such that $\|P_N u\|_p \leq C(p)\|u\|_p$ and $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|P_N u - u\|_p = 0$. Therefore, making α smaller, it is enough to get the above estimate for G in place of $G \circ P_N$. Furthermore, we have

$$\|F(u)\|_{l_2} \leq \|u^m\|_{H_0^1} + \|u\|_{4l+2}^{2l+1}, \quad \|F_j(u)\|_{l_2} \leq \|u^m\|_{H_0^1} + \|u\|_{4l+2}^{2l+1}$$

and $\|F_j(u) - F(u)\|_{l_2} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for any $u \in H_0^1$ such that $u^m \in H_0^1$, so by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{T_0} \int_{L^2[0,1]} \|F_j(u) - F(u)\|_{l_2} \exp(\alpha G) \mu_t(du) dt = 0.$$

For fixed j we have

$$\|F_j(P_N u)\|_{l^2} \leq C(j, m) \|P_N u\|_{H_0^1} \leq C(j, m) \|u\|_{H_0^1}, \quad \|F_j(u)\|_{l^2} \leq C(j, m) \|u\|_{H_0^1},$$

hence

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{T_0} \int_{L^2[0,1]} \|F_j(P_N u) - F_j(u)\|_{l^2} \exp(\alpha G) \mu_t(du) dt = 0.$$

Therefore, given $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find j such that

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_{L^2[0,1]} \|F_j(u) - F(u)\|_{l^2} \exp(\alpha G) \mu_t(du) dt < \varepsilon/2,$$

next we take N_j such that

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_{L^2[0,1]} \|F_j(P_N u) - F_j(u)\|_{l^2} \exp(\alpha G) \mu_t(du) < \varepsilon/2,$$

so that

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_{L^2[0,1]} \|F_j(P_N u) - F(u)\|_{l^2} \exp(\alpha G) \mu_t(du) dt < \varepsilon.$$

Hence Theorem 2.5 implies uniqueness of a solution. □

It should be noted that such “mixed” equations have been considered in [26] and [34]; the example above shows that the explained method covers both types of equations simultaneously.

Example 2.13 (Stochastic 2d-Navier-Stokes equation). Let us consider the space V_2 of \mathbb{R}^2 -valued mappings $u = (u^1, u^2)$ such that $u^j \in H_0^{2,1}(D)$ and $\operatorname{div} u = 0$, where $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary. The space V_2 is equipped with its natural Hilbert norm $\|u\|_{V_2}$ defined by

$$\|u\|_{V_2}^2 := \sum_{j=1}^2 \|\nabla_z u^j\|_2^2.$$

Let H be the closure of V_2 in $L^2(D, \mathbb{R}^2)$ and let P_H denote the orthogonal projector on H in $L^2(D, \mathbb{R}^2)$. It is known (see [28]) that there exists an orthonormal basis $\{\eta_n\}$ in H formed by eigenfunctions of Δ with eigenvalues $-\lambda_n < 0$ such that $\eta_n \in V_2$. Recall that $\langle P_H w, \eta_n \rangle_2 = \langle w, \eta_n \rangle_2$ for any $w \in L^2(D, \mathbb{R}^d)$. Set

$$B^n(u, t) = \langle u, \Delta \eta_n \rangle_2 - \sum_{j=1}^2 \langle P_H u^j \partial_{z_j} u, \eta_n \rangle_2 = \langle u, \Delta \eta_n \rangle_2 - \sum_{j=1}^2 \langle \partial_{z_j} u, u^j \eta_n \rangle_2$$

whenever $u \in V_2$ and $B^n(u, t) = 0$ otherwise. These functions are continuous on balls in V_2 with respect to the topology of $L^2(D, \mathbb{R}^2)$, which easily follows from the compactness of the Sobolev embedding $H^{2,1}(D) \rightarrow L^2(D)$. Consider the operator

$$L\varphi(u, t) = \sum_{i,j}^{\infty} a^{ij} \partial_{\eta_i} \partial_{\eta_j} \varphi(u, t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B^n(u, t) \partial_{\eta_n} \varphi(u, t).$$

Assume that $a^{ij} = \langle S\eta_i, \eta_j \rangle_2$ for some symmetric nonnegative bounded operator S on H . Suppose also that $\sum_i a^{ii} \lambda_i < \infty$. Then there exists at most one probability solution μ of the Cauchy problem for the Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equation $\partial_t \mu = L^* \mu$ such that for some $\delta > 0$

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_H (1 + \|\Delta u\|_2^2) e^{\delta \|u\|_{V_2}^2} \mu_t(du) dt < \infty,$$

where we set $\|\Delta u\|_2 = \infty$ if $u \notin H^{2,2}(D)$.

