

Maximum principle and symmetry for minimal hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$

BARBARA NELLI, RICARDO SA EARP AND ERIC TOUBIANA

Abstract. The aim of this work is to study how the asymptotic boundary of a minimal hypersurface in $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ determines the behavior of the hypersurface at finite points, in several geometric situations.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 53A10 (primary); 53C42 (secondary).

1. Introduction

In this article we discuss how, in several geometric situations, the shape at infinity of a minimal surface in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ determines the shape of the surface itself. A beautiful theorem in minimal surfaces theory is the Schoen's characterization of the catenoid [13]. It can be stated as follows. *Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a complete immersed minimal surface with two annular ends. Assume that each end is a graph, then M is a catenoid.* On the other hand, there exists a complete minimal annulus immersed in a slab of \mathbb{R}^3 [7]. A characterization of the catenoid in hyperbolic space, assuming regularity at infinity, was established by G. Levitt and H. Rosenberg in [6]. In a joint work with L. Hauswirth [4], the authors of the present article proved a Schoen-type theorem in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, in the class of finite total curvature surfaces.

In order to state our results we must recall the notion of asymptotic boundary of a surface. We denote the ideal boundary of $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ by $\partial_\infty(\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R})$, (see [3] for a definition). As we usually work in the disk model D_1 for \mathbb{H}^2 , $\partial_\infty(\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R})$ is naturally identified with the cylinder $\partial D_1 \times \mathbb{R}$ joined with the endpoints of all the non horizontal geodesic of $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. The *asymptotic boundary* of a surface M in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ is the set of the limit points of M in $\partial_\infty(\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R})$ with respect to the Euclidean topology of $D_1 \times \mathbb{R}$. The asymptotic boundary of the surface M will be denoted by $\partial_\infty M$, while the usual (finite) boundary of M will be denoted by ∂M . Analogous notions of boundaries hold in higher dimension. We would like to mention the fact that, in view of our results, we mainly need assumptions about the points of $\partial_\infty M$ lying on $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.

The authors were partially supported by CNPq and FAPERJ of Brasil.

Received November 11, 2012; accepted in revised version March 16, 2013.

Our first result is a new Schoen-type theorem in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. Namely, we replace Schoen's assumption that *each end is a graph* by the assumption that *each end is a vertical graph whose asymptotic boundary is a copy of the asymptotic boundary of \mathbb{H}^2* (Theorem 2.3).

Our second result is a *maximum principle* in a vertical (closed) halfspace. Assume that M is a minimal surface, possibly with finite boundary, properly immersed in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and that the boundary of M , if any, is contained in the closure of a vertical halfspace P_+ . Assume further that the points at finite height of the asymptotic boundary of M are contained in the asymptotic boundary of the halfspace P_+ . Then M is entirely contained in the halfspace P_+ , unless M is contained in the vertical halfplane ∂P_+ (Theorem 3.2).

Then we generalize our results to higher dimensions. Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 3.2 in higher dimension are analogous to the 2-dimensional case. In order to generalize Theorem 2.3, we first need to give a characterization of the n -catenoid analogous to that of the 2-dimensional case (Theorem 4.3, see also [2]). Moreover in the higher dimensional case, it is worthwhile to state some interesting consequences of our results. Let S_∞ be a closed set contained in an open slab of $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with height equal to $\pi/(n-1)$ such that the projection of S_∞ on $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^n \times \{0\}$ omits an open subset. We prove that there is no properly immersed minimal hypersurface M whose asymptotic boundary is S_∞ (Theorem 4.6-(2)).

Finally we prove an Asymptotic Theorem (Theorem 4.7), that implies the following non-existence result. There is no horizontal minimal graph over a bounded strictly convex domain, see [10, Equation (3)], given by a positive function g continuous up to the boundary, taking zero boundary value data (Remark 4.9).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The first and the third authors wish to thank the *Departamento de Matemática da PUC-Rio* for the kind hospitality. The first and the second authors wish to thank the *Laboratoire Géométrie et Dynamique de l'Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu* for the kind hospitality. Finally we would like to thank the referee for carefully reading our manuscript and for giving constructive comments.

2. A characterization of the catenoid in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$

We are going to prove the characterization of the catenoid presented in the Introduction.

For any fixed t , the surface $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{t\}$ is a complete totally geodesic surface called *slice*. For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by Π_s the slice $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{s\}$ and we set $\Pi_s^+ = \{(p, t) \mid p \in \mathbb{H}^2, t > s\}$ and $\Pi_s^- = \{(p, t) \mid p \in \mathbb{H}^2, t < s\}$. For simplicity Π stands for Π_0 .

Lemma 2.1. *Let Γ^+ and Γ^- be two Jordan curves in $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ which are vertical graphs over $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2 \times \{0\}$ and such that $\Gamma^+ \subset \partial_\infty \Pi^+$ and $\Gamma^- \subset \partial_\infty \Pi^-$. Assume*

that Γ^- is the symmetry of Γ^+ with respect to Π . Let $M \subset \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ be an immersed, connected, complete minimal surface with two ends E^+ and E^- . Assume that each end is a vertical graph and that $\partial_\infty M = \Gamma^+ \cup \Gamma^-$, that is $\partial_\infty E^+ = \Gamma^+$ and $\partial_\infty E^- = \Gamma^-$. Then M is symmetric with respect to Π . Furthermore, each part $M \cap \Pi^\pm$ is a vertical graph and M is embedded.

Proof. For any $t > 0$ we set $M_t^+ = M \cap \Pi_t^+$. We denote by M_t^{+*} the symmetry of M_t^+ with respect to the slice Π_t . Furthermore, we denote by t^+ the highest t -coordinate of Γ^+ . Since $\partial_\infty M = \Gamma^+ \cup \Gamma^-$, then $M \cap \Pi_{t^+} = \emptyset$, by the maximum principle.

