

## On surfaces of general type with $q = 5$

MARGARIDA MENDES LOPES, RITA PARDINI AND GIAN PIETRO PIROLA

**Abstract.** We prove that a complex surface  $S$  with irregularity  $q(S) = 5$  that has no irrational pencil of genus  $> 1$  has geometric genus  $p_g(S) \geq 8$ . As a consequence, we are able to classify minimal surfaces  $S$  of general type with  $q(S) = 5$  and  $p_g(S) < 8$ . This result is a negative answer, for  $q = 5$ , to the question asked in [13] of the existence of surfaces of general type with irregularity  $q$  that have no irrational pencil of genus  $> 1$  and with the lowest possible geometric genus  $p_g = 2q - 3$  (examples are known to exist only for  $q = 3, 4$ ).

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 14J29.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $S$  be a smooth complex projective surface with irregularity  $q(S) := h^0(\Omega_S^1) \geq 3$ . The existence of a fibration  $f: S \rightarrow B$  with  $B$  a smooth curve of genus  $b > 1$  (“an irrational pencil of genus  $b > 1$ ”) gives much geometrical information on  $S$  (cf. the survey [14]). However, surfaces with an irrational pencil of genus  $b > 1$  can hardly be regarded as “general” among the irregular surfaces of general type: for instance, for  $b < q(S)$  the Albanese variety of such a surface  $S$  is not simple.

By the classical Castelnuovo-De Franchis theorem (cf. [6, Proposition X.9]), if  $S$  has no irrational pencil of genus  $> 1$  then the inequality  $p_g(S) \geq 2q(S) - 3$  holds, where  $p_g(S) := h^0(K_S)$  is, as usual, the geometric genus. This fundamental inequality has been recently generalized in [17] to Kähler varieties of arbitrary dimension.

The surfaces of general type  $S$  for which the equality  $p_g(S) = 2q(S) - 3$  holds are studied in [13]. There those with an irrational pencil of genus  $> 1$  are classified and the inequality  $K_S^2 \geq 7\chi(S) - 1$  is proven for  $S$  minimal. However, the question of the existence of surfaces with  $p_g(S) = 2q(S) - 3$  having no irrational pencil of

The first author is a member of the Center for Mathematical Analysis, Geometry and Dynamical Systems (IST/UTL). The second and the third author are members of GNSAGA-INdAM. This research was partially supported by FCT (Portugal) through program POCTI/FEDER and Project PTDC/MAT/099275/2008 and by MIUR (Italy) through project PRIN 2007 “Spazi di moduli e teorie di Lie”.

Received February 8, 2011; accepted in revised form May 2, 2011.

genus  $b > 1$  is wide open. At present, the state of the art is as follows:

- for  $q = 3$ , the only such surfaces are (the minimal desingularization of) a theta divisor in a principally polarized Abelian threefold ([11, 18]);
- for  $q = 4$ , a family of examples is constructed in [19];
- for  $q \geq 5$ , no example is known.

One is led to conjecture that for  $q > 4$  there are no surfaces with  $p_g = 2q - 3$  that have no irrational pencil. In this note we settle the case  $q = 5$ :

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $S$  be a smooth projective complex surface with  $q(S) = 5$  that has no irrational pencils of genus  $> 1$ . Then:*

$$p_g(S) \geq 8.$$

As a consequence we obtain the following classification theorem:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $S$  be a minimal complex surface of general type with  $q(S) = 5$  and  $p_g(S) \leq 7$ . Then either :*

- (i)  $p_g(S) = 6$ ,  $K_S^2 = 16$  and  $S$  is the product of a curve of genus 2 and a curve of genus 3; or
- (ii)  $p_g(S) = 7$ ,  $K_S^2 = 24$  and  $S = (C \times F)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ , where  $C$  is a curve of genus 7 with a free  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -action,  $F$  is a curve of genus 2 with a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -action such that  $F/\mathbb{Z}_2$  has genus 1 and  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  acts diagonally on  $C \times F$ . The map  $f: S \rightarrow C/\mathbb{Z}_2$  induced by the projection  $C \times F \rightarrow C$  is an irrational pencil of genus 4 with general fibre  $F$  of genus 2.

The idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is to obtain contradictory upper and lower bounds for  $K_S^2$  under the assumption that  $p_g(S) < 8$  and  $S$  is minimal.