Proof. We apply Example 2.8(iii). Recall that the matrix (a^{ij}) has to satisfy the following condition for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$:

$$\varepsilon_0 \sum_{i,j \leq N} a^{ij} \lambda_i \lambda_j x_i x_j + \varepsilon_0 |x|^2 \leq \sum_{i \leq N} \lambda_i^2 x_i^2$$

that is equivalent to the estimate

$$\varepsilon_0 (\langle S\Delta u, \Delta u \rangle_2 + \|u\|_2^2) \leq \|\Delta u\|_2^2,$$

which is true for sufficiently small ε_0 . Set

$$F^n(u) = - \sum_{j=1}^2 \langle \partial_{z_j} u, u^j \eta_n \rangle_2, \quad u \in V_2.$$

Note that $|F^n(u)| \leq C_1(n) + C_2(n) \|u\|_2^2$, since $F^n(u) = \sum_{j=1,2} \langle u, u^j \partial_{z_j} \eta_n \rangle_2$ due to the condition that $\operatorname{div} u = 0$. It is well-known that there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that for every function $g \in H_0^{2,1}(D) \cap H^{2,2}(D)$ we have

$$\|g\|_{2,2} \leq C_1 \|\Delta g\|_2.$$

Moreover, for every $g \in H^{2,2}(D)$ and some constant $C_2 > 0$ (since we deal with $d = 2$) we have

$$\|g\|_4 \leq C_2 \|g\|_{2,1}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(u)\|_{l^2}^2 &\leq \int_D |\nabla_z u(z)|^2 |u(z)|^2 dz \leq \left(\int_D |\nabla_z u(z)|^4 dz \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_D |u(z)|^4 dz \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C_1^2 C_2^4 (1 + \|\Delta u\|_2^2) \|u\|_{V_2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $P_N u = u_1 \eta_1 + \dots + u_N \eta_N$. We have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{T_0} \int_H \|F(u) - F(P_N u)\|_{l^2} e^{\delta \|u\|_{V_2}^2 / 2} \mu_t(du) dt = 0.$$

It is known (see, e.g., [15, Proposition 6.3]) that in the considered case $d = 2$ we have the inequality

$$\langle F(P_N u), \Delta P_N u \rangle_2 = 0$$

which gives the condition $\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i f^i(x, t) x_i = 0$ required in Example 2.8(iii) (now F^i plays the role of f^i). In addition, for every $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\sum_{i,j \leq N} \partial_{u_i} F^j(P_N u) h_i h_j \leq (C_\gamma + \gamma \|\Delta P_N u\|_{l^2}^2) |h|^2 + \|h\|_{l_\lambda^2}^2, \quad h = (h_i).$$

Set $\theta(P_N u) = C_\gamma + \gamma \|\Delta P_N u\|_{l^2}^2$ and $C_0 = C_\gamma + \sum_{i=1}^\infty a^{ii} \lambda_i$ (we recall that $\sum_{i=1}^\infty a^{ii} \lambda_i < \infty$). In order to apply Example 2.8(iii) we choose $\gamma < 2^{-1} \delta(\varepsilon_0 - \delta)$. In Example 3.5 we consider a more general equation. \square

It is worth noting that the last example applies to degenerate coefficients A , in particular, to A identically zero, which gives uniqueness for the so-called continuity equation corresponding to $2d$ -Navier-Stokes equation.

In the next section we show that the considered classes of uniqueness are not empty.

3. Existence of solutions

First we would like to mention that if the stochastic equation associated to our Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equation has a solution in the sense of Stroock-Varadhan’s martingale problem, then one immediately gets a solution to the FPK-equation. But uniqueness of solutions for a martingale problem does not imply uniqueness for the corresponding FPK-equation.

In this section we purely analytically prove the following existence result generalizing a result from [4] (where only a sketch of the proof of a weaker result was given, namely, V was the square of the norm).

Let $\{e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis in l^2 . The linear span of e_1, \dots, e_n is denoted by H_n .

Let $T_0 > 0$ and let $a^{ij} : \mathbb{R}^\infty \times [0, T_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ and $B^i : \mathbb{R}^\infty \times (0, T_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ be Borel functions. Suppose that the matrices $(a^{ij})_{i,j \leq n}$ are symmetric nonnegative for all n . Set

$$L\varphi(x, t) := \sum_{i,j=1}^n a^{ij}(x, t) \partial_{e_i} \partial_{e_j} \varphi(x, t) + \sum_{i=1}^n B^i(x, t) \partial_{e_i} \varphi(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty \times (0, T_0)$$

for functions φ that are smooth functions of the variables x_1, \dots, x_n, t .

Let $B_n := (B^1, \dots, B^n)$ and $P_n x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

A Borel function $\Theta: \mathbb{R}^\infty \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ such that the sublevel sets $\{\Theta \leq R\}$, where $R < \infty$, are compact is called a compact function. For example, one can take any numbers $\alpha_i > 0$ and set $\Theta(x) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \alpha_i^2 x_i^2$.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that there exists a compact function $\Theta: \mathbb{R}^\infty \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$, finite on each H_n and such that the functions a^{ij} and B^i are continuous in x on all the sets $\{\Theta \leq R\}$, and there exist numbers $M_0, C_0 \geq 0$ and a Borel function $V: \mathbb{R}^\infty \rightarrow [1, +\infty]$ whose sublevel sets $\{V \leq R\}$ are compact and whose restrictions to H_n are of class C^2 and such that for all $x \in H_n, n \geq 1, t \in (0, T_0)$ one has*

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a^{ij}(x, t) \partial_{e_i} V(x) \partial_{e_j} V(x) \leq M_0 V(x)^2, \quad LV(x, t) \leq C_0 V(x) - \Theta(x). \quad (3.1)$$

