Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)
Vol. VII (2008), 313-341

The role of Onofri type inequalities in the symmetry properties of extremals for Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities, in two space dimensions

JEAN DOLBEAULT, MARIA J. ESTEBANR AND GABRIELLA TARANTELLO

Abstract. We first discuss a class of inequalities of Onofri type depending on a parameter, in the two-dimensional Euclidean space. The inequality holds for radial functions if the parameter is larger than -1. Without symmetry assumption, it holds if and only if the parameter is in the interval $(-1, 0]$.

The inequality gives us some insight on the symmetry breaking phenomenon for the extremal functions of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality, in two space dimensions. In fact, for suitable sets of parameters (asymptotically sharp) we prove symmetry or symmetry breaking by means of a blow-up method and a careful analysis of the convergence to a solution of a Liouville equation. In this way, the Onofri inequality appears as a limit case of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 26D10 (primary); 46E35, 58E35 (secondary).

1. Introduction

The Onofri inequality on the sphere S^2, see for instance [1, 14, 15], states that

$$\int_{S^2} e^{2\,u-2\int_{S^2} u\,d\sigma}\;d\sigma \;\le\; e^{\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2(S^2,d\sigma)}}, \tag{1.1}$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{E} = \{u \in L^1(S^2, d\sigma) : |\nabla u| \in L^2(S^2, d\sigma)\}$, where $d\sigma$ denotes the measure induced by Lebesgue's measure in $\mathbb{R}^3 \supset S^2$, normalized so that $\int_{S^2} d\sigma = 1$. Using the stereographic projection from S^2 onto $\mathbb{R}^2$, we see that (1.1) is equivalent to the following Onofri type inequality in $\mathbb{R}^2$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{v-\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v\,d\mu}\;d\mu \;\le\; e^{\frac{1}{16\pi}\,\|\nabla v\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,dx)}},$$

Received October 2, 2007; accepted February 19, 2008.

for all $v \in \mathcal{D} = \{v \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mu) : |\nabla v| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dx)\}$ where $d\mu$ denotes the probability measure

$$d\mu = \frac{dx}{\pi\,(1+|x|^2)^2}.$$

In this paper, we first note how the above inequality can be generalized to the family of probability measures

$$d\mu_\alpha = \frac{\alpha+1}{\pi}\,\frac{|x|^{2\alpha}\,dx}{(1+|x|^{2\,(\alpha+1)})^2},$$

for $\alpha > -1$, and investigate when the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{v - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v\,d\mu_\alpha}\,d\mu_\alpha \;\le\; e^{\frac{1}{16\pi\,(\alpha+1)}\,\|\nabla v\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,\,dx)}}, \tag{1.2}$$

holds in the space

$$\mathcal{E}_\alpha = \Big\{ v \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mu_\alpha) \;:\; |\nabla v| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dx) \Big\}.$$

In Section 2, we prove that (1.2) always holds for functions in $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$ which are radially symmetric about the origin. Meanwhile, without symmetry assumption, inequality (1.2) holds in $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$ if and only if $\alpha \in (-1, 0]$.

The Moser-Trudinger inequality was initially proved by N. Trudinger in [18], and then established in a sharp form by J. Moser in [14] using symmetrization techniques. In dimension two it involves a term $\exp(4\pi\,|u|^2)$. However, in [14], J. Moser also establishes (1.1), up to a non sharp constant, and this is why (1.1) is sometimes called a Moser or Moser-Trudinger inequality in the literature. Onofri's proof relies on conformal invariance and provides the sharp constant on S^2. Inequalities of the type (1.1) are known to hold over any compact surface (see [10]), but on S^2, the advantage of Onofri's inequality is that it holds with the best possible constants.

We use the above information to investigate possible symmetry breaking phenomena for extremal functions of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality (see [3]), in two space dimensions. Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{bp}}\,dx\right)^{2/p} \le\; C_{a,b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{|x|^{2a}}\,dx \quad \forall\, u \in \mathcal{D}_{a,b},\\ &\text{with } a < b \le a+1, \quad p = \frac{2}{b-a},\\ &\mathcal{D}_{a,b} = \{|x|^{-b}\,u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2, dx) : |x|^{-a}\,|\nabla u| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dx)\}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

and an optimal constant $C_{a,b}$. Typically (1.3) is stated with $a < 0$ (see [3]) so that the space $\mathcal{D}_{a,b}$ is obtained as the completion of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the space of smooth functions in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with compact support, with respect to the norm $\|u\|^2 =$

$\| \, |x|^{-b} \, u \, \|_p^2 + \| \, |x|^{-a} \, \nabla u \, \|_2^2$. Actually (1.3) holds also for $a > 0$ (see Section 2), but in this case $\mathcal{D}_{a,b}$ is obtained as the completion with respect to $\| \cdot \|$ of the space $\{u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) : \text{supp}(u) \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}\}$. We know that for $b = a+1$, the best constant in (1.3) is given by $C_{a,\, b=a+1} = a^2$ and it is never achieved (see [4, Theorem 1.1, (ii)]). On the contrary, for $a < b < a+1$, the best constant in (1.3) is always achieved, say at some function $u_{a,b} \in \mathcal{D}_{a,b}$ that we will call an *extremal function.* However $u_{a,b}$ is not explicitly known unless we have the additional information that $u_{a,b}$ is radially symmetric about the origin. In the class of radially symmetric functions, the extremals of (1.3) are given (see [4,6]) up to scalar multiplication and dilation, by

$$u_{a,b}^{\text{rad}}(x) = \left(1 + |x|^{-\frac{2a\,(1+a-b)}{b-a}}\right)^{-\frac{b-a}{1+a-b}}. \tag{1.4}$$