For fixed  $q$  and  $p_g$ , by Noether's formula giving an upper bound for  $K^2$  is the same as giving a lower bound for the topological Euler characteristic  $c_2$ . More precisely, it is the same as giving a lower bound for  $h^{1,1}$ , the only Hodge number which is not determined by  $p_g$  and  $q$ . In our situation, the upper bound follows directly from the result of [9] that if  $S$  is a surface of general type with  $q = 5$ , having no irrational pencils, then  $h^{1,1} \geq 11 + t$ , where  $t$  is bigger or equal to the number of curves contracted by the Albanese map.

If the canonical system  $|K_S|$  has no fixed components, one can apply the results of [2] to get a lower bound for  $K_S^2$  which is enough to rule out this possibility. Hence the bulk of the proof consists in obtaining a lower bound for  $K_S^2$  under the assumption that  $|K_S|$  has a fixed part  $Z > 0$ . This is done in Section 2, where we improve by 1 in the case  $Z > 0$  a well known inequality for surfaces with birational bicanonical map due to Debarre (cf. Corollary 2.7). The proof is based on a subtle numerical analysis of the intersection properties of the fixed and moving part of  $|K_S|$  that is, we believe, of independent interest.

It would be possible to generalize Theorem 1.1 for  $q \geq 6$ , if a good lower bound for  $h^{1,1}(S)$  could be established. Unfortunately it is very difficult to extend the methods of [9] for  $q \geq 6$ . Recently, a lower bound on  $h^{1,1}$  has been obtained in [12] by completely different methods, but it is not strong enough for our purposes.

**Notation and conventions:** a *surface* is a smooth complex projective surface. We use the standard notation for the invariants of a surface  $S$ :  $p_g(S) := h^0(\omega_S) = h^2(\mathcal{O}_S)$  is the *geometric genus*,  $q(S) := h^0(\Omega_S^1) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_S)$  is the *irregularity* and  $\chi(S) := p_g(S) - q(S) + 1$  is the *Euler–Poincaré* characteristic.

An *irrational pencil of genus  $b$*  of a surface  $S$  is a fibration  $f: S \rightarrow B$ , where  $B$  is a smooth curve of genus  $b > 0$ .

We use  $\equiv$  to denote linear equivalence and  $\sim$  to denote numerical equivalence of divisors.

An effective divisor  $D$  on a smooth surface is  *$k$ -connected* if for every decomposition  $D = A + B$ , with  $A, B > 0$  one has  $AB \geq k$ . (Recall that on a minimal surface of general type every  $n$ -canonical divisor is 1-connected and, unless  $n = 2$  and  $K_S^2 = 1$ , it is also 2-connected (cf. [3])).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. We wish to thank Letterio Gatto and Stavros Papadakis for very helpful conversations.

## 2. Reider divisors

Let  $S$  be a surface and let  $M$  be a nef and big divisor on  $S$  such that  $M^2 \geq 5$ . By Reider's theorem, if a point  $P$  of  $S$  is a base point of  $|K_S + M|$ , then there is an effective divisor  $E$  passing through  $P$  such that either:

- $E^2 = -1, ME = 0$  or
- $E^2 = 0, ME = 1$ .

This suggests the following definition:

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $M$  be a nef and big divisor on a surface  $S$ . An effective divisor  $E$  such that  $E^2 = k$  and  $EM = s$  is called a  $(k, s)$  divisor of  $M$ .

By [8, (0.13)], the  $(-1, 0)$  divisors and the  $(0, 1)$  divisors are 1-connected. In addition, if  $E$  is a  $(-1, 0)$  divisor, using the index theorem one shows that the intersection form on the components of  $E$  is negative definite. In particular, there exist only finitely many  $(-1, 0)$  divisors of  $M$  on  $S$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $M$  be a nef divisor with  $M^2 \geq 5$  on a surface  $S$ . Then:

- (i) if  $E$  is a reducible  $(0, 1)$  divisor  $E$  of  $M$ , and  $0 < C < E$  then  $C^2 < 0$ ;
- (ii) if  $E_1, E_2$  are two distinct  $(0, 1)$  divisors of  $M$ , then  $E_1 E_2 = 0$  and  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are disjoint.