Assume also that there exist constants $C_i \geq 0$ and $k_i \geq 0$ such that for all i and $j \leq i$ one has

$$|a^{ij}(x, t)| + |B^i(x, t)| \leq C_i V(x)^{k_i} (1 + \delta(\Theta(x))\Theta(x)), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty \times (0, T_0), \quad (3.2)$$

where δ is a bounded nonnegative Borel function on $[0, +\infty)$ with $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \delta(s) = 0$. Then, for every Borel probability measure ν on \mathbb{R}^∞ such that

$$W_k := \sup_n \|V^k \circ P_n\|_{L^1(\nu)} < \infty \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},$$

the Cauchy problem (1.1) with initial distribution ν has a solution of the form $\mu = \mu_t dt$ with Borel probability measures μ_t on \mathbb{R}^∞ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} V^k d\mu_t + k \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} V^{k-1} \Theta d\mu_s ds \leq N_k W_k \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, t \in (0, T_0), \quad (3.3)$$

where $N_k := M_k e^{M_k} + 1, \quad M_k = k(C_0 + (k - 1)M_0)$. In particular, $\mu_t(V < \infty) = 1$ for all t and $\mu_t(\Theta < \infty) = 1$ for almost all t .

Proof. For every fixed n let a_n^{ij} denote the restriction of a^{ij} to $H_n \times (0, T_0)$ and set $A_n := (a_n^{ij})_{i,j \leq n}$. Denote by ν_n the projection of ν on H_n . We show that there exist Borel probability measures $\mu_{t,n}$ on H_n such that the measure $\mu_n := \mu_{t,n} dt$ solves the Cauchy problem with coefficients A_n and B_n on $H_n \times (0, T_0)$ and initial distribution ν_n . To this end we consider the Lyapunov function $V_m(x) = V(x)^m$ on H_n , where $m \geq 1$. Letting $M_m := m(C_0 + (m - 1)M_0)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} LV_m &= mV^{m-1} \left(LV + (m - 1)V^{-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a^{ij} \partial_{e_i} V \partial_{e_j} V \right) \\ &\leq mV^{m-1} (C_0 V - \Theta + (m - 1)M_0 V) \leq M_m V^m - mV^{m-1} \Theta. \end{aligned}$$

Since the function V_m is ν_n -integrable, we can apply the existence result from [3] and obtain the desired probability measures $\mu_{t,n}$ on H_n (with $\mu_{0,n} = \nu_n$) such that the function

$$t \mapsto \int_{H_n} \zeta(x) \mu_{t,n}(dx)$$

is continuous on $[0, T_0)$ for every $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(H_n)$. Moreover, by [4, Lemma 1] (see also [3, Lemma 2.2]), for each $m \geq 1$ and

$$N_m := M_m e^{M_m} + 1, \quad M_m = m(C_0 + (m - 1)M_0)$$

the following estimate holds for almost all $t \in (0, T_0)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{H_n} V_m(x) \mu_{t,n}(dx) + m \int_0^t \int_{H_n} V_{m-1}(x) \Theta(x) \mu_{s,n}(dx) ds \\ & \leq N_m \int_{H_n} V_m(x) \nu_n(dx) \leq N_m + N_m W_m. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore, by Fatou’s theorem and the above stated continuity of $t \mapsto \mu_{t,n}$ it follows that (3.4) holds for all $t \in [0, T_0)$. Indeed, we replace V_m and ΘV_{m-1} in the left-hand side by $\min(k, V_m)$ and $\min(k, \Theta V_{m-1})$, obtain the desired estimate for all $t \in [0, T_0)$ keeping k fixed and then let $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Suppose now that $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let us identify H_n with \mathbb{R}^n . If $n \geq d$, then ζ regarded as a function on \mathbb{R}^n belongs to the class $C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $m = \max(k_1, \dots, k_d)$. Then we have the estimate

$$|L\zeta(x, t)| \leq K + K V_m(x) + K V_m(x) \delta(\Theta(x)) \Theta(x), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T_0), \tag{3.5}$$

where K is some number which depends on ζ (but is independent of n since ζ is a function of x_1, \dots, x_d). Therefore, by approximation, inequality (3.4) and Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\int_{H_n} \zeta(x) \mu_{t,n}(dx) = \int_0^t \int_{H_n} L\zeta(x, s) \mu_{s,n}(dx) ds + \int_{H_n} \zeta(x) \nu_n(dx), \tag{3.6}$$

because, according to [3], this identity holds for all $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, hence in our situation it remains valid also for all $\zeta \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Letting

$$\varphi_n(t) := \int_{H_n} \zeta(x) \mu_{t,n}(dx), \quad t \in [0, T_0),$$

we see from (3.4), (3.6) that the function φ_n is Lipschitzian (one can also show that it is everywhere differentiable in $(0, T_0)$) and (3.5) yields that

$$|\varphi_n'(t)| \leq \int_{H_n} |L\zeta(x, t)| \mu_{t,n}(dx) \leq K_\zeta \int_{H_n} [1 + V_{m-1}(x) \Theta(x)] \mu_{t,n}(dx)$$

with some number K_ζ that does not depend on n (but only on ζ). Therefore, by (3.4) the functions φ_n possess uniformly bounded variations, hence there is a subsequence in $\{\varphi_n\}$ convergent pointwise on $[0, T_0]$. We may assume that this is true for the whole sequence. Moreover, we can do this in a such a way that this pointwise convergence holds for every function ζ from a fixed countable family \mathcal{F} with the following property: the weak convergence of a uniformly tight sequence of probability measures on \mathbb{R}^∞ follows from convergence of their integrals of every function in \mathcal{F} .