See [4] for more details and for a "modified inversion symmetry" property of extremal functions, based on a generalized Kelvin transformation. Also we refer to [12, 13, 16] for further partial symmetry results. On the other hand, extremals are known to be non-radially symmetric for a certain range of parameters (a, b) identified first in [4] and subsequently improved in [9]. Those results provide a rather satisfactory information about the symmetry breaking phenomenon for $u_{a,b}$ when $|a|$ is sufficiently large. Also they apply to any dimension $N \geq 3$, where inequality (1.3) reads as follows:

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{bp}}\, dx\right)^{2/p} \leq C_{a,b}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{|x|^{2a}}\, dx, \quad \forall\, u \in \mathcal{D}_{a,b}^N, \tag{1.5}$$

with $p = \frac{2\,N}{(N-2)+2(b-a)}$, $\mathcal{D}_{a,b}^N = \{|x|^{-b}u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N, dx) : |x|^{-a}|\nabla u| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, dx)\}$, an optimal constant $C_{a,b}^N$, and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a < (N-2)/2, a \leq b \leq a+1$. Again we observe that inequality (1.5) makes sense also if $a > (N-2)/2$ and $a \leq b \leq a+1$, where now the space $\mathcal{D}_{a,b}^N$ is given by the completion with respect to $\| \cdot \|$ of the set $\{u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) : \text{supp}(u) \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}\}$.

Inequality (1.5) is sometimes called the Sobolev-Hardy inequality see [6], as for $N > 2$ it interpolates between the usual Sobolev inequality ($a = 0,\ b = 0$) and the Hardy inequality ($a = 0,\ b = 1$); or the weighted Hardy inequalities (see [4]), since for $b = a + 1$ it furnishes a family of Hardy-type inequalities involving weights.

For $N \geq 3$ and $0 \leq a < (N-2)/2$, the extremal $u_{a,b}$ of (1.5) (which again exists for every $a < b < a+1$) is always radially symmetric (see [6], and for a survey on previous results, see [4]). On the other hand, when $a < 0$, this is ensured only in some special cases described in [12, 13]. Also see [16, Theorem 4.8] for an earlier but slightly less general result.

In this paper, we focus on the less investigated bidimensional case $N = 2$, and besides symmetry breaking phenomena, we explore the possibility of ensuring radial symmetry for the extremal $u_{a,b}$, a property which cannot be handled as in [12, 13, 16], (see in particular [16, Remark 4.9]).

To this purpose, first we check in Section2.2 that (1.3) (or more generally (1.5)) holds for all $a \neq 0$ (or $a \neq (N-2)/2$ if $N \geq 3$) and not only for $a < 0$ (or $a < (N-2)/2$) as it is usually found in literature. In this way we can analyze radial symmetry of $u_{a,b}$ in the range $a \neq 0$ and for all $b \in (a, a+1)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $a \neq 0$ and $N = 2$. If $a < b < h(a) = a + \frac{|a|}{\sqrt{1+a^2}}$, then* (1.3) *admits only* non radially symmetric *extremals.*

As in [4, 9], Theorem 1.1 follows by analyzing the linearized operator around the radial extremal $u^{\rm rad}_{a,b}$ and show that it yields to a saddle (and not a minimum) type solution.

Since as $|a| \to +\infty$, $0 < a + 1 - h(a) \to 0$, it is reasonable to look for radially symmetric extremals when $|a|$ is small. But so far, for $N = 2$, there was no result identifying a set of parameters (a, b) for which $u_{a,b}$ is shown to be radially symmetric. Here we provide a contribution in this direction which asserts that the above curve $h(a)$ is asymptotically optimal as $a \to 0$. More precisely, we show that if $a \to 0_+$, then $h'_+(0) = 2$ (or if $a \to 0_-$, then $h'_-(0) = 0$) gives the optimal value of the ratio b/a that signs the transition between radial symmetry and symmetry breaking.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $a \neq 0$ and $N = 2$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for $|a| \in (0, \delta)$, $b \in (a, a+1)$, if one of the following conditions holds*:

(i) *$a > 0$ and $b/a > 2 + \varepsilon$,*
(ii) *$a < 0$ and $b/a < -\varepsilon$,*

then the extremals of (1.3) *are* radially symmetric, *and given, up to scalar multiplication and dilation, by $u^{\rm rad}_{a,b}$ defined in* (1.4).

Note that, as a consequence of Theorem 1.1, we can also state , for small $|a|$, the following counterpart of Theorem 1.2 in case of symmetry breaking.

Corollary 1.3. *Let $N = 2$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $|a| \in (0, \delta)$, $b \in (a, a+1)$, if one of the following conditions holds*:

(i) *$a > 0$ and $b/a < 2 - \varepsilon$,*
(ii) *$a < 0$ and $b/a > \varepsilon$,*

then (1.3) *cannot admit a radially symmetric extremal.*

We will directly prove the weaker statement in Corollary 1.3 as a consequence of the Onofri type inequality (1.2). We emphasize that such an approach makes no use of the linearized problem around the radial solution (1.4) and could be helpful in other contexts. To prove the more complete result stated in Theorem 1.1, we use the Emden-Fowler transformation in order to formulate (1.3) (or more generally (1.5)) as the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality on the cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$ (or more generally

$\mathbb{R} \times S^{N-1}$). In this way we can analyze the linearized elliptic problem around the solution corresponding to (1.4) and see in which case it does not yield to a local minimizer. We shall obtain a precise description of the linearized problem in Section 3. This information will lead us directly to the proof of Theorem 1.1. It will be useful also to handle the more interesting part of our contribution given by Theorem 1.2, that will be derived from an argument by contradiction using a blow-up method and a careful analysis of the convergence to a solution of a Liouville equation

To emphasize the relevance of Theorem 1.2 and the advantage of our approach, we notice that it provides an alternative and direct proof of Onofri' s inequality (see Section 5) without any use of the conformal invariance, but rather by identifying it as a limiting case of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities.