*Proof.* Let  $E, C$  be as in (i). The index theorem gives  $C^2 < 0$  if  $MC = 0$  and  $C^2 \leq 0$  if  $MC = 1$ . Assume that  $C^2 = 0$ . Then  $EC = (E - C)C > 0$ , since  $E$  is 1-connected, and therefore  $(E + C)^2 \geq 2$ . Since  $M^2 \geq 5$  and  $M(C + E) = 2$  we have a contradiction to the index theorem. Hence  $C^2 < 0$ .

Next we prove (ii). We have:

$$M^2 \geq 5, \quad M(E_1 + E_2) = 2, \quad M(E_1 - E_2) = 0,$$

hence by the index theorem we obtain:

$$2E_1E_2 = (E_1 + E_2)^2 \leq 0, \quad -2E_1E_2 = (E_1 - E_2)^2 \leq 0.$$

So  $E_1E_2 = 0$ . By 1-connectedness of  $E_1, E_2$  we conclude that neither divisor is contained in the other. Then we can write  $E_1 = A + B, E_2 = A + C$  where  $A \geq 0, B, C > 0$  and  $B$  and  $C$  have no common components.

Since  $M$  is nef and  $ME_i = 1$ , we have  $1 \geq MB (= MC)$  and so  $B^2 \leq 0, C^2 \leq 0$ . Then, since  $0 = (E_1 - E_2)^2 = (B - C)^2$ , we conclude that  $B^2 = C^2 = BC = 0$ . Hence by (i)  $B = E_1$  and  $C = E_2$ , namely  $A = 0$  and  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are disjoint.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $S$  be a surface and let  $M$  be a nef and big divisor such that the linear system  $|M|$  has no fixed components. Let  $E$  be a  $(0, 1)$  divisor of  $M$  and let  $C$  be the only irreducible component of  $E$  such that  $MC = 1$ . Then either  $|M|$  has a base point on  $C$  or  $C$  is a smooth rational curve.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $|M|$  has no base points on  $C$ . Then, since  $MC = 1$  the restriction map  $H^0(M) \rightarrow H^0(C, M|_C)$  has image of dimension at least 2. It follows that  $C$  is a smooth rational curve.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $X$  be a non ruled surface and let  $M$  be a divisor of  $X$  such that:*

- $M^2 \geq 5$ ,
- the linear system  $|M|$  has no fixed components and maps  $X$  onto a surface.

*Let  $C$  be an irreducible curve contained in the fixed locus of  $|K_X + M|$ . Then either:*

- (i)  $C$  is contained in a  $(-1, 0)$  divisor of  $M, MC = 0$  and  $C^2 < 0$ ;
- or*
- (ii)  $C$  is contained in a  $(0, 1)$  divisor of  $M, MC \leq 1$  and  $C^2 \leq 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $P \in C$  be a point. By Reider’s theorem, there is a  $(-1, 0)$  divisor or a  $(0, 1)$  divisor of  $M$  passing through  $P$ .

Assume for contradiction that  $C$  is not a component of any  $(-1, 0)$  or  $(0, 1)$  divisor of  $M$ . Since there are only finitely many distinct  $(-1, 0)$  divisors of  $M$  in  $S$ , we can assume that there is a  $(0, 1)$  divisor passing through a general point  $P$  of  $C$ . It follows that there are infinitely many  $(0, 1)$  divisors on  $S$ . Recall that two distinct

$(0, 1)$  divisors are disjoint by Lemma 2.2. Thus, since  $|M|$  has a finite number of base points, by Lemma 2.3  $X$  is ruled, against the assumptions.

So  $C$  is contained in a  $(-1, 0)$  divisor or a  $(0, 1)$  divisor  $E$  of  $M$ . In the first case,  $M$  being nef implies that  $MC = 0$  and so  $C^2 < 0$  by the index theorem. In the second case, again by nefness  $MC \leq 1$  and again by the index theorem  $C^2 \leq 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $S$  be a surface and let  $M$  be a nef and big divisor of  $S$  and let  $E$  be a  $(0, 1)$  divisor of  $M$ . If  $L$  is a divisor such that  $(M - L)^2 > 0$  and  $M(M - L) > 0$ , then  $EL \leq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $\gamma := M(M - L)$ . Then  $M(\gamma E - (M - L)) = 0$ . Since  $(M - L)^2 > 0$  and  $E^2 = 0$ ,  $\gamma(M - L) \not\sim E$ . Thus, by the index theorem  $0 > (\gamma E - (M - L))^2 = -2\gamma E(M - L) + (M - L)^2$ .