We denote by E^+ the end of M whose asymptotic boundary is Γ^+ . As E^+ is a vertical graph, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $M_{t^+-\varepsilon}^+$ is a vertical graph, then we can start Alexandrov reflection [1].

We keep doing Alexandrov reflection with Π_t , doing $t \searrow 0$. By applying interior or the boundary maximum principle, we get that, for $t > 0$, the surface M_t^{+*} stays above M_t^- . Therefore we get that M_0^+ is a vertical graph and that M_0^{+*} stays above M_0^- .

Doing Alexandrov reflection with slices coming from below, one has that M_0^- is a vertical graph and that M_0^{-*} stays below M_0^+ , henceforth we get $M_0^{+*} = M_0^-$. Thus M is symmetric with respect to Π and each component of $M \setminus \Pi$ is a graph. Therefore we can show, as in the proof of [13, Theorem 2], that the whole surface M is embedded. This completes the proof. \square

Definition 2.2. A vertical plane is a complete totally geodesic surface $\gamma \times \mathbb{R}$ where γ is any complete geodesic of \mathbb{H}^2 .

Theorem 2.3. Let $M \subset \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ be an immersed, connected, complete minimal surface with two ends. Assume that each end is a vertical graph whose asymptotic boundary is a copy of $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2$. Then M is rotational, hence M is a catenoid.

Proof. Up to a vertical translation, we can assume that the asymptotic boundary is symmetric with respect to the slice Π . We use the same notations as in the proof of Lemma 2.1. We know from Lemma 2.1 that M is symmetric with respect to Π and that M_0^+ and M_0^- are vertical graphs. Therefore, at any point of $M \cap \Pi$ the tangent plane of M is orthogonal to Π .

We have $\partial_\infty M = \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2 \times \{t_0, -t_0\}$ for some $t_0 > 0$. Since M is embedded, M separates $\mathbb{H}^2 \times [-t_0, t_0]$ into two connected components. We denote by U_1 the component whose asymptotic boundary is $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2 \times [-t_0, t_0]$ and by U_2 the component such that $\partial_\infty U_2 = \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2 \times \{t_0, -t_0\}$. Let $q_\infty \in \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2$ and let $\gamma \subset \mathbb{H}^2$ be an oriented geodesic issuing from q_∞ , that is $q_\infty \in \partial_\infty \gamma$. Let $q_0 \in \gamma$ be any fixed point. For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by P_s the vertical plane orthogonal to γ passing through the point of γ whose oriented distance from q_0 is s . We suppose that $s < 0$ for any point in the half-geodesic (q_0, q_∞) . For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we call $M_s(l)$ the part of $M \setminus P_s$ such that $(q_\infty, t_0), (q_\infty, -t_0) \in \partial_\infty M_s(l)$ and let $M_s^*(l)$ be the reflection of $M_s(l)$ about P_s . We denote by $M_s(r)$ the other part of $M \setminus P_s$ and by $M_s^*(r)$ its reflection about P_s .

It will be clear from the following two Claims, why we can start Alexandrov reflection with respect to the vertical planes P_s and obtain the result. By assumption, there exists $s_1 < 0$ such that, for any $s < s_1$, the part $M_s(l)$ has two connected components and both of them are vertical graphs. We deduce that $\partial M_s(l)$ has two (symmetric) connected components, each one being a vertical graph. We recall that $\Pi^+ := \{t > 0\}$ and $\Pi^- := \{t < 0\}$.

Claim 1. For any $s < s_1$, we have that $M_s^*(l) \cap \Pi^+$ stays above $M_s(r)$ and $M_s^*(l) \cap \Pi^-$ stays below $M_s(r)$. Consequently $M_s^*(l) \subset U_2$ for any $s < s_1$.

Observe that $M_s^*(l) \cap \Pi^+$ and $M_s(r) \cap \Pi^+$ have the same asymptotic boundary and that $\partial(M_s^*(l) \cap \Pi^+) = \partial M_s(r) \cap \Pi^+$. Therefore the asymptotic and finite boundaries of $M_s^*(l) + (0, 0, t)$, $t > 0$, are above the asymptotic and finite boundaries of $M_s(r)$. Hence $M_s^*(l) + (0, 0, t)$, $t > 0$, is above $M_s(r)$ by the maximum principle, which ensures that the whole $M_s^*(l) \cap \Pi^+$ stays above $M_s(r)$ for any $s < s_1$, as desired. The proof of the other assertion is analogous. Then, Claim 1 is proved.

We now set

$$\sigma = \sup \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid M_t^*(l) \cap \Pi^+ \text{ stays above } M_t(r) \cap \Pi^+ \text{ for any } t \in (-\infty, s)\}.$$

Claim 2. We have $M_\sigma^*(l) = M_\sigma(r)$. Thus, given a geodesic $\gamma \subset \mathbb{H}^2$, there exists a vertical plane P_σ orthogonal to γ such that M is symmetric with respect to P_σ .

Note that we also have

$$\sigma = \sup \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid M_t^*(l) \subset U_2 \text{ for any } t \in (-\infty, s)\}.$$

In order to prove Claim 2, we first establish the following fact.

Assertion. For any s such that $M_s^*(l) \cap \Pi \subset U_2$, then $M_s^*(l) \subset U_2$.