It follows from (3.4) and the compactness of the sets $\{V_m \leq R\}$ and $\{\Theta \leq R\}$ that, for every fixed $t \in (0, T_0)$, the sequence of measures $\mu_{t,n}$ is uniformly tight on \mathbb{R}^∞ (see [2, Example 8.6.5]). Hence we can find a subsequence, denoted for simplicity by the same indices n , such that $\{\mu_{t,n}\}$ converges weakly on \mathbb{R}^∞ for every rational $t \in (0, T_0)$. However, since we have ensured convergence of $\varphi_n(t)$ at every $t \in [0, T_0]$ for every $\zeta \in \mathcal{F}$, we see that $\{\mu_{t,n}\}$ converges weakly for every $t \in [0, T_0]$.

Estimate (3.3) follows from (3.4) taking into account that $V \geq 1$ and $\Theta \geq 0$ are lower semicontinuous, hence V^k and $V^{k-1}\Theta$ are lower continuous as well.

The family of measures μ_t obtained in this way is the desired solution. Indeed, let us fix $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We have to show that the integrals of $L\zeta(x, t)$ over $\mathbb{R}^\infty \times (0, T)$, $T < T_0$, with respect to μ_n converge to the integral with respect to $\mu = \mu_t dt$. This amounts to establishing such convergence for all functions $f = \partial_{x_i} \zeta B^i$ and $f = a^{ij} \partial_{x_j} \partial_{x_i} \zeta$. Suppose we are able to show this for the functions $f_N = \max(\min(f, N), -N)$. Then (3.2) and (3.4) enable us to extend the same to the original function f , because for every $\varepsilon > 0$ these estimates give a number N such that the integral of $|f|I_{|f|>N}$ with respect to $\mu_{t,n} dt$ is less than ε . Indeed, it suffices to show that the integral of $G := V^k(1 + \delta(\Theta)\Theta)$ over the set $\{G \geq N\}$ with respect to $\mu_{t,n} dt$ does not exceed ε for N sufficiently large. Take n_1 such that $1/n_1 + \delta(s) < c\varepsilon$ for all $s \geq n_1$, where $c > 0$ is so small that $cN_{k+1}W_{k+1} < 1/2$. We may assume that $\delta \leq 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\{\Theta \geq n_1\}} G d\mu_{t,n} dt &= \int_0^{T_0} \int_{\{\Theta \geq n_1\}} (\Theta^{-1} + \delta(\Theta))V^k\Theta d\mu_{t,n} dt \\ &\leq c\varepsilon \int_0^{T_0} \int_{H_n} V^k\Theta d\mu_{t,n} dt \leq \varepsilon/2. \end{aligned}$$

For any $N \geq n_1$ and $t < T_0$ we have

$$\int_{\{G \geq N, \Theta \leq n_1\}} G d\mu_{t,n} \leq (1 + n_1) \int_{\{V^k \geq N/(1+n_1)\}} V^k d\mu_{t,n} \leq N^{-1}(1 + n_1)^2 N_k W_k,$$

which can be made smaller than $\varepsilon/2$ uniformly in $t < T_0$ for all N sufficiently large.

Thus, it remains to justify the desired convergence in the case of f_N , which will be now denoted by f . We recall that the restriction of such a function f to every set $\{\Theta \leq R\} \times (0, T_0)$ is continuous in the first variable. Dividing by N we assume

that $|f| \leq 1$. If f were continuous in x on the whole space, this would follow at once from the weak convergence of $\mu_{t,n}$ for every fixed t . Our situation reduces to this one in the standard way: given $\varepsilon > 0$, we find R so large that the set $\{\Theta \geq R\} \times (0, T_0)$ has measure less than ε with respect to all measures $\mu_{t,n} dt$ and $\mu_t dt$. By our assumption the set $\Omega = \{\Theta \leq R\}$ is compact in \mathbb{R}^∞ . The mapping $t \mapsto f(\cdot, t)$ from $(0, T_0)$ to $C(\Omega)$ is Borel measurable. By Dugundji's theorem (see [14, Chapter III, Section 7]), there is a linear extension operator $E: C(\Omega) \rightarrow C_b(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ such that $E\varphi(x) = \varphi(x)$ for all $\varphi \in C(\Omega)$, $x \in \Omega$ and $\|E\varphi\|_\infty = \|\varphi\|_\infty$. Letting $g(x, t) = Ef(\cdot, t)(x)$, we obtain a Borel function (since it is Borel measurable in t and continuous in x , see [2, Lemma 6.4.6]) such that $|g| \leq 1$ and $g(t, x) = f(t, x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$. The integral of g with respect to $\mu_{t,n} dt$ converges to the integral of g with respect to $\mu_t dt$ and the integrals of $|f - g|$ with respect to these measures do not exceed ε . Therefore, the measure $\mu = \mu_t dt$ satisfies our parabolic equation with initial distribution ν . \square

The condition that $V \geq 1$ is taken just for simplicity of estimates: it can be replaced by $V \geq 0$ if we add constants in the right sides of (3.1) and (3.2).

In typical examples V and Θ are quadratic functions (with added constants). For example, we shall use $V(x) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \beta_i x_i^2 + 1$ and $\Theta(x) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \alpha_i x_i^2$. There is also a version of this theorem applicable to exponents of quadratic functions (the first inequality in (3.1) is not suitable for such functions).