In concluding we wish to bring the reader's attention to an Onofri type inequality in the cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$ (see Proposition 5.1 in Section 5). We believe it helps to illustrate the nature of the symmetry breaking phenomenon.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. This work has been partially supported by European Programs HPRN-CT # 2002-00277 & 00282, by the projects ACCQUAREL and IFO of the French National Research Agency (ANR) and by M.U.R.S.T. project: Variational Methods and Non Linear Differential Equation, Italy. The third author wishes also to express her gratitude to Ceremade for the warm and kind hospitality during her visits.

2. The Onofri inequality in connection to the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality

Consider the measure μ_α and the Banach space $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$, $\alpha > -1$, defined in Section 1. Here and from now on, we let $\|v\|_2$ denote $\|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2,dx)}$.

2.1. Onofri inequalities in $\mathbb{R}^2$

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\alpha > -1$. For all $v \in \mathcal{E}_\alpha$, there holds*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{v - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v \, d\mu_\alpha} \, d\mu_\alpha \le e^{\frac{1}{16\pi\,(\alpha+1)} \left(\|\nabla v\|_2^2 + \alpha\,(\alpha+2)\, \| \frac{1}{r}\, \partial_\theta v \,\|_2^2 \right)} . \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. We use polar coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^2 \approx \mathbb{C}$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we let $x = r\, e^{i\theta}$, $r \ge 0$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. We also consider cylindrical coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^3$, so that for $(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, we let $y = \rho\, e^{i\theta}$, $\rho \ge 0$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}$. In this way, we can write $\mathbb{R}^3 \supset S^2 = \{(\rho\, e^{i\theta}, z) : \rho^2 + z^2 = 1 \text{ and } \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\}$. We recall that the inverse Σ_0 of the usual stereographic projection from S^2 onto $\mathbb{R}^2$ is defined by

$$\Sigma_0\big(r\,e^{i\theta}\big) = (\rho\,e^{i\theta}, z) = \left(\frac{2\,r\,e^{i\theta}}{1+r^2}, \frac{r^2-1}{1+r^2}\right).$$

If u is defined on S^2, then $v = u \circ \Sigma_0$ is defined in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and for any continuous real function f in $\mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\pi \int_{S^2} f(u)\,d\sigma = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{f(v)}{(1+|x|^2)^2}\,dx \quad \text{and} \quad 4\pi \int_{S^2} |\nabla u|^2\,d\sigma = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2\,dx$$

whenever $f(u)$ and $|\nabla u|^2$ belong to $L^1(S^2)$.

In order to prove the proposition, we are going to use the inverse of a *dilated stereographic projection* given for all $\alpha > -1$ by the function $\Sigma_\alpha : \mathbb{R}^2 \to S^2$ such that

$$\Sigma_\alpha\big(r\,e^{i\theta}\big) = \left(\frac{2\,r^{\alpha+1}\,e^{i\theta}}{1+r^{2(\alpha+1)}}, \frac{r^{2(\alpha+1)}-1}{1+r^{2(\alpha+1)}}\right).$$

Note that for any $r \geq 0$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, $\Sigma_\alpha(r\,e^{i\theta}) = \Sigma_0(r^{1+\alpha}\,e^{i\theta})$ and, for any $\rho \geq 0$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $z \in [-1, 1]$,

$$\Sigma_\alpha^{-1}\big((\rho\,e^{i\theta}, z)\big) = \left(\frac{\rho}{1-z}\right)^{1/(\alpha+1)} e^{i\theta}.$$

Now, if f is a continuous real function in $\mathbb{R}$, $f(u)$, $|\nabla u|^2 \in L^1(S^2)$ and $v = u \circ \Sigma_\alpha$, then an elementary computation (see the Appendix) shows that

$$\int_{S^2} f(u)\,d\sigma = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(v)\,d\mu_\alpha,$$

$$4\pi \int_{S^2} |\nabla u|^2\,d\sigma = \frac{1}{\alpha+1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(|\nabla v|^2 + \alpha\,(\alpha+2)\left|\frac{1}{r}\,\partial_\theta v\right|^2\right)dx.$$

The result follows from Onofri's inequality (1.1). □

Corollary 2.2. *If* $\alpha \in (-1, 0]$, *then* (1.2) *holds true for any* $v \in \mathcal{E}_\alpha$.

Proof. It is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.1 since for $\alpha \in (-1, 0]$, we have $\alpha\,(\alpha+2) \leq 0$. □

This result is optimal. While (1.2) remains valid for all $\alpha > -1$ among radially symmetric functions (about the origin), in general it fails to hold in $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$ for $\alpha > 0$. In view of the proof of Corollary 2.2, this is a consequence of the conformal invariance and of the positivity of $\alpha\,(\alpha+2)$ for $\alpha > 0$, but this can also be seen from a more analytical point of view as follows.