As M is symmetric with respect to Π the intersection $M \cap \Pi$ is constituted of a finite number of pairwise disjoint Jordan curves C_1, \dots, C_k . Since $M \cap \Pi^+$ is a vertical graph we deduce

$$(C_j \times \mathbb{R}) \cap M = C_j \quad \text{for any } j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Moreover, since M is connected and symmetric about Π , we get that $M \cap \Pi^+$ is connected. Let $D_j \subset \Pi$ be the Jordan domain bounded by C_j , $j = 1, \dots, k$. Noticing that:

- $(M \cap \Pi^+) \setminus (\overline{D_j} \times \mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$;
- $M \cap \Pi^+$ is connected;
- $M \cap (C_j \times \mathbb{R}) = C_j$;
- $\partial_\infty M \cap \Pi^+ = \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2 \times \{t_0\}$;

we get that $(M \cap \Pi^+) \cap (D_j \times \mathbb{R}) = \emptyset$, $j = 1, \dots, k$. Hence, $D_i \cap D_j = \emptyset$ for any $i \neq j$. Therefore, $M \cap \Pi^+$ is a vertical graph over $\Pi \setminus \cup D_i$. By the previous facts, we deduce that $M_s^*(l) \cap \Pi \subset \cup \overline{D}_i$. This implies that $\partial(M_s^*(l) \cap \Pi^+) \cap \Pi \subset \cup \overline{D}_i$. Consequently we get that $\partial(M_s^*(l) \cap \Pi^+) + (0, 0, \varepsilon)$ stays above M for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Observe that the asymptotic boundary of $\partial(M_s^*(l) \cap \Pi^+) + (0, 0, \varepsilon)$ also stays above $\partial_\infty M$. We conclude by the maximum principle that the vertical translation $(M_s^*(l) \cap \Pi^+) + (0, 0, \varepsilon)$ stays above M for any $\varepsilon > 0$. This proves the Assertion.

Let us continue the proof of Claim 2. The definition of σ implies that $M_{\sigma+\varepsilon}^*(l) \cap U_1 \neq \emptyset$, for ε small enough. We deduce from the Assertion that $M_{\sigma+\varepsilon}^*(l) \cap \Pi$ is not contained in U_2 for any small enough $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence we infer that $M_\sigma^*(l) \cap \Pi$ and $M_\sigma(r) \cap \Pi$ are tangent at an interior or boundary point lying in some Jordan curve C_j contained in $M \cap \Pi$. Since $M_\sigma^*(l) \subset \overline{U}_2$, $M_\sigma(r) \subset \partial U_2$ and the tangent plane of M is vertical along $M \cap \Pi$, we are able to apply the maximum principle (possibly with boundary) to conclude that $M_\sigma^*(l) = M_\sigma(r)$, that is P_σ is a plane of symmetry of M . This proves Claim 2.

For any $\alpha \in (0, \pi/2]$ consider a continuous family of vertical planes making an angle α with P_σ , generated by hyperbolic translations along the horizontal geodesic $P_\sigma \cap \Pi$. Observe that the vertical planes of this family are not anymore orthogonal to a fixed horizontal geodesic. Nevertheless, the reflections with respect to any to those vertical planes keep globally unchanged the asymptotic boundary of M . Therefore we can perform Alexandrov reflection with this family of planes and, as before, we find a vertical plane of symmetry of M , say P^α . Hence M is invariant by the rotation of angle 2α around the vertical geodesic $P^\alpha \cap P_\sigma$. Choosing an angle α such that π/α is not rational, we find that M is invariant by rotation around the axis $P^\alpha \cap P_\sigma$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.3. \square

Remark 2.4. For any integer n , there exists a minimal surface in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ which is a vertical graph, whose asymptotic boundary is a copy of $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2$ and whose finite boundary is constituted of n smooth Jordan curves in the slice Π , see [11, Theorem 5.1]. In the same article the second and the third author asked about the existence of such graphs with two boundary curves in Π cutting orthogonally the slice Π . Theorem 2.3 implies that the answer to this question is negative.

3. Maximum principle in a vertical halfspace of $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$

In this section we prove a maximum principle in a vertical halfspace. More precisely, we prove that, under some geometric assumptions, the behavior of the asymptotic boundary of M at finite height, determines the behaviour of M .

Definition 3.1. We call a vertical halfspace any of the two components of $(\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}) \setminus P$, where P is a vertical plane.

Theorem 3.2. Let M be a minimal surface, possibly with finite boundary, properly immersed in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. Let P be a vertical plane and let P_+ be one of the two halfspaces determined by P . If $\partial M \subset \overline{P}_+$ and $\partial_\infty M \cap (\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}) \subset \partial_\infty P_+$, then $M \setminus \partial M \subset P_+$, unless $M \subset P$.

For the proof of Theorem 3.2 we need to consider the one-parameter family of surfaces $M_d, d > 0$, that have origin in [8, Section 4] and whose geometry is described in [11, Proposition 2.1]. This family of surfaces was already used, for example, in [9, Example 2.1]. We first describe the asymptotic boundary of M_d , for $d > 1$. Consider a horizontal geodesic γ in \mathbb{H}^2 , with asymptotic boundary $\{p, q\}$ and let α be the closure of a connected component of $(\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2 \times \{0\}) \setminus (\{p, q\} \times \{0\})$. Let

$$H(d) = \int_{\cosh^{-1}(d)}^{+\infty} \frac{d}{\sqrt{\cosh^2 u - d^2}} du, \quad d > 1$$

be the positive number defined in [11, (1)]. Notice that $\lim_{d \rightarrow 1} H(d) = +\infty$ and $\lim_{d \rightarrow +\infty} H(d) = \pi/2$.

Let α_d in $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2 \times \{H(d)\}$ and α_{-d} in $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2 \times \{-H(d)\}$ be the two curves that project vertically onto α . Let L_d, R_d be two vertical segments in $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ of height $2H(d)$ such that the curve $L_d \cup \alpha_d \cup R_d \cup \alpha_{-d}$ is a closed simple curve. Then $\partial_\infty M_d = L_d \cup \alpha_d \cup R_d \cup \alpha_{-d}$. Now we describe the position of M_d in the ambient space, for $d > 1$. First notice that M_d is symmetric about $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{0\}$ and it is invariant by any isometry of $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ that induces a hyperbolic translation along γ .