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that in Theorem 3.1 condition (3.1) is replaced by*

$$LV(x, t) \leq V(x) - V(x)\Theta(x) \tag{3.7}$$

and (3.2) is replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} |a^{ij}(x, t)| + |B^i(x, t)| &\leq C_i(1 + \delta(V(x)\Theta(x))V(x)\Theta(x)), \\ (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty \times (0, T_0). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Then, for every Borel probability measure ν on \mathbb{R}^∞ with

$$W_1 := \sup_n \|V \circ P_n\|_{L^1(\nu)} < \infty$$

the Cauchy problem (1.1) with initial distribution ν has a solution of the form $\mu = \mu_t dt$ with Borel probability measures μ_t on \mathbb{R}^∞ such that for $t \in (0, T_0)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} V d\mu_t + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} V\Theta d\mu_s ds \leq 4W_1. \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. The reasoning is much the same as in the previous theorem, but we use only one Lyapunov function V and use (3.7) in place of (3.4) to obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{H_n} V(x) \mu_{t,n}(dx) + \int_0^t \int_{H_n} V(x)\Theta(x) \mu_{s,n}(dx) ds \\ &\leq (e + 1) \int_{H_n} V(x) \mu_{0,n}(dx) \leq 4W_1. \end{aligned}$$

Another place where some difference arises is the estimate of the integral of $fI_{|f|>N}$, where $|f|$ is estimated by $C(1 + \delta(V\Theta)V\Theta)$, but this is easily done by using the previous inequality and the condition that $\delta(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Let us apply the last theorem to the Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equation associated with the stochastic Burgers type equations (see Example 2.11).

Example 3.3 (Stochastic Burgers equation). Let us return to the situation of Example 2.11. Let u belong to the linear span of $\{e_k\}$. Note that

$$\langle B(u), u \rangle_2 = -\|u\|_{H_0^1}^2.$$

Let $V(u) = \exp(\delta\|u\|_2^2)$. We have

$$LV(u) \leq 2\delta\left(\text{tr}S + 2\delta\langle Su, u \rangle_2 - \|u\|_{H_0^1}^2\right)V(u).$$

Taking $\delta < \varepsilon_0/4$ we obtain

$$LV(u) \leq (1 - \Theta(u))V(u), \quad \Theta(u) = 1 - 2\delta \text{tr}S + \delta\|u\|_{H_0^1}^2.$$

In addition, $|B^k(u)| \leq C(k) + C(k)\|u\|_2^2$. According to Theorem 3.2 for every initial condition v with $\exp(\delta\|u\|_2^2) \in L^1(v)$ there exists a probability solution μ of the Cauchy problem $\partial_t \mu = L^* \mu, \mu|_{t=0} = v$ such that

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_{L^2[0,1]} \|u\|_{H_0^1}^2 \exp(\delta\|u\|_2^2) \mu_t(du) dt < \infty.$$

According to Example 2.11 this μ is the unique probability solution with this property.

Example 3.4. Let us return to the situation of Example 2.12, where we deal only with the more general case (ii). Assume that $a^{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$ and that $\sum_i a^{ii} < \infty$. Let u belong to the linear span of $\{e_k\}$. Using the same Lyapunov function $V = \exp(\varepsilon G)$ as in that example, we obtain $LV \leq (C_3 - C_4 W_n)V$, where

$$W_n(u) = \int_0^1 |u'|^2 dx + \int_0^1 u^{2l} |u'|^2 dx + \int_0^1 u^{2l+2} dx + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_0^1 u^{2l+1} e_i dx \right)^2$$

for all $u \in H_m$ with $m \geq n$. According to Theorem 3.2 for every initial condition v with

$$V \in L^1(v)$$

there exists a probability solution μ of the Cauchy problem $\partial_t \mu = L^* \mu, \mu|_{t=0} = v$ such that

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_{L^2[0,1]} W_n(u)V(u) \mu_t(du) dt \leq C,$$

where C is independent of n . By Fatou’s theorem

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_{L^2[0,1]} V(u) \left[\|u'\|_2^2 + \|u^l u'\|_2^2 + \|u^{l+1}\|_2^2 + \|u^{2l+1}\|_2^2 \right] \mu_t(du) dt \leq C.$$

We want to apply Example 2.12(ii) to show that this μ is the unique probability solution with this property. To this end it suffices to note that $\|u^m\|_{H_0^1} \leq C\|u\|_{H_0^1}^2 + C\|u^l u'\|_2^2$, since $2 \leq m < l + 1$. This partially generalizes a result in [32] (where restrictions on m and l are imposed, see [32, Condition (F1)] for details).

Let us apply the existence theorems to the Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equation associated with the stochastic Navier-Stokes equation in any dimension (a special case has been considered in Example 2.13).

Example 3.5. The stochastic equation of Navier-Stokes type is considered in the space V_2 of \mathbb{R}^d -valued mappings $u = (u^1, \dots, u^d)$ such that $u^j \in H_0^{2,1}(D)$ and $\operatorname{div} u = 0$, where $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary. The space V_2 is equipped with its natural Hilbert norm $\|u\|_{V_2}$ defined by

$$\|u\|_{V_2}^2 := \sum_{j=1}^d \|\nabla_z u^j\|_2^2.$$

Let H be the closure of V_2 in $L^2(D, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and let P_H denote the orthogonal projection on H in $L^2(D, \mathbb{R}^d)$. The stochastic Navier-Stokes equation is formally written as