Proposition 2.3. *If $\alpha > 0$, then inequality* (1.2) *fails to hold in $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$.*

Proof. Let us exhibit a counter-example to (1.2), which is valid for all $\alpha > 0$. For any $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, let us consider the function $v_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$2\,v_\varepsilon = \begin{cases} \log\left(\dfrac{\varepsilon}{(\varepsilon+\pi\,|x-\bar{x}|^2)^2}\right) & \text{if} \quad |x-\bar{x}| \le 1 \\ \log\left(\dfrac{\varepsilon}{(\varepsilon+\pi)^2}\right) & \text{if} \quad |x-\bar{x}| > 1 \end{cases}$$

where $\bar{x}$ denotes the point $(1,0)$. For this function we can calculate the various terms of (1.2).

First we compute the left hand side, and see that

$$\mu_\alpha(e^{2v_\varepsilon}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2v_\varepsilon}\,d\mu_\alpha = I_{\alpha,\varepsilon} + A_\alpha\,\frac{\varepsilon}{(\varepsilon+\pi)^2}$$

where

$$I_{\alpha,\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_{|x-\bar{x}|<1} \frac{1}{\left(1+\pi\,\left|\dfrac{x-\bar{x}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right|^2\right)^2}\,d\mu_\alpha$$

and $A_\alpha = \int_{|x-\bar{x}|>1} d\mu_\alpha$ is finite for all $\alpha > -1$. Now, by the change of variables $x = \bar{x} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\,y$ and dominated convergence, we find

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{|y|<1} \frac{|\bar{x}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\,y|^{2\alpha}}{\left(1+|\bar{x}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}\,y|^{2(\alpha+1)}\right)^2\left(1+\pi\,|y|^2\right)^2}\,dy \;=\; \frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{dy}{(1+\pi\,|y|^2)^2}.$$

So, for the function v_ε, the left hand side of (1.2) satisfies

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\mu_\alpha(e^{2v_\varepsilon}) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} I_{\alpha,\varepsilon} = \frac{\alpha+1}{4\pi}.$$

Next we compute the r.h.s. of (1.2), that is $\frac{1}{4\pi\,(\alpha+1)}\,\|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + 2\,\mu_\alpha(v_\varepsilon)$ and see that

$$\|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 = 4\,\pi\,\log\left(\frac{\varepsilon+\pi}{\varepsilon}\right) - \frac{4\,\pi^2}{(\varepsilon+\pi)}$$

and

$$2\,\mu_\alpha(v_\varepsilon) = J_{\alpha,\varepsilon} + A_\alpha\,\log\frac{\varepsilon}{(\varepsilon+\pi)^2},$$

where

$$J_{\alpha,\varepsilon} = \int_{|x-\bar{x}|<1}\log\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{(\varepsilon+\pi\,|x-\bar{x}|^2)^2}\right)d\mu_\alpha.$$

Using $A_\alpha = 1 - \int_{|x-\bar{x}|<1} d\mu_\alpha$, we get

$$2\,\mu_\alpha(v_\varepsilon) = \log\frac{\varepsilon}{(\varepsilon+\pi)^2} + B_{\alpha,\varepsilon}, \quad B_{\alpha,\varepsilon} = \int_{|x-\bar{x}|<1} \log\left(\frac{\varepsilon+\pi}{\varepsilon+\pi\,|x-\bar{x}|^2}\right)^2 d\mu_\alpha,$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} B_{\alpha,\varepsilon} = \int_{|x-\bar{x}|<1} \log\left(\frac{1}{|x-\bar{x}|^4}\right) d\mu_\alpha.$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{4\pi\,(\alpha+1)}\,\|\nabla v_\varepsilon\|_2^2 + 2\,\mu_\alpha(v_\varepsilon) = \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\log\varepsilon + O(1) \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon\to 0,$$

and comparing with the estimate above, we violate (1.2) for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. □

2.2. The extended Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality

The range in which inequalities (1.3) and (1.5) are usually considered can be extended as follows.

Lemma 2.4. *If $N = 2$, then inequality (1.3) holds for any $a \neq 0$ and b such that $a < b \leq a+1$. If $N \geq 3$, then inequality (1.5) holds for any $a \neq (N-2)/2$ and b such that $a \leq b \leq a+1$.*

Proof. We use Kelvin's transformation and deal with the case $N = 2$. If $u \in \mathcal{D}_{a,b}$, then $v(x) = u\left(x/|x|^2\right)$ is such that $|x|^a\,|\nabla v| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dx)$. Hence, for $a > 0$, $b \in (a, a+1]$, define $a' = -a$, $b' = b - 2a \in (-a, -a+1]$ and apply (1.3) to the pair (a', b') with $p = 2/(b'-a')$ to obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\frac{|v|^p}{|x|^{b'p}}\,dx\right)^{2/p} \leq C_{a',b'}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{|\nabla v|^2}{|x|^{2a'}}\,dx \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}_{a',b'}.$$

Now, we make the change of variables $y = x/|x|^2$ and get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left(\frac{|u|^p}{|y|^{4-b'p}}\,dy\right)^{2/p} \leq C_{a',b'}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{|y|^{-2a'}}\,dy \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}_{a,b}.$$

Thus we arrive at the desired conclusion with $C_{a,b} = C_{a',b'}$, since

$$4 - b'p = bp, \quad -2a' = 2a \quad \text{and} \quad p = 2/(b'-a') = 2/(b-a).$$

Similarly in dimension $N \geq 3$, argue as above with $a = N-2-a'$, $b\,p = 2N - b'\,p$ and $p = 2N/(N-2-2(b'-a')) = 2N/(N-2-2(b-a))$. □