Denote by Q_γ the halfspace determined by $\gamma \times \mathbb{R}$, whose asymptotic boundary contains the curve α . Let γ_d be the curve in $Q_\gamma \cap (\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{0\})$ at constant distance $\cosh^{-1}(d)$ from γ . M_d contains the curve γ_d . Denote by Z_d the closure of the non mean convex side of the cylinder over the curve γ_d . Then, M_d is contained in Z_d which is contained in Q_γ . Notice that any vertical translation of the surface M_d is contained in Z_d . Moreover, any vertical translation of M_d is arbitrarily close to Q_γ if d is sufficiently close to 1.

We observe that in the description above, γ can be any geodesic of \mathbb{H}^2 .

Proof of Theorem 3.2. The proof is an application of the maximum principle between the surface M and the one-parameter family of surfaces $M_d, d > 1$. We choose the geodesic γ , in order to construct the M_d 's, as follows. Let $\gamma \subset \mathbb{H}^2$ be any geodesic such that

- P1: The halfspace Q_γ is strictly contained in $(\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}) \setminus P_+$;
- P2: $\partial_\infty \gamma \cap \partial_\infty P = \emptyset$.

Now, notice that:

- (1) The intersection of $\partial_\infty M$ with $\partial_\infty (\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}) \setminus \partial_\infty P_+$ contains no points at finite height;
- (2) The asymptotic boundary of any vertical translation of M_d is contained in the asymptotic boundary of $Q_\gamma \subset \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \setminus P_+$.

We claim that M_d and M are disjoint for any $d > 1$. Indeed, letting $p \rightarrow q$ (with respect to the Euclidean topology of the arc of circle in $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2$ between p and q in $\partial_\infty (\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \setminus P_+)$) - recall that p, q are the endpoints of the geodesic γ), one has that M_d collapses to a vertical segment in $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that,

when $p \rightarrow q$, the surfaces M_d always have a nonempty intersection with M . Then, there would exist a point of the asymptotic boundary of M at finite height in $\partial_\infty(\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}) \setminus \partial_\infty P_+$, giving a contradiction with (1). Then, if $M \cap M_d \neq \emptyset$, we would obtain a last intersection point between M and some modified M_d letting $p \rightarrow q$, contradicting the maximum principle. Therefore, by the maximum principle, any vertical translation of M_d and M are disjoint. Let $d \rightarrow 1$. By the maximum principle, there is no first point of contact between M_d and M . As we can apply the maximum principle between any vertical translation of M_d and M , one has that M is contained in the closed halfspace $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \setminus Q_\gamma$ for any geodesic γ satisfying the properties P1 and P2. Therefore, M is included in the closure of P_+ . Now we have one of the following possibilities:

- Some points of the interior of M touch $\partial P_+ = P$, then, by the maximum principle, $M \subset P$;
- $M \setminus \partial M$ is contained in the halfspace P_+ .

The result is thus proved. □

Let us give a definition, before stating some consequences of Theorem 3.2.

Definition 3.3. We say that $L \subset \partial_\infty(\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R})$ is a vertical line if $L = \{p\} \times \mathbb{R}$ for some $p \in \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2$. Given vertical consecutive lines L_1, \dots, L_k in $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, we define the set $P(L_1, \dots, L_k)$ as follows. Let P_i be the vertical plane such that $\partial_\infty P_i \cap (\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}) = L_i \cup L_{i+1}$ (with the convention that $L_{k+1} = L_1$). Denote by \tilde{P}_i the halfspace determined by the vertical plane P_i such that $\bigcup_j L_j \subset \partial_\infty \tilde{P}_i$. Then, we set $P(L_1, \dots, L_k) := \bigcap_i \tilde{P}_i$.

Corollary 3.4. Let M be a minimal surface, possibly with finite boundary, properly immersed in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and let $\Gamma = \partial_\infty M \cap (\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R})$. Let L_1, \dots, L_k be vertical lines in $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. If $\Gamma \subset L_1 \cup \dots \cup L_k$ and $\partial M \subset P(L_1, \dots, L_k)$, then $M \setminus \partial M$ is contained in $P(L_1, \dots, L_k)$, unless M is contained in one of the P_i .

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, M is contained in every halfspace \tilde{P}_i determined by the vertical plane P_i such that $\bigcup_j L_j \subset \partial_\infty \tilde{P}_i$, unless it is contained in one of the P_i . Hence it is contained in $P(L_1, \dots, L_k)$, by definition, unless it is contained in one of the P_i . □

Corollary 3.5. Let M be a complete minimal surface properly immersed in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. Let P be a vertical plane. If $\partial_\infty M \cap (\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}) \subset \partial_\infty P$, then $M = P$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, M is contained in the closure of both halfspaces determined by P , hence it is contained in P . Then $M = P$ because it is complete. □

Corollary 3.6. Let M be a complete minimal surface properly immersed in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that the asymptotic boundary of M is contained in the asymptotic boundary of a totally geodesic plane S of $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. Then $M = S$.

Proof. The proof is a simple consequence of the maximum principle and of the previous results. We do it for completeness. First assume that the asymptotic boundary of M is contained in the asymptotic boundary of a slice, say $\{t = 0\}$. Then, for n sufficiently large, the slice $\{t = n\}$ is disjoint from M . Now, we translate the slice $\{t = n\}$ down. The first contact point, cannot be interior because of the maximum principle, hence M must stay below the slice $\{t = 0\}$. One can do the same reasoning with slices coming from the bottom, and M must stay above the slice $\{t = 0\}$. Hence M coincides with the slice $\{t = 0\}$.