$$du(z, t) = \sqrt{2}dW(z, t) + P_H \left[\Delta_z u(z, t) - \sum_{j=1}^d u^j(z, t) \partial_{z_j} u(z, t) + F(z, u(z, t), t) \right] dt,$$

where W is a Wiener process of the form $W(z, t) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sqrt{\alpha_n} w_n(t) \eta_n(z)$, where

$$\alpha_n \geq 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n < \infty,$$

w_n are independent Wiener processes, and $\{\eta_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis in H , and

$$F: D \times \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$$

is a bounded continuous mapping. No interpretation of this equation is needed for the sequel, it should be regarded only as a heuristic expression leading to a specific form of the corresponding elliptic operator. The case $F = 0$ is the classical stochastic Navier-Stokes equation. Note that the action of P_H in the right-hand side is defined in the natural way: $P_H \Delta_z u(z, t) := P_H \Delta_z u(\cdot, t)(z)$ and similarly for the other terms. Since the Laplacian Δ is not defined on all of V_2 , this equation

requires some interpretation. Our approach suggests the following procedure. It is known (see [28]) that there exists an orthonormal basis $\{\eta_n\}$ in H formed by eigenfunctions of Δ with eigenvalues $-\lambda_n < 0$ such that $\eta_n \in V_2$. Employing the fact that $\langle P_H w, \eta_n \rangle_2 = \langle w, \eta_n \rangle_2$ for any $w \in L^2(D, \mathbb{R}^d)$, we introduce the “coordinate” functions

$$\begin{aligned} B^n(u, t) &= \langle u, \Delta \eta_n \rangle_2 - \sum_{j=1}^d \langle P_H(u^j \partial_{z_j} u), \eta_n \rangle_2 + \langle P_H F(\cdot, u(\cdot, t), t), \eta_n \rangle_2 \\ &= \langle u, \Delta \eta_n \rangle_2 - \sum_{j=1}^d \langle \partial_{z_j} u, u^j \eta_n \rangle_2 + \langle F(\cdot, u(\cdot, t), t), \eta_n \rangle_2. \end{aligned}$$

These functions are defined by the last line on all of V_2 . They are continuous on balls in V_2 with respect to the topology of $L^2(D, \mathbb{R}^d)$, which follows by the compactness of the embedding of $H^{2,1}(D) \rightarrow L^2(D)$. Choosing a Wiener process of the above form, we arrive at the operator

$$L\varphi(u, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \partial_{\eta_n}^2 \varphi(u, t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B^n(u, t) \partial_{\eta_n} \varphi(u, t).$$

Since for every u from the linear span of $\{\eta_n\}$ one has

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^d \langle u, \eta_n \rangle_2 \langle \partial_{z_j} u, u^j \eta_n \rangle_2 = \sum_{j=1}^d \langle u, u^j \partial_{z_j} u \rangle_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \int_D |u(z)|^2 \operatorname{div} u(z) \, dz = 0$$

and $\langle \Delta u, u \rangle_2 = -\|u\|_{V_2}^2$, we have the estimate

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \langle u, \eta_n \rangle_2 B^n(u, t) \leq C_1 - C_1 \|u\|_{V_2}^2$$

for all u in the linear span of η_1, \dots, η_N , where C_1 is a constant independent of N . Clearly, we have also

$$|B^n(u, t)| \leq C_2(n) + C_2(n) \|u\|_2^2.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.1 applied with $\Theta(u) = C_1 \|u\|_{V_2}^2$ and $V(u) = \|u\|_2^2 + 1$ (the above estimates along with convergence of the series of α_n mean that we have (3.1)) there is a probability measure $\mu = \mu_t \, dt$ on $V_2 \times [0, T_0)$, such that $\mu_t(H) = 1$ for all t and $\mu_t(V_2) = 1$ for almost all t , and solving the Cauchy problem (1.1) with any initial distribution ν for which $\|u\|_2^k \in L^1(\nu)$ for all k . This settles the easier problem of existence.

It should be also noted that Flandoli and Gatarek [21] proved (under the stated assumptions) the existence of a solution to the martingale problem associated with

the operator L such that this solution possesses all moments in H . One can show that the measure generated by this solution satisfies the Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equation in our sense.

Let us consider the 2d-Navier-Stokes equation, *i.e.*, $d = 2$ and $F = 0$, where we are able to establish also uniqueness. Recall that for every u from the linear span of $\{\eta_n\}$ one has

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^2 \langle u, \Delta \eta_n \rangle_2 \langle \partial_{z_j} u, u^j \eta_n \rangle_2 = 0.$$

Set $V(u) = \exp(\delta \|u\|_{V_2}^2)$. Let u be from the linear span of $\{\eta_n\}$. We have

$$LV(u) = 2\delta \left(\sum_n \alpha_n \lambda_n + 2\delta \sum_n \alpha_n \lambda_n^2 u_n^2 - \sum_n \lambda_n^2 u_n^2 \right) V(u).$$

Assume that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \lambda_n < \infty$. Hence for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$

$$LV(u) \leq (1 - \Theta(u))V(u), \quad \Theta(u) = 1 - \delta \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \lambda_n + \delta \|\Delta u\|_2^2,$$

where $\Theta(u) = +\infty$ if $u^i \notin H^{2,2}(D)$. According to Theorem 3.2 for every initial condition ν with $\exp(\delta \|u\|_{V_2}^2) \in L^1(\nu)$ there exists a probability solution μ of the Cauchy problem $\partial_t \mu = L^* \mu$, $\mu|_{t=0} = \nu$ such that

$$\int_0^{T_0} \int_H (1 + \|\Delta u\|_2^2) e^{\delta \|u\|_{V_2}^2} \mu_t(du) dt < \infty.$$

According to Example 2.13 this measure μ is the unique probability solution with this property.