Surprisingly, the case $a > 0$ if $N = 2$, or $a > (N-2)/2$ if $N \geq 3$, has apparently never been considered. According to our argument, it requires to define with care the space $\mathcal{D}_{a,b}$. Indeed if a function $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \mathcal{D}_{a,b}$ for $a > (N-2)/2$, $N \geq 2$, then u must satisfy $u(0) = 0$. Although optimal functions for inequality (1.5), $a > (N-2)/2$, $N \geq 2$, have not been studied, it has been noted in [4, Theorem 1.4] that whenever $u > 0$ satisfies the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations, then, up to a scaling, it satisfies the "modified inversion symmetry" property, that is, there exists $\tau > 0$ such that

$$u(x) = \left|\frac{x}{\tau}\right|^{-(N-2-2a)} u\left(\tau^2 \frac{x}{|x|^2}\right) \quad \forall\, x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

The transformation $u \mapsto |x|^{-(N-2-2a)}\, u(x/|x|^2)$ is sometimes called the generalized Kelvin transformation, see *e.g.* [6]. The modified inversion symmetry formula can be shown for an optimal function u using the fact that v given in terms of u as in the proof of Lemma 2.4 is also an optimal function for inequality (1.5), with parameters a', b'.

2.3. The Onofri inequality as a limit case of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality in $\mathbb{R}^2$

We now relate inequalities (1.2) and (1.3). In this section, we will only consider the case $a < 0$. The case $a > 0$ follows by Lemma 2.4.

For $N = 2$, $\alpha > -1$, $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, let us make the following special choice of parameters:

$$a = -\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}\,(\alpha+1), \quad b = a + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad p = \frac{2}{\varepsilon}. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $u_\varepsilon = u_{a,b}^{\mathrm{rad}}$ be given in (1.4), that is

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = \left(1 + |x|^{2(\alpha+1)}\right)^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}.$$

We consider the functions

$$f_\varepsilon = \left[\frac{u_\varepsilon}{|x|^{a+\varepsilon}}\right]^{2/\varepsilon}, \quad g_\varepsilon = \left[\frac{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|}{|x|^a}\right]^2,$$

and the integrals

$$\kappa_\varepsilon = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_\varepsilon\, dx \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_\varepsilon = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} g_\varepsilon\, dx.$$

Straightforward computations show that

$$\kappa_\varepsilon = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|x|^{2\alpha}}{\left(1+|x|^{2(1+\alpha)}\right)^2} \frac{u_\varepsilon^2}{|x|^{2a}}\,dx = \frac{\pi}{\alpha+1}\int_0^\infty \frac{s^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}}{(1+s)^{\frac{2}{1-\varepsilon}}}\,ds,$$

$$\lambda_\varepsilon = 4a^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|x|^{2(2\alpha+1-a)}}{\left(1+|x|^{2(1+\alpha)}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-\varepsilon}}}\,dx.$$

Notice that we can use Euler's Gamma function $\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty s^{x-1}\,e^{-s}\,ds$, and on the basis of the well known identity:

$$2\int_0^\infty s^{2a-1}(1+s^2)^{-b}\,ds = \frac{\Gamma(a)\,\Gamma(b-a)}{\Gamma(b)},$$

deduce for λ_ε the following expression:

$$\lambda_\varepsilon = 4\pi\,|a|\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2-\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{1-\varepsilon}\right)}.$$

Lemma 2.5. *Let* $\alpha_0 > -1$, $v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $w_\varepsilon = (1+\varepsilon\,v)\,u_\varepsilon$. *With the above notations, we have*

$$\frac{1}{\kappa_\varepsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|w_\varepsilon|^p}{|x|^{bp}}\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |1+\varepsilon\,v|^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}}\,\frac{f_\varepsilon\,dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_\varepsilon\,dx}$$

and, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, *uniformly with respect to* $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\nabla w_\varepsilon|^2}{|x|^{2a}}\,dx = \lambda_\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2\left[\frac{8(1+\alpha)^2}{(1-\varepsilon)^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{u_\varepsilon^{2/\varepsilon}\,v}{|x|^{2(a-\alpha)}}\,dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v|^2 \frac{u_\varepsilon^2}{|x|^{2a}}\,dx + O(a^2\varepsilon)\right].$$

Proof. By definition of g_ε, we can write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\nabla w_\varepsilon|^2}{|x|^{2a}}\,dx = \lambda_\varepsilon + 2\,\varepsilon \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla(u_\varepsilon\,v)\,\frac{dx}{|x|^{2a}}}_{\text{(I)}} + \varepsilon^2 \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla(u_\varepsilon\,v)|^2\,\frac{dx}{|x|^{2a}}}_{\text{(II)}}.$$

A simple algebraic computation shows that

$$-\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{\nabla u_\varepsilon}{|x|^{2a}}\right) = \frac{4\,a^2}{\varepsilon}\,u_\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}-1}|x|^{2(\alpha-a)}. \qquad (2.3)$$

Using (2.3) and an integration by parts, we obtain

$$(\mathrm{I}) = \frac{4\,a^2}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^{2(\alpha-a)}\, u_\varepsilon^{2/\varepsilon}\, v\, dx\,.$$