So  $E(M - L) > 0$ , and therefore  $EL \leq 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $S$  be a smooth minimal surface of general type and let  $M$  be a divisor such that*

- $Z := K_S - M > 0$ ;
- the linear system  $|M|$  has no fixed components and maps  $S$  onto a surface.

Then the following hold:

- (i) if  $M^2 \geq 5 + KZ$ , then  $h^0(2M) < h^0(K_S + M)$ ;
- (ii) if  $M^2 \geq 5$ ,  $(M - Z)^2 > 0$  and  $M(M - Z) > 0$ , then there are no  $(0, 1)$  divisors of  $M$ . Furthermore  $h^0(2M) < h^0(K_S + M)$  and every irreducible fixed component  $C$  of  $|K_S + M|$  satisfies  $MC = 0$ .

*Proof.* We observe first of all that  $h^0(2M) = h^0(K_S + M)$  if and only if  $Z$  is the fixed part of  $|K_S + M|$ .

(i) Assume for contradiction that  $h^0(2M) = h^0(K_S + M)$ . Let  $C$  be an irreducible component of  $Z$ . By Proposition 2.4,  $C^2 \leq 0$  and  $MC \leq 1$ . Now

$$-2 \leq C^2 + KC \leq C^2 + KZ,$$

and hence  $C^2 \geq -2 - KZ$ . It follows

$$(M - C)^2 = M^2 - 2MC + C^2 \geq M^2 - 2 - 2 - KZ = M^2 - 4 - KZ > 0.$$

In addition, we have:

$$M(M - C) = (M - C)^2 + C(M - C) \geq (M - C)^2 - C^2 \geq (M - C)^2 > 0.$$

Since  $MZ \geq 2$  by the 2-connectedness of canonical divisors, there is at least a component  $D$  of  $Z$  such that  $MD > 0$ . By Proposition 2.4, we have  $MD = 1$  and  $D$  is contained in a  $(0, 1)$  divisor  $E$  of  $M$ . Then Lemma 2.5 gives  $ED \leq 0$  for all the components of  $Z$ , and so  $EZ \leq 0$ .

But now since  $ME = 1$  and  $E^2 = 0$  we obtain that  $KE = 1 + EZ \leq 1$ . On the other hand,  $K_S E$  is  $> 0$  by the index theorem and it is even by the adjunction formula, hence we have a contradiction.

(ii) Let  $E$  be a  $(0, 1)$  divisor of  $M$ . Then we have  $EZ \leq 0$  by Lemma 2.5 and we get a contradiction as above. So there are no  $(0, 1)$  divisors of  $M$  on  $S$ . Hence by Proposition 2.4 every irreducible fixed curve of  $|K_S + M|$  satisfies  $MC = 0$ . Since  $MZ \geq 2$  by the 2-connectedness of the canonical divisors, not every component of  $Z$  can be a fixed component of  $|K_S + M|$  and therefore  $h^0(K_S + M) > h^0(2M)$ .  $\square$

As a consequence, we obtain the following refinement of [10, Theorem 3.2 and Remark 3.3]:

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $S$  be a minimal surface of general type whose canonical map is not composed with a pencil. Denote by  $M$  the moving part and by  $Z$  the fixed part of  $|K_S|$ . If  $Z > 0$  and  $M^2 \geq 5 + K_S Z$ , then*

$$K_S^2 + \chi(S) = h^0(K_S + M) + K_S Z + MZ/2 \geq h^0(2M) + K_S Z + MZ/2 + 1.$$

Furthermore, if  $h^0(K_S + M) = h^0(2M) + 1$  then  $|K_S + M|$  has base points and there is a  $(-1, 0)$  divisor or a  $(0, 1)$  divisor  $E$  of  $M$  such that  $EZ \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $M$  is nef and big, by Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing  $h^0(K_S + M) = \chi(K_S + M)$ , hence the equality follows by the Riemann-Roch theorem whilst the inequality is Proposition 2.6, (i).