If the asymptotic boundary of M is contained in the asymptotic boundary of a vertical plane, the result follows from Corollary 3.5. \square

Corollary 3.7. *Let M be a minimal surface properly immersed in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. Assume that the projection of the asymptotic boundary of M into $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2 \times \{0\}$ omits a closed interval α joining two points p and q . Let γ be the horizontal geodesic in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \{0\}$ whose asymptotic boundary is $\{p, q\}$ and let Q_γ be the halfspace determined by $\gamma \times \mathbb{R}$ whose asymptotic boundary contains α . Then M is contained in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \setminus \overline{Q}_\gamma$.*

Proof. By hypothesis $\partial_\infty M \cap (\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R})$ is contained in the asymptotic boundary of $(\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}) \setminus Q_\gamma$. The result follows by Theorem 3.2 with $P_+ = (\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}) \setminus \overline{Q}_\gamma$. \square

Remark 3.8. There exist examples of minimal surfaces with asymptotic boundary equal to two vertical halflines, lines and a curve at finite height, see [8, Equation (32)] and [11, Proposition 2.1 (2)].

4. Some generalizations to $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$

Let us recall the construction and the properties of the n -catenoids in $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $n \geq 3$, established, by P. Bérard and the second author in [2, Proposition 3.2]. Given any $a > 0$ we denote by $(I_a, f(a, \cdot))$, where $I_a \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval, the maximal solution of the following Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} f_{tt} = (n - 1)(1 + f_t^2) \coth(f), \\ f(0) = a > 0, \\ f_t(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4.1 ([2]). *For $a > 0$, the maximal solution $(I_a, f(a, \cdot))$ gives rise to the generating curve C_a , parametrized by $t \mapsto (\tanh(f(a, t)), t)$, of a complete minimal rotational hypersurface \mathcal{C}_a (n -catenoid) in $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, with the following properties:*

- (1) *The interval I_a is of the form $I_a =] - T(a), T(a)[$, where*

$$T(a) = \sinh^{n-1}(a) \int_a^\infty \left(\sinh^{2n-2}(u) - \sinh^{2n-2}(a) \right)^{-1/2} du;$$

- (2) *$f(a, \cdot)$ is an even function of the second variable;*
- (3) *For all $t \in I_a$, $f(a, t) \geq a$;*

- (4) The derivative $f_t(a, \cdot)$ is positive on $]0, T(a)[$, negative on $] - T(a), 0[$;
- (5) The function $f(a, \cdot)$ is a bijection from $]0, T(a)[$ onto $[a, \infty[$, with inverse function $\lambda(a, \cdot)$ given by

$$\lambda(a, \rho) = \sinh^{n-1}(a) \int_a^\rho (\sinh^{2n-2}(u) - \sinh^{2n-2}(a))^{-1/2} du;$$

- (6) The catenoid C_a has finite vertical height $h_R(a) := 2T(a)$;
- (7) The function $a \mapsto h_R(a)$ increases from 0 to $\frac{\pi}{(n-1)}$ when a increases from 0 to infinity. Furthermore, given $a \neq b$, the generating catenaries C_a and C_b intersect at exactly two symmetric points.

We observe that the n -catenoids are properly embedded hypersurfaces. For later use, we need the following result. Although we believe that the result is classical, we give a proof for the sake of completeness. The reader is referred to [5, Chapter VII] or [14, Chapter 9, addendum 3] for the proof of the analogous statement in Euclidean space.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a finite union of connected, closed and embedded $(n - 1)$ -submanifolds C_j , $j = 1, \dots, k$, such that the bounded domains whose boundary are the C_j are pairwise disjoint. Assume that for any geodesic $\gamma \subset \mathbb{H}^n$, there exists a $(n - 1)$ -geodesic plane $\pi_\gamma \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ of symmetry of S which is orthogonal to γ . Then S is a $(n - 1)$ -geodesic sphere of \mathbb{H}^n .*

Proof. We will do the proof by induction on $n \geq 2$. First assume that $n = 2$. We may infer from the hypothesis that there exist two geodesics $c_1, c_2 \subset \mathbb{H}^2$ of symmetry of the closed curve S intersecting at some point $p \in \mathbb{H}^2$ and making an angle $\alpha \neq 0$ such that π/α is not rational. For any $q \in S$, denote by C_q the circle centered at p passing through q . The orbit of q under the rotation centered at p , of angle 2α , is contained in S . Then, being π/α not rational, C_q is contained in S . Let $\tilde{q} \neq q$ be points of S and let $C_{\tilde{q}}$ defined as above. If $C_q \neq C_{\tilde{q}}$ then the geodesic disks bounded by C_q and $C_{\tilde{q}}$ are not disjoint, since they have the same center, which contradicts the hypothesis. Consequently, we get $C_q = C_{\tilde{q}}$ and we conclude that S is a circle.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 3$. Assume that the statement holds for $k = 2, \dots, n - 1$. Let $\pi_0 \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a $(n - 1)$ -geodesic plane of symmetry of S .

Claim 1. $S \cap \pi_0$ is a $(n - 2)$ -geodesic sphere of π_0 .

Indeed, let $\gamma \subset \pi_0$ be a geodesic. By hypothesis there exists a $(n - 1)$ -geodesic plane $\pi_\gamma \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ orthogonal to γ which is a plane of symmetry of S . Since π_γ is orthogonal to π_0 , then $S \cap \pi_0$ is symmetric about $\pi_\gamma \cap \pi_0$ (which is a $(n - 2)$ -geodesic plane of π_0), see [12, Lemme 3.3.15]. As π_0 is a $(n - 1)$ hyperbolic space, $S \cap \pi_0$ satisfies the assumptions of the statement in \mathbb{H}^{n-1} . By the induction hypothesis, we deduce that $S \cap \pi_0$ is a $(n - 2)$ -geodesic sphere of π_0 . This proves Claim 1.

Let $p_0 \in \pi_0$ and $\rho_0 > 0$ be respectively the center and the radius of the $(n - 2)$ -geodesic sphere $S \cap \pi_0$.

Claim 2. Let $\pi_1 \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a $(n - 1)$ -geodesic plane of symmetry of S orthogonal to π_0 . Then $S \cap \pi_1$ is a $(n - 2)$ -geodesic sphere of π_1 with center p_0 and radius ρ_0 .