As for (II), we expand $|\nabla(u_\varepsilon\, v)|^2$ and write

$$(\mathrm{II}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[v^2\, |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + u_\varepsilon\, \nabla(v^2) \cdot \nabla u_\varepsilon + u_\varepsilon^2\, |\nabla v|^2 \right] \frac{dx}{|x|^{2a}}$$

where the first two terms can be evaluated as above using (2.3) and an integration by parts. Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(v^2\, |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + u_\varepsilon \nabla(v^2) \cdot \nabla u_\varepsilon \right) \frac{dx}{|x|^{2a}} = \frac{4\,a^2}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^{2(\alpha-a)}\, u_\varepsilon^{2/\varepsilon}\, v^2\, dx\,.$$

To complete the proof we just remark that the function $|x|^{2(\alpha-a)} u_\varepsilon^{2/\varepsilon}$ is uniformly bounded for $\alpha \ge \alpha_0 > -1$. □

For a given $\alpha > -1$, we now investigate the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$. We prove that inequality (1.2) is a limiting case of inequality (1.3), whenever (1.3) admits a radially symmetric extremal for any ε small enough. In such a case, we can write (1.3) as follows:

$$\frac{1}{\kappa_\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|w|^p}{|x|^{bp}}\, dx \le \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\nabla w|^2}{|x|^{2a}}\, dx \right)^{1/\varepsilon}. \tag{2.4}$$

Thus, if we take $w = w_\varepsilon = (1 + \varepsilon\, v)\, u_\varepsilon$, then we have:

$$\frac{1}{\kappa_\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|w_\varepsilon|^p}{|x|^{bp}}\, dx \le \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda_\varepsilon} \left[\frac{8(1+\alpha)^2}{(1-\varepsilon)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{u_\varepsilon^{2/\varepsilon}\, v}{|x|^{2(a-\alpha)}}\, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|\nabla v|^2\, u_\varepsilon^2}{|x|^{2a}}\, dx \right] \right)^{1/\varepsilon} + O(a^2 \varepsilon^2).$$

In particular, observe that

$$\frac{|x|^{-bp}\, f_\varepsilon\, dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_\varepsilon\, dx} \sim \frac{\alpha+1}{\pi}\, |x|^{2\alpha}\, u_\varepsilon^{2/\varepsilon}\, dx \sim d\mu_\alpha(x) \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \to 0_+.$$

Proposition 2.6. *Let us fix $\alpha > -1$ and suppose that there exists a sequence $(\varepsilon_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converging to* 0 *such that the radial extremal function u_{ε_n} is also extremal for* (1.3) *with $(a, b, p) = (a_n, b_n, p_n)$ specified a follows,*

$$p_n = \frac{2}{\varepsilon_n}, \quad a_n = -\frac{\varepsilon_n}{1-\varepsilon_n}\,(\alpha+1), \quad b_n = a_n + \varepsilon_n\,.$$

Then, inequality (1.2) *holds true in $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$.*

Proof. As $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\lambda_{\varepsilon_n} = 4\pi\,|a_n| + o(\varepsilon_n), \quad \kappa_{\varepsilon_n} = \frac{\pi}{\alpha+1} + o(1).$$

Using Lebesgue's theorem of dominated convergence repeatedly and Lemma 2.5, for any $v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $w_{\varepsilon_n} = (1+\varepsilon_n\, v)\, u_{\varepsilon_n}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\kappa_{\varepsilon_n}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{|w_{\varepsilon_n}|^{p_n}}{|x|^{b_n p_n}}\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|1+\varepsilon_n\, v|^{\frac{2}{\varepsilon_n}}\;\frac{f_{\varepsilon_n}\,dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_{\varepsilon_n}\,dx} \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2v}\,d\mu_\alpha\,,$$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{\varepsilon_n}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{|\nabla w_{\varepsilon_n}|^2}{|x|^{2a_n}}\,dx = 1 + \varepsilon_n\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 2v\,d\mu_\alpha + \frac{1}{4\,(1+\alpha)\,\pi}\,\|\nabla v\|_2^2\right) + O(\varepsilon_n^2)$$

as $n \to +\infty$. The proposition follows by applying inequality (1.3) with $(a, b, p) = (a_n, b_n, p_n)$. By density we can finally choose v in the larger space $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$. □

Remark 2.7. Incidentally let us note that if we temporarily admit the result in Theorem 1.2, then we find a sequence of optimal functions as required by Proposition 2.6. In particular, for $\alpha = 0$, this gives an alternative proof of the Onofri inequality in $\mathbb{R}^2$ as a consequence of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality (1.3). Using the inverse Σ_0 of the stereographic projection, this also proves Onofri's inequality (1.1) on S^2.

Let us now consider another asymptotic regime in which $\alpha \to \infty$. Propositions 2.6 and 2.8 will be useful for the proof of Corollary 1.3 (symmetry breaking).

Proposition 2.8. *If $(\varepsilon_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(\alpha_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are two sequences of positive real numbers such that as $n \to +\infty$,*

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\varepsilon_n = 0, \quad \lim_{n\to+\infty}\alpha_n = +\infty \quad \textit{and} \quad a_n = -\frac{\varepsilon_n}{1-\varepsilon_n}\,(1+\alpha_n) \underset{n\to+\infty}{\to} 0_-\,,$$

then for n large enough, the radially symmetric extremal u_{ε_n} cannot be a global extremal for inequality (1.3)*.*

Proof. We argue by contradiction and assume that (2.4) holds with respect to the given choice of parameters. By definition of λ_{ε_n}, κ_{ε_n}, and Lebesgue's theorem of dominated convergence, we know that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon_n}}{|a_n|} = 4\pi \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n\to+\infty}(\alpha_n+1)\,\kappa_{\varepsilon_n} = \pi\,.$$