For the second assertion it suffices to notice that  $h^0(K_S + M) = h^0(2M) + 1$  means that the image of the restriction map  $H^0(K_S + M) \rightarrow H^0(Z, (K_S + M)|_Z)$  is 1-dimensional. Since  $(K_S + M)Z \geq 2$ , the system  $|K_S + M|$  has necessarily base points. Thus there is a  $(-1, 0)$  divisor or a  $(0, 1)$  divisor  $E$  of  $M$ . By adjunction  $K_S E - E^2$  is even and so necessarily  $EZ \geq 1$ .  $\square$

### 3. Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $a : S \rightarrow A$  be the Albanese map of  $S$ . Notice that by the classification of surfaces the assumptions that  $q(S) = 5$  and  $S$  has no irrational pencil of genus  $> 1$  imply that  $S$  is of general type and  $a$  is generically finite onto its image. Without loss of generality we may assume that  $S$  is minimal. By [5], an irregular surface of general type having no irrational pencils of genus  $> 1$  satisfies  $p_g \geq 2q - 3$ . We assume for contradiction that  $p_g(S) = 7 = 2q(S) - 3$ , so that  $\chi(S) = 3$ . We denote by  $\varphi_K : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^6$  the canonical map and by  $\Sigma$  the canonical image. Since  $q(S) > 2$ ,  $\Sigma$  is a surface by [20].

We denote by  $t$  the rank of the cokernel of the map  $a^* : \text{NS}(A) \rightarrow \text{NS}(S)$ . Note that  $t$  is bigger than or equal to the number of irreducible curves contracted by the Albanese map.

Denote as usual by  $b_i(S)$  the  $i$ -th Betti number and by  $c_2(S)$  the second Chern class of  $S$ . By [9, Theorem 1,(3)], we have  $b_2(S) \geq 31 + t$ , namely  $c_2(S) \geq 13 + t$ . By Noether's formula this is equivalent to:

$$K_S^2 \leq 23 - t. \tag{3.1}$$

Denote by  $\mathbb{G}$  the Grassmannian of 2-planes of  $H^0(\Omega_S^1)^\vee$  and by  $\mathbb{G}^\vee$  the Grassmannian of 2-planes in  $H^0(\Omega_S^1)$ . By the Castelnuovo–De Franchis theorem, the kernel of the map  $\rho: \wedge^2 H^0(\Omega_S^1) \rightarrow H^0(K_S)$  does not contain any nonzero simple tensor. Hence  $\rho$  induces a morphism  $\mathbb{G}^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(K_S))$  which is finite onto its image. Since  $\dim \mathbb{G}^\vee = 6$ , it follows that  $\ker \rho$  has dimension 3,  $\rho$  is surjective and it induces a finite map  $\mathbb{G}^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(K_S))$ . As a consequence, we have the following facts:

- (a) the surface  $S$  is generalized Lagrangian, namely there exist independent 1-forms  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_4 \in H^0(\Omega_S^1)$  such that  $\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 + \eta_3 \wedge \eta_4 = 0$ . In addition, we may assume that  $\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2$  is a general 2-form of  $S$ . In that case, the fixed part of the linear system  $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V)$ , where  $V = \langle \eta_1, \dots, \eta_4 \rangle$ , coincides with the fixed part of the canonical divisor (cf. [15, Section 3]).
- (b) the canonical image  $\Sigma$  is contained in the intersection of  $\mathbb{G}$  with the codimension 3 subspace  $T = \mathbb{P}(\text{Im } \rho^\vee) \subset \mathbb{P}^9 = \mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 H^0(\Omega_S^1))$  (where  $\rho^\vee$  is the transpose of  $\rho$ ),
- (c) since  $\mathbb{G}^\vee$  is the dual variety of  $\mathbb{G}$ , the space  $T$  is not contained in an hyperplane tangent to  $\mathbb{G}$ , hence  $Y := \mathbb{G} \cap T$  is a smooth threefold.