Claim 1 yields that $S \cap \pi_1$ is a $(n - 2)$ -geodesic sphere of π_1 . Since π_0 and π_1 are orthogonal, then the geodesic sphere $S \cap \pi_0$ is symmetric about π_1 . Therefore $p_0 \in \pi_1$.

If $n > 3$, then $(S \cap \pi_0) \cap \pi_1$ is the $(n - 3)$ -geodesic sphere with center p_0 and radius ρ_0 of $\pi_0 \cap \pi_1$ (which is a $(n - 2)$ hyperbolic space). If $n = 3$, then $(S \cap \pi_0) \cap \pi_1$ is constituted of two points whose the distance is $2\rho_0$. In both cases we infer that $\text{diam}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(S \cap \pi_1) \geq 2\rho_0$ and then the radius of the geodesic sphere $S \cap \pi_1$ is $\rho_1 \geq \rho_0$. Analogously we can show that $\rho_0 \geq \rho_1$. We deduce that $\rho_1 = \rho_0$, that is $S \cap \pi_0$ and $S \cap \pi_1$ have both center at p_0 and radius ρ_0 . This proves Claim 2.

Claim 3. Let $\pi_2 \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be any $(n - 1)$ -geodesic plane of symmetry of S . Then $S \cap \pi_2$ is a $(n - 2)$ -geodesic sphere of π_2 with center p_0 and radius ρ_0 .

Since S is symmetric with respect to π_0 and π_2 , π_0 and π_2 are distinct and S is compact, then the $(n - 1)$ -geodesic planes π_0 and π_2 cannot be disjoint.

Then, we find a third $(n - 1)$ -geodesic plane π_3 of symmetry of S , orthogonal to both π_0 and π_2 . Claim 2 implies that $S \cap \pi_2$ is a $(n - 2)$ -geodesic sphere of π_2 with center p_0 and radius ρ_0 . This proves Claim 3.

Now we finish the proof of the proposition as follows. Let $p \in S$ and let $\pi \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be any $(n - 1)$ -geodesic plane passing through p and p_0 . Let $\gamma \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be the geodesic through p_0 orthogonal to π . By Claim 2, there exists a $(n - 1)$ -geodesic plane π_γ of symmetry of S and orthogonal to γ . Claim 3 ensures that $p_0 \in \pi_\gamma$, then $\pi_\gamma = \pi$. Claim 3 yields also that $S \cap \pi$ is the $(n - 2)$ -geodesic sphere of π with center p_0 and radius ρ_0 , thus $d_{\mathbb{H}^n}(p, p_0) = \rho_0$. This shows that S is the $(n - 1)$ -geodesic sphere of \mathbb{H}^n of radius ρ_0 and center p_0 . \square

Now we establish a characterization of the n -catenoid, that is a generalization to higher dimension of Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $M \subset \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ be an immersed, connected, complete minimal hypersurface with two ends. Assume that each end is a vertical graph whose asymptotic boundary is a copy of $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^n$. Then M is a n -catenoid.*

Proof. Up to a vertical translation, we can assume that the asymptotic boundary of M is symmetric with respect to $\Pi := \mathbb{H}^n \times \{0\}$. We set $\Gamma^+ := \partial_\infty M \cap \{t > 0\}$ and recall that Γ^+ is a copy of $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^n$. As usual we set $M^+ := M \cap \{t > 0\}$.

The next claim can be shown in the same fashion as in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ (see Lemma 2.1 and the proof of Claim 2 of Theorem 2.3). For this reason we just state it.

Claim. M is symmetric about Π , and each connected component of $M \setminus \Pi$ is a vertical graph. Moreover, for any geodesic $\gamma \subset \Pi$ there exists a vertical hyperplane $P_\gamma \subset \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ orthogonal to γ which is a n -plane of symmetry of M . Therefore, $\pi_\gamma := P_\gamma \cap \Pi$ is a $(n - 1)$ -plane of symmetry of $\Sigma := M \cap \Pi$.

Using the result of the Claim we get that Σ satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 4.2. Then Σ is a $(n - 1)$ -geodesic sphere of Π , since $\Pi = \mathbb{H}^n \times \{0\}$. Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ be the catenoid through Σ and orthogonal to Π . We set $\mathcal{C}^+ := \mathcal{C} \cap \{t > 0\}$. Both \mathcal{C}^+ and M^+ are vertical along their common finite boundary Σ , hence they

are tangent along Σ . Let t_C (respectively t_M) the height of the asymptotic boundary of \mathcal{C}^+ (respectively M^+). Suppose for example that $t_C \leq t_M$. Then, lifting upward and downward M^+ , we obtain that M^+ is above \mathcal{C}^+ . Therefore we deduce that $M^+ = \mathcal{C}^+$ by applying the boundary maximum principle. The case $t_M \leq t_C$ is analogous. We conclude that $M = \mathcal{C}$ and the proof is completed. \square

In order to establish the generalization in higher dimension of Theorem 3.2, we need to state some existence results, established for $n \geq 3$, in [2, Theorem 3.8], inspired by [11, Proposition 2.1]. Before stating the theorem, we recall that an *equidistant hypersurface* is the set of points of $\mathbb{H}^n \times \{0\}$ equidistant to a totally geodesic $(n - 1)$ -hyperbolic submanifold of $\mathbb{H}^n \times \{0\}$.