Finally, we formulate one more existence and uniqueness result which is a combination of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 2.3.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $a^{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$ and $a^{ii} = \alpha_i > 0$. Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 are fulfilled with certain functions V and Θ . If there exists a Borel mapping $F = (F_n): \mathbb{R}^\infty \times (0, T_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\infty$ and numbers $p > 0, C > 0$ such that*

$$\|F(x, t)\|_{l_q^2}^2 \leq CV(x)^p \Theta(x)$$

and for each natural number n the difference $B^n(x, t) - F^n(x, t)$ depends only on t and x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , then, for every initial condition ν with $V \in L^k(\nu)$ for every $k \geq 1$, the class \mathcal{P}_ν (see Theorem 2.3) consists of exactly one element.

Example 3.7. Let $a^{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$ and $a^{ii} = \alpha_i > 0$. Suppose that

$$B^n(x, t) = -\beta_n x_n + F^n(x, t), \quad \text{where } \beta_n > 0.$$

Let $\gamma_n \in (0, +\infty)$ be such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \gamma_n < \infty.$$

Let

$$V(x) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n x_n^2, \quad \Theta(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n \gamma_n x_n^2.$$

Let c_{00} denote the subspace of all vectors $x \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$ with at most finitely many nonzero coordinates.

Suppose that a Borel mapping $F(\cdot, \cdot): \mathbb{R}^\infty \times (0, T_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\infty$ satisfies the following conditions: for each t it is continuous in x on every set $\{\Theta \leq R\}$ and there are numbers $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $C_1 > 0$, $C_2 > 0$, and $p > 0$ such that for all $t \in (0, T_0)$ and $x \in c_{00}$ one has

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n F^n(t, x) x_n \leq \varepsilon \Theta(x) + C_1 V(x), \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^{-1} |F^n(t, x)|^2 \leq C_2 (1 + \Theta(x)) V(x)^p.$$

Then, for every initial condition ν with $V \in L^k(\nu)$ for every $k \geq 1$, the class \mathcal{P}_ν consists of exactly one element.

Remark 3.8. As already noted, if the infinite-dimensional stochastic differential equation (SDE) associated to our Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equation has a solution in the sense of Stroock-Varadhan, then one gets a solution to the FPK-equation (but not vice versa). In contrast to that, uniqueness of solutions to the martingale problem does not imply the uniqueness of solutions to the FPK-equation, here the converse is true. Therefore, the existence parts in our Examples 3.3-3.5 can partly also be derived by probabilistic methods. It should also be pointed out that in these examples we always assume that (a^{ij}) is trace class. For existence results by probabilistic means in case of Example 3.3 and the first part of Example 3.4 without this condition we refer to [24] and its recent improvement [34]. Furthermore, we believe that by a similar method as in [16] one can also prove uniqueness for the FPK-equation in the Burgers case (see Example 3.3) without the trace class condition. Finally, we point out that here we consider the Burgers case only on the bounded domain $D = (0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}$. If $D = \mathbb{R}$, existence, however, also holds. This follows from the probabilistic results in [25].

References

- [1] S. ALBEVERIO and R. HØEGH-KROHN, *Dirichlet forms and diffusion processes on rigged Hilbert spaces*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Verw. Gebiete **40** (1977), 1–57.
- [2] V. I. BOGACHEV, “Measure Theory”, Vol. 1, 2, Springer, Berlin-New York, 2007.
- [3] V. I. BOGACHEV, G. DA PRATO and M. RÖCKNER, *On parabolic equations for measures*, Comm. Partial. Differential Equations **33** (2008), 397–418.
- [4] V. I. BOGACHEV, G. DA PRATO and M. RÖCKNER, *Parabolic equations for measures on infinite-dimensional spaces*, Dokl. Russian Acad. Sci. **421** (2008), 439–444 (Russian); Dokl. Math. **78** (2008), 544–549.