Using the Lefschetz hyperplane section theorem we see that  $\text{Pic}(Y)$  is generated by the class of a hyperplane. Then  $\Sigma$  is the scheme theoretic intersection of  $Y$  with a hypersurface of degree  $m \geq 2$  of  $\mathbb{P}^6$ . Thus, since  $\mathbb{G}$  has degree 5 (cf. [16, Corollary 1.11]), it follows that  $\deg \Sigma = 5m$  and by [16, Proposition 1.9] we have  $\omega_\Sigma = \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(m - 2)$ . By [13, Theorem 1.2], the degree  $d$  of  $\varphi_K$  is different from 2. Since  $K_S^2 \leq 23$  by (3.1), the inequality  $K_S^2 \geq d \deg \Sigma = 5dm$  gives  $d = 1$ , namely  $\varphi_K$  is birational onto its image. So we have  $m \geq 3$ , since  $\omega_\mathbb{G} = \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{G}(-5)$  (cf. [16, Proposition 1.9]) and  $\Sigma$  is of general type.

Write  $|K_S| = |M| + Z$ , where  $Z$  is the fixed part and  $M$  is the moving part. If  $Z = 0$ , then in view of (a) we have  $K_S^2 \geq 8\chi = 24$  by [2, Theorem 1.2]. This would contradict (3.1), hence  $Z > 0$ .

Since  $m > 2$ , every quadric that contains  $\Sigma$  must contain  $Y$ . Recall that  $Y$  is obtained from  $\mathbb{G}$  by intersecting with 3 independent linear sections. Denote by  $R$  the homogeneous coordinate ring of  $\mathbb{G}$ . Since  $R$  is Cohen–Macaulay and  $Y$  has codimension 3 in  $\mathbb{G}$ , these 3 linear sections form an  $R$ -regular sequence. As a consequence (cf. [7, Proposition 1.1.5]) the (vector) dimension of the space of quadrics of  $\mathbb{P}^6$  containing  $Y$  is the same as the (vector) dimension of the space of quadrics of  $\mathbb{P}^9$  containing  $\mathbb{G}$ . Since the latter dimension is 5 (cf. [16, Proposition 1.2]), it follows that:

$$h^0(2M) \geq h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6}(2)) - 5 = 23.$$

Then by (3.1) and Corollary 2.7 we have:

$$26-t \geq K_S^2 + \chi(S) = h^0(K_S + M) + K_S Z + MZ/2 \geq 23 + K_S Z + MZ/2 + 1. \quad (3.2)$$

So  $K_S Z + MZ/2 \leq 2-t$ . Recall that  $MZ \geq 2$  by the 2-connectedness of canonical divisors.

Assume  $K_S Z = 0$ . Then every component of  $Z$  is an irreducible smooth rational curve with self-intersection  $-2$  and as such it is contracted by the Albanese map. Since  $K_S Z + MZ/2 \leq 2-t$ , the only possibility is  $t = 1$  and  $MZ = 2$ . Hence  $Z = rA$ , where  $A$  is a  $-2$ -curve. Since  $MZ = 2$  and  $K_S Z = 0$ , we have  $Z^2 = -2$  and so  $r = 1$ . Hence  $Z$  is a  $-2$ -cycle of type  $A_1$ ; in particular it is reduced and, in the terminology of [2], it is contracted by any subspace  $V \subseteq H^0(\Omega_S^1)$ . Then, again by (a) and [2, Theorem 1.2], we get  $K^2 \geq 8\chi = 24$ , a contradiction.

So  $K_S Z > 0$ . Then by (3.2) necessarily  $K_S Z = 1$ ,  $MZ = 2$  (yielding  $Z^2 = -1$ ) and  $h^0(K_S + M) = 24 \leq h^0(2M) + 1$ . As we have already remarked, the canonical image  $\Sigma$  has degree  $\geq 15$ . Therefore  $M^2 \geq 15 > 5 + K_S Z = 6$  and, by Corollary 2.7, there is a  $(-1, 0)$  or a  $(0, 1)$  divisor  $E$  of  $M$ . Since the hypotheses of Proposition 2.6, (ii) are satisfied,  $E$  must be a  $(-1, 0)$  divisor of  $M$ .