Theorem 4.4 ([2]). *There exists a one-parameter family $\{\mathcal{M}_d, d > 1\}$ of complete embedded minimal hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ invariant under hyperbolic translations. Moreover \mathcal{M}_d consists of the union of two symmetric vertical graphs over the exterior of an equidistant hypersurface in the slice $\mathbb{H}^n \times \{0\}$. The asymptotic boundary of \mathcal{M}_d is topologically an $(n - 1)$ -sphere which is homologically trivial in $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. More precisely, we set:*

$$S(d) = \cosh(a) \int_1^\infty (t^{2n-2} - 1)^{-1/2} (\cosh^2(a)t^2 - 1)^{-1/2} dt, \text{ where } d =: \cosh^{n-1}(a).$$

Then, the asymptotic boundary of \mathcal{M}_d consists of the union of two copies of an hemisphere $S_+^{n-1} \times \{0\}$ of $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^n \times \{0\}$ in parallel slices $t = \pm S(d)$, glued with the finite cylinder $\partial S_+^{n-1} \times [-S(d), S(d)]$. The vertical height of \mathcal{M}_d is $2S(d)$. The height of the family \mathcal{M}_d is a decreasing function of d and varies from infinity (when $d \rightarrow 1$) to $\pi/(n - 1)$ (when $d \rightarrow \infty$).

Actually the family of hypersurfaces \mathcal{M}_d is contained in a wider family of hypersurfaces $\{\mathcal{M}_d, d > 0\}$ [2]. We observe that all the hypersurfaces \mathcal{M}_d are properly embedded. The hypersurfaces \mathcal{M}_d are the analogue in higher dimension of the surfaces M_d in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. Also, as in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, by (*vertical*) *hyperplane* we mean a complete totally geodesic hypersurface $\Pi \times \mathbb{R}$, where Π is any totally geodesic hyperplane of $\mathbb{H}^n \times \{0\}$. Moreover, we call a *vertical halfspace* any component of $(\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}) \setminus P$ where P is a vertical hyperplane. Thus, working with the hypersurfaces \mathcal{M}_d exactly in the same way as in Theorem 3.2, we obtain the following result:

Theorem 4.5. *Let M be a minimal hypersurface properly immersed in $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, possibly with finite boundary. Let P be a vertical geodesic hyperplane and P_+ one of the two halfspaces determined by P . If $\partial M \subset \overline{P_+}$ and $\partial_\infty M \cap (\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}) \subset \partial_\infty P_+$, then $M \setminus \partial M \subset P_+$, unless $M \subset P$.*

Obviously, the analogues in higher dimension of Corollaries 3.4, 3.5, 3.6 hold as well.

Part (1) of the next theorem is a generalization in higher dimension of Corollary 3.7, while part (2) was proved, for $n = 2$, by the second and the third author [11, Corollary 2.2].

Theorem 4.6. *Let $S_\infty \subset \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ be a closed set whose the vertical projection on $\partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^n \times \{0\}$ omits an open subset U .*

- (1) *Let M be a minimal hypersurface properly immersed in $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $\partial_\infty M = S_\infty$. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ be a vertical halfspace whose asymptotic boundary is contained in $U \times \mathbb{R}$. Then M is contained in $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R} \setminus \overline{Q}$;*
- (2) *Assume that S_∞ is contained in an open slab whose height is equal to $\frac{\pi}{n-1}$. Then, there is no connected properly immersed minimal hypersurface M in $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with asymptotic boundary S_∞ .*

Proof. The first statement is a consequence of Theorem 4.5 and the proof is analogous to that of Corollary 3.7.

Let us prove the second statement. Assume, by contradiction, that there is such a minimal hypersurface M with asymptotic boundary S_∞ . Then, up to a vertical translation, we can assume that M is contained in the slab $\mathcal{S} := \{\varepsilon < t < \frac{\pi}{n-1} - \varepsilon\}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, and thus $S_\infty \subset \partial_\infty \mathcal{S}$. Using (1) of the present Theorem and our assumptions, we find an $(n-1)$ -geodesic plane $\pi \subset \mathbb{H}^n \times \{0\}$ such that a component π^+ of $\mathbb{H}^n \times \{0\} \setminus \pi$ satisfies:

- (1) $\partial_\infty \pi^+ \subset U$;
- (2) $M \cap (\pi^+ \times \mathbb{R}) = \emptyset$.

Let $C \subset \mathbb{H}^n \times (0, \frac{\pi}{n-1})$ be any n -catenoid such that a component of its asymptotic boundary stays strictly above $\partial_\infty \mathcal{S}$ and the other component stays strictly below $\partial_\infty \mathcal{S}$.

We take a connected and compact piece K of C such that its boundary lies in the boundary of the slab \mathcal{S} . Let $q \in M$ be a point and let $q_0 \in \mathbb{H}^n \times \{0\}$ be the vertical projection of q . Let $p_\infty \in \partial_\infty \pi^+$ be an asymptotic point. Denote by $\tilde{\gamma} \subset \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^n \times \{0\}$ the complete geodesic passing through q_0 such that $p_\infty \in \partial_\infty \tilde{\gamma}$. We can translate K along $\tilde{\gamma}$ such that the translated K is contained in the halfspace $\pi^+ \times \mathbb{R}$. Now we come back translating K towards M along $\tilde{\gamma}$. Observe that the boundary of the translated copies of K does not touch M . Therefore, doing the translations of K along $\tilde{\gamma}$ we find a first interior point of contact between M and a translated copy of K . Hence, $M = C$ by the maximum principle, which leads to a contradiction. This completes the proof. □

Now we state a generalization of the Asymptotic Theorem proved in [11, Theorem 2.1].

Our result establishes some obstruction for the asymptotic boundary of a properly immersed minimal hypersurface in $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 4.7 (Asymptotic Theorem). *Let $\Gamma \subset \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ be a connected $(n-1)$ -submanifold with boundary. Let $\text{Pr} : \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^n$ be the projection on the first factor. Assume that:*

- (1) *There is some point $q_\infty \in \partial \text{Pr}(\Gamma)$ such that $q_\infty \notin \text{Pr}(\partial \Gamma)$;*
- (2) *$\Gamma \subset \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^n \times (t_0, t_0 + \frac{\pi}{n-1})$ for some real number t_0 .*

Then there is no properly immersed minimal hypersurface (possibly with finite boundary) $M \subset \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $\partial_\infty M = \Gamma$.