If $v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then by a direct computation, we find:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\alpha_n+1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{|u_{\varepsilon_n}(1+\varepsilon_n\,v)|^{p_n}}{|x|^{b_n p_n}}\,dx\\
&=(\alpha_n+1)\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{+\infty} r^{2\frac{\alpha_n+\varepsilon_n}{1-\varepsilon_n}+1}\,\frac{\big(1+\varepsilon_n\,v(r\cos\theta,r\sin\theta)\big)^{2/\varepsilon_n}}{\big(1+r^{2(\alpha_n+1)}\big)^{\frac{2}{1-\varepsilon_n}}}\,dr\,d\theta\\
&=\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{+\infty}\frac{t^{\frac{1+\varepsilon_n}{1-\varepsilon_n}}}{(1+t^2)^{\frac{2}{1-\varepsilon_n}}}\,\big(1+\varepsilon_n\,v(t^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha_n}}\cos\theta,\ t^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha_n}}\sin\theta)\big)^{2/\varepsilon_n}\,dt\,d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

We pass to the limit as $n\to+\infty$ and obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n\to+\infty}\frac{1}{\kappa_{\varepsilon_n}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{|u_{\varepsilon_n}(1+\varepsilon_n\,v)|^{p_n}}{|x|^{b_n p_n}}\,dx &=\frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}e^{2\,v(\cos\theta,\,\sin\theta)}\,d\theta\ \int_0^{+\infty}\frac{t\,dt}{(1+t^2)^2}\\
&=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}e^{2\,v(\cos\theta,\,\sin\theta)}\,d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\alpha_n+1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{u_{\varepsilon_n}^{2/\varepsilon_n}}{|x|^{2(a_n-\alpha_n)}}\,v\,dx\\
&=(\alpha_n+1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|x|^{2\frac{\alpha_n+\varepsilon_n}{1-\varepsilon_n}}\,\frac{v(x)}{(1+|x|^{2(1+\alpha_n)})^{\frac{2}{1-\varepsilon_n}}}\,dx\\
&=\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{+\infty}\frac{t^{\frac{1+\varepsilon_n}{1-\varepsilon_n}}}{(1+t^2)^{\frac{2}{1-\varepsilon_n}}}\,v(t^{\frac{1}{\alpha_n+1}}\cos\theta,\ t^{\frac{1}{\alpha_n+1}}\sin\theta)\,dt\,d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\lambda_{\varepsilon_n}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{|\,\nabla[u_{\varepsilon_n}(1+\varepsilon_n\,v)]\,|^2}{|x|^{2a_n}}\,dx &= 1+\frac{\varepsilon_n^2}{\lambda_{\varepsilon_n}}\,\frac{8\,(\alpha_n+1)^2}{(1-\varepsilon_n)^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{u_{\varepsilon_n}^{2/\varepsilon_n}}{|x|^{2(a_n-\alpha_n)}}\,v\,dx\\
&\quad+\ O\left(\frac{\varepsilon_n}{1+\alpha_n}\right)+O\left(\frac{\varepsilon_n\,a_n^2}{\lambda_{\varepsilon_n}}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n\to+\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{\varepsilon_n}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{|\,\nabla[u_{\varepsilon_n}(1+\varepsilon_n\,v)]\,|^2}{|x|^{2a_n}}\,dx\right)^{1/\varepsilon_n} &= e^{\frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}v(\cos\theta,\,\sin\theta)\,d\theta\int_0^{+\infty}\frac{t\,dt}{(1+t^2)^2}}\\
&= e^{\frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}v(\cos\theta,\,\sin\theta)\,d\theta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the validity of (2.4) would imply that for all $v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, there holds:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} e^{2\,v(\cos\theta,\,\sin\theta)}\,d\theta \le e^{\frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} v(\cos\theta,\,\sin\theta)\,d\theta}.$$

But this is clearly impossible, since such an inequality is violated for instance by the function $v(x) = v(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2\,\eta(x)$, with η a standard cut-off function such that $\eta(x) = 1$ if $|x| \le 1$, $\eta(x) = 0$ if $|x| \ge 2$. □

3. Symmetry breaking

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. We start by establishing Corollary 1.3, which is weaker but follows as an easy consequence of the results of Section 2.

3.1. Proof of Corollary 1.3

By Lemma 2.4 and Kelvin's transformation, we can reduce the proof to the case $a < 0$. Let us argue by contradiction and assume that there exists $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$, $a_n \to 0_-$ and b_n such that $\varepsilon_0 < \frac{b_n}{a_n} < 1$ and u_{a_n,b_n} is radially symmetric. Set $\varepsilon_n = b_n - a_n > 0$ and define α_n such that $\alpha_n + 1 = -a_n\,(1-\varepsilon_n)/\varepsilon_n$. Notice that $\varepsilon_n \to 0_+$ while $\alpha_n + 1 = a_n - a_n/(b_n - a_n) = a_n - (b_n/a_n - 1)^{-1} > a_n + (1-\varepsilon_0)^{-1}$. Hence, $\liminf_{n\to+\infty}\alpha_n \ge \alpha_0 = \varepsilon_0/(1-\varepsilon_0) > 0$. But this is impossible since it contradicts Proposition 2.8 in case $\liminf_{n\to+\infty}\alpha_n = +\infty$, or Propositions 2.3 and 2.6 if $\limsup_{n\to+\infty}\alpha_n < +\infty$. □