Then  $M(E+Z) = 2$  and so by the algebraic index theorem  $M^2(E+Z)^2 - 4 \leq 0$ , yielding  $(E+Z)^2 \leq 0$ . Since  $(E+Z)^2 = -2 + 2EZ$  and, by Corollary 2.7,  $EZ \geq 1$ , the only possibility is  $EZ = 1$  and  $(E+Z)^2 = 0$ . In this case  $K_S(E+Z) = 2$  and this is impossible by the proof of [2, Proposition 8.2], which shows that a minimal irregular surface with  $q \geq 4$ , having no irrational pencils of genus  $> 1$ , cannot have effective divisors of arithmetic genus 2 and self-intersection 0.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* By [5], a surface of general type  $S$  with  $q(S) = 5$  has  $p_g(S) \geq 6$  and, in addition, if  $p_g(S) = 6$  then  $S$  is the product of a curve of genus  $C$  and a curve of genus 3. Now statement (ii) is a consequence of Theorem 1.1 and [13, Theorem 1.1].  $\square$

## References

- [1] M. A. BARJA, *Numerical bounds of canonical varieties*, Osaka J. Math. **37** (2000), 701–718.
- [2] M. A. BARJA, J. C. NARANJO and G. P. PIROLA, *On the topological index of irregular surfaces*, J. Algebraic Geom. **16** (2007), 435–458.
- [3] W. BARTH, C. PETERS and A. VAN DE VEN, “Compact Complex Surfaces”, Ergebnisse der Mathematik, 3. Folge, Band 4, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [4] A. BEAUVILLE, *L’application canonique pour les surfaces de type général*, Invent. Math. **55** (1979), 121–140.
- [5] A. BEAUVILLE, *L’inégalité  $p_g \geq 2q - 4$  pour les surfaces de type général*, appendix to [10].
- [6] A. BEAUVILLE, “Complex Algebraic Surfaces”, second edition, L.M.S Student Texts 34, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [7] W. BRUNS and J. HERZOG, “Cohen-Macaulay Rings”, revised edition, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.



- [8] F. CATANESE, C. CILIBERTO and M. MENDES LOPES, *On the classification of irregular surfaces of general type with non birational bicanonical map*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350** (1998), 275–308.
- [9] A. CAUSIN and G. P. PIROLA, *Hermitian matrices and cohomology of Kaehler varieties*, Manuscripta Math. **121** (2006), 157–168.
- [10] O. DEBARRE, *Inégalités numériques pour les surfaces de type général*, with an appendix by A. Beauville, Bull. Soc. Math. France **110** (1982), 319–346.
- [11] C. D. HACON and R. PARDINI, *Surfaces with  $p_g = q = 3$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), 2631–2638.
- [12] R. LAZARSFELD and M. POPA, *Derivative complex BGG correspondence and numerical inequalities for compact Kähler manifolds*, Invent. Math. **182** (2010), 605–633.
- [13] M. MENDES LOPES and R. PARDINI, *On surfaces with  $p_g = 2q - 3$* , Adv. Geom. **10** (2010), 549–555.
- [14] M. MENDES LOPES and R. PARDINI, *The geography of irregular surfaces*, In: “Current Developments in Algebraic Geometry”, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., Cambridge Univ. Press **59** (2012), 349–378.
- [15] M. MENDES LOPES and R. PARDINI, *Severi type inequalities for surfaces with ample canonical class*, Comment. Math. Helv. **86** (2011), 401–414.
- [16] S. MUKAI, “Curves and Grassmannians”, Algebraic geometry and related topics (Inchon, 1992), 19–40, Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Algebraic Geom., I, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1993.
- [17] G. PARESCHI and M. POPA, *Strong generic vanishing and a higher dimensional Castelnuovo-de Franchis inequality*, Duke Math. J. **150** (2009), 269–28.
- [18] G. P. PIROLA, *Algebraic surfaces with  $p_g = q = 3$  and no irrational pencils*, Manuscripta Math. **108** (2002), 163–170.
- [19] C. SCHOEN, *A family of surfaces constructed from genus 2 curves*, Internat. J. Math. **18** (2007), 585–612.
- [20] G. XIAO, *Irregularity of surfaces with a linear pencil*, Duke Math. J. **55** (1987), 596–602.

Departamento de Matemática  
Instituto Superior Técnico  
Universidade Técnica de Lisboa  
Av. Rovisco Pais  
1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal  
mmlopes@math.ist.utl.pt

Dipartimento di Matematica  
Università di Pisa  
Largo B. Pontecorvo, 5  
56127 Pisa, Italy  
pardini@dm.unipi.it

Dipartimento di Matematica  
Università di Pavia  
Via Ferrata, 1  
27100 Pavia, Italy  
gianpietro.pirola@unipv.it