Proof. Assume, by contradiction, that there is such a minimal hypersurface M . Since $q_\infty \in \partial\text{Pr}(\Gamma)$ and $q_\infty \notin \text{Pr}(\partial\Gamma)$, there exists a $(n - 1)$ -geodesic plane $\omega \subset \mathbb{H}^n \times \{0\}$ such that a component ω^+ of $\mathbb{H}^n \times \{0\} \setminus \omega$ satisfies:

- (1) $q_\infty \in \partial_\infty \omega^+, q_\infty \notin \partial_\infty \omega$ and $\partial_\infty \omega^+ \cap \text{Pr}(\partial\Gamma) = \emptyset$;
- (2) If M_0 denotes a component of $M \cap (\omega^+ \times \mathbb{R})$ containing q_∞ in its asymptotic boundary, then
 - (a) $M_0 \subset \mathbb{H}^n \times (t_0, t_0 + \frac{\pi}{n-1})$ for some real number t_0 ;
 - (b) $\partial M_0 \subset \omega \times (t_0 + 2\varepsilon, t_0 - 2\varepsilon + \frac{\pi}{n-1})$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

Again, since $q_\infty \in \partial\text{Pr}(\Gamma)$ and $q_\infty \notin \text{Pr}(\partial\Gamma)$, there exists a $(n - 1)$ -geodesic plane $\pi \subset \mathbb{H}^n \times \{0\}$ such that a component π^+ of $\mathbb{H}^n \times \{0\} \setminus \pi$ satisfies:

- (1) $\pi^+ \subset \omega^+$;
- (2) $\partial_\infty \pi^+ \cap \text{Pr}(\Gamma) = \emptyset$;
- (3) $M_0 \cap (\pi^+ \times \mathbb{R}) = \emptyset$.

Therefore we can find a compact part K of a n -catenoid satisfying:

- (1) K is connected;
- (2) $K \subset \pi^+ \times (t_0 + \varepsilon, t_0 - \varepsilon + \frac{\pi}{n-1})$;
- (3) $\partial K \subset \mathbb{H}^n \times \{t_0 + \varepsilon, t_0 - \varepsilon + \frac{\pi}{n-1}\}$.

We deduce consequently that $M_0 \cap K = \emptyset$. Then, considering the horizontal translated copies of K and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 4.6, we get a contradiction by the maximum principle, which concludes the proof. \square

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.7:

Corollary 4.8. *Let $S_\infty \subset \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ be an $(n - 1)$ -closed continuous submanifold. Considering the halfspace model for \mathbb{H}^n , we can assume that $S_\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$. If S_∞ is strictly convex in Euclidean sense, then there is no connected properly immersed minimal hypersurface M in $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, possibly with finite boundary, with asymptotic boundary S_∞ .*

Remark 4.9. *It follows from Corollary 4.8 that there is no horizontal minimal graph in $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, [10, Equation (3)], given by a positive function $g \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \subset \partial_\infty \mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded strictly convex domain in Euclidean sense, assuming zero value on $\partial\Omega$.*

References

- [1] A. D. ALEXANDROV, *Uniqueness theorems for surfaces in the large. I*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) **21** (1962), 341–354.
- [2] P. BÉRARD and R. SA EARP, *Minimal hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, total curvature and index*, arXiv: 0808.3838v3.

- [3] P. EBERLEIN and B. O'NEILL, *Visibility manifolds*, Pacific J. Math. **46** (1973), 45–109.
- [4] L. HAUSWIRTH, B. NELLI, R. SA EARP and E. TOUBIANA, *A Schoen theorem for minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$* , Adv. Math. **274** (2015), 199–240.
- [5] H. HOPF, “Differential Geometry in the Large”, Notes taken by Peter Lax and John W. Gray. With a preface by S. S. Chern, Second edition, with a preface by K. Voss, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1000. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [6] G. LEVITT and H. ROSENBERG, *Symmetry of constant mean curvature hypersurfaces in hyperbolic space*, Duke Math. J. **52** (1985), 53–59.
- [7] H. ROSENBERG and E. TOUBIANA, *A cylindrical type complete minimal surface in a slab of R^3* , Bull. Sci. Math. (2) **111** (1987), 241–245.
- [8] R. SA EARP, *Parabolic and hyperbolic screw motion in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$* , J. Aust. Math. Soc. **85** (2008), 113–143.
- [9] R. SA EARP, *Uniqueness of minimal surfaces whose boundary is a horizontal graph and some Bernstein problems in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$* , Math. Z. **273** (2013), 211–217.
- [10] R. SA EARP, *Uniform a priori estimates for a class of horizontal minimal equations*, arXiv:1205.4375.
- [11] R. SA EARP and E. TOUBIANA, *An asymptotic theorem for minimal surfaces and existence results for minimal graphs in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$* , Math. Ann. **342** (2008), 309–331.
- [12] R. SA EARP and E. TOUBIANA, “Introduction à la Géométrie Hyperbolique et aux Surfaces de Riemann”, Cassini, 2009.
- [13] R. SCHOEN, *Uniqueness, symmetry, and embeddedness of minimal surfaces*, J. Differential Geom. **18** (1983), 791–809.
- [14] M. SPIVAK, “A Comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry”, Vol. IV. Third edition, Publish or Perish, Inc., Houston, Texas, 1999.

Dipartimento Ingegneria e Scienze dell'Informazione
e Matematica
Università di L'Aquila
via Vetoio - Loc. Coppito
67010 L'Aquila, Italia
nelli@univaq.it

Departamento de Matemática
Pontifícia Universidade Católica
do Rio de Janeiro
Rio de Janeiro
22453-900 RJ, Brazil
earp@mat.puc-rio.br

Université Paris Diderot, Paris 7
Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu
UMR CNRS 7586
UFR de Mathématiques
Case 7012
Bâtiment Chevaleret
75205 Paris Cedex 13, France
toubiana@math.jussieu.fr