- [5] V. BOGACHEV, G. DA PRATO and M. RÖCKNER, *Fokker–Planck equations and maximal dissipativity for Kolmogorov operators with time dependent singular drifts in Hilbert spaces*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **256** (2009), 1269–1298.
- [6] V. BOGACHEV, G. DA PRATO, M. RÖCKNER and S. V. SHAPOSHNIKOV, *On the uniqueness of solutions to continuity equations*, *J. Differential Equations* (2015), doi:10.1016/j.jde.2015.05.003
- [7] V. BOGACHEV, G. DA PRATO and M. RÖCKNER, *Existence and uniqueness of solutions for Fokker–Planck equations on Hilbert spaces*, *J. Evol. Equ.* **10** (2010), 487–509.
- [8] V. BOGACHEV, G. DA PRATO and M. RÖCKNER, *Uniqueness for solutions of Fokker–Planck equations on infinite dimensional spaces*, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **36** (2011), 925–939.
- [9] V. I. BOGACHEV, G. DA PRATO, M. RÖCKNER and W. STANNAT, *Uniqueness of solutions to weak parabolic equations for measures*, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **39** (2007), 631–640.
- [10] V. I. BOGACHEV, N. V. KRYLOV and M. RÖCKNER, *On regularity of transition probabilities and invariant measures of singular diffusions under minimal conditions*, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **26** (2001), 2037–2080.
- [11] V. I. BOGACHEV, N. V. KRYLOV and M. RÖCKNER, *Elliptic and parabolic equations for measures*, *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* **64** (2009), 5–116 (Russian); *Russian Math. Surveys* **64** (2009), 973–1078.
- [12] V. I. BOGACHEV, M. RÖCKNER and S. V. SHAPOSHNIKOV, *Positive densities of transition probabilities of diffusion processes*, *Teor. Veroyatn. Primen.* **53** (2008), 213–239 (Russian), *Theory Probab. Appl.* **53** (2009), 194–215.
- [13] V. I. BOGACHEV, M. RÖCKNER and S. V. SHAPOSHNIKOV, *On uniqueness problems related to the Fokker–Planck–Kolmogorov equation for measures*, *J. Math. Sci. (New York)* **179** (2011), 759–773.
- [14] K. BORSUK, “Theory of Retracts”, Polish Scientific Publ., Warszawa, 1967.
- [15] G. DA PRATO, “Kolmogorov Equations for Stochastic PDEs”, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004.
- [16] G. DA PRATO and A. DEBUSSCHE, *m-dissipativity of Kolmogorov operators corresponding to Burgers equations with space-time white noise*, *Ann. Probab.* **41** (2013), 3306–3344.
- [17] G. DA PRATO, F. FLANDOLI, E. PRIOLA and M. RÖCKNER, *Strong uniqueness for stochastic evolution equations in Hilbert spaces perturbed by a bounded measurable drift*, *Ann. Probab.* **41** (2013), 3306–3344.
- [18] S. D. EIDEL’MAN, “Parabolic Systems”, Nauka, Moscow, 1964 (Russian), Scripta Technica, London; North-Holland, Amsterdam-London; Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen, 1969.
- [19] A. FIGALLI, *Existence and uniqueness of martingale solutions for SDEs with rough or degenerate coefficients*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **254** (2008), 109–153.
- [20] F. FLANDOLI, *Random perturbations of PDEs and fluid dynamics models*, In: “Lectures from the 40th Probability Summers School hold in Saint-Flour” 2010, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 2015, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011, pp. X+176.
- [21] F. FLANDOLI and D. GATAREK, *Martingale and stationary solutions for stochastic Navier–Stokes equations*, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **102** (1995), 367–391.
- [22] F. FLANDOLI and M. ROMITO, *Markov selections for the 3D stochastic Navier–Stokes equations*, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **140** (2008), 407–458.
- [23] A. FRIEDMAN, “Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type”, Krieger, Malabar, Florida, 1983.
- [24] I. GYÖNGY, *Existence and uniqueness results for semilinear stochastic partial differential equations*, *Stoch. Processes Appl.* **73** (1997), 271–299.
- [25] I. GYÖNGY and D. NUALART, *On the stochastic Burgers’ equation in the real line*, *Ann. Probab.* **27** (1999), 782–802.
- [26] I. GYÖNGY and C. ROVIRA, *On L^p -solutions of semilinear stochastic equations*, *Stoch. Processes Appl.* **90** (2000), 83–108.
- [27] Y. KATZNELSON, “An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis”, 3rd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.

- [28] O. A. LADYZHENSKAYA, “The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flow”, 2nd ed., Gordon and Breach, New York-Melbourne, 1969.
- [29] C. LE BRIS and P.-L. LIONS, *Existence and uniqueness of solutions to Fokker–Planck type equations with irregular coefficients*, Comm. Partial Differential Equation **33** (2008), 1272–1317.
- [30] O. A. OLEINIK, *On the smoothness of solutions of degenerating elliptic and parabolic equations*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **163** (1965), 577–580 (Russian).
- [31] F. O. PORPER and S. D. EIDEL’MAN, *Two-sided estimates of fundamental solutions of second-order parabolic equations and some application*, Russian Math. Surveys **39** (1984), 119–178.
- [32] M. RÖCKNER and Z. SOBOL, *Kolmogorov equations in infinite dimensions: well-posedness and regularity of solutions, with applications to stochastic generalized Burgers equations*, Ann. Probab. **34** (2006), 663–727.
- [33] M. RÖCKNER and T. ZHANG, *Uniqueness of generalized Schrödinger operators and applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **415** (2014), 83–109.
- [34] M. RÖCKNER, R. ZHU and X. ZHU, *A note on stochastic semilinear equations and their associated Fokker–Planck equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **415** (2014), 83–109.
- [35] S. V. SHAPOSHNIKOV, *On the uniqueness of integrable and probability solutions to the Cauchy problem for the Fokker–Planck–Kolmogorov equations*, Dokl. Ross. Akad. Nauk **439** (2011), 323–328 (Russian); Dokl. Math. **84** (2011), 565–570.
- [36] D. W. STROOCK and S. R. S. VARADHAN, “Multidimensional Diffusion Processes”, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1979.

Department of Mechanics and Mathematics
Moscow State University
119991 Moscow, Russia
and
National Research University
Higher School of Economics
Moscow, Russia
vibogach@mail.ru
starticle@mail.ru

Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa
Piazza dei Cavalieri 7
56126 Pisa, Italy
giuseppe.daprato@sns.it

Fakultät für Mathematik
Universität Bielefeld
D-33501 Bielefeld, Germany
roeckner@math.uni-bielefeld.de