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

It is well known (see [4]) that by means of the following Emden-Fowler transformations:

$$t = \log|x|, \quad \theta = \frac{x}{|x|} \in S^{N-1}, \quad w(t,\theta) = |x|^{\frac{N-2-2a}{2}}\,v(x), \tag{3.1}$$

inequality (1.5) for u is equivalent to the Sobolev inequality for w on $\mathbb{R} \times S^{N-1}$. Namely,

$$\|w\|^2_{L^p(\mathbb{R}\times S^{N-1})} \le C^N_{a,b}\left[\|\nabla w\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times S^{N-1})} + \frac{1}{4}(N-2-2a)^2\|w\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\times S^{N-1})}\right],$$

for $w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}\times S^{N-1})$, with $p = 2\,N/[(N-2)+2\,(b-a)]$ and the same optimal constant $C^N_{a,b}$ as in (1.5). This inequality is consistent with the statement of Lemma 2.4, as it makes sense for any $a \ne (N-2)/2$, independently of the sign of $N - 2 - 2a$.

For $N = 2$, the inequality holds for functions $w = w(t, \theta)$ defined over the two-dimensional cylinder $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \approx \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$, *i.e.,* such that $w(t, \cdot)$ is 2π-periodic for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The inequality then takes the form

$$\|w\|^2_{L^p(\mathcal{C})} \le C_{a,a+2/p} \left(\|\nabla w\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{C})} + a^2 \, \|w\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{C})} \right) \quad \forall \, w \in H^1(\mathcal{C}) \tag{3.2}$$

for all $a \neq 0$ and $p > 2$. Here $C_{a,b}$ is the optimal constant in (1.3) which enters in (3.2) with $b = a + 2/p$.

For any $a \neq 0$ and $p > 2$, inequality (3.2) is attained at an extremal function $w_{a,p} \in H^1(\mathcal{C})$ which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -(w_{tt} + w_{\theta\theta}) + a^2 \, w = w^{p-1} \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R} \times [-\pi, \pi], \\ w > 0, \quad w(t, \cdot) \quad \text{is } 2\pi\text{-periodic} \quad \forall \, t \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

and such that

$$\left(C_{a,a+2/p} \right)^{-1} = \|w_{a,p}\|^{p-2}_{L^p(\mathcal{C})} = \inf_{w \in H^1(\mathcal{C}) \setminus \{0\}} \mathcal{F}(w),$$

where the functional

$$\mathcal{F}(w) = \frac{\|\nabla w\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{C})} + a^2 \, \|w\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{C})}}{\|w\|^2_{L^p(\mathcal{C})}}$$

is well defined in $H^1(\mathcal{C}) \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover, according to [4], we can further assume that

$$\begin{cases} w_{a,p}(t, \theta) = w_{a,p}(-t, \theta) \quad \forall \, t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \theta \in [-\pi, \pi), \\ \dfrac{\partial w_{a,p}}{\partial t} \, (t, \theta) < 0 \quad \forall \, t > 0, \quad \forall \, \theta \in [-\pi, \pi), \\ \displaystyle\max_{\mathbb{R} \times [-\pi, \pi)} \, w_{a,p} = w_{a,p}(0, 0). \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

This symmetry result is easy to establish for a minimizer, but the monotonicity requires more elaborate tools like the sliding method and we refer to [4] for more details. For a solution of (3.3) which does not depend on θ, the conditions in (3.4) allow to determine its value at 0 simply by multiplying the ODE by w_t and integrating from 0 to ∞. In fact, in this way, one deduces the relation: $a^2 \, w^2(0)/2 = w^p(0)/p$, which uniquely determines $w(0) > 0$. In turn this yields to the following unique θ-independent solution for (3.3) and (3.4):

$$w^*_{a,p}(t) = \left(\frac{a^2 \, p}{2} \right)^{1/(p-2)} \left[\cosh \left(\frac{p-2}{2} \, a \, t \right) \right]^{-2/(p-2)},$$

as a consequence of the classification result in [4]. Such a solution is an extremal for (3.2) in the set of functions which are independent of the θ-variable, and

$$\|w^*_{a,p}\|^{p-2}_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} = \inf_{f\in H^1(\mathbb{R})\setminus\{0\}} \mathcal{F}^*(f) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{F}^*(f) = \frac{\|f'\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + a^2\,\|f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}}{\|f\|^2_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}}.$$

For simplicity, we will also write $\mathcal{F}(f) = (\pi)^{1-2/p}\,\mathcal{F}^*(f)$ for all functions f which are independent of θ. As a useful consequence of the above considerations, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $p > 2$. For any $a \neq 0$,*

$$\left(C_{a,a+2/p}\right)^{-\frac{p}{p-2}} = \|w_{a,p}\|^p_{L^p(\mathcal{C})} \le \|w^*_{a,p}\|^p_{L^p(\mathcal{C})} = 4\,\pi\,(2\,a)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}\,(a\,p)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}\,c_p$$

where c_p is an increasing function of p such that

$$\begin{aligned} c_p &\to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad p \to 2_+,\\ c_p &\to \tfrac12 \quad \text{as} \quad p \to +\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

As a consequence, if $a = a(p)$ is such that $\lim_{p\to\infty} a(p)\,p = 2\,(\alpha+1)$, then

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} p\int_{\mathcal{C}} |w^*_{a(p),p}|^p\,dx = 8\,(\alpha+1). \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\|w_{a,p}\|^p_{L^p(\mathcal{C})} = \left(C_{a,a+2/p}\right)^{-\frac{p}{p-2}} = \left(\mathcal{F}(w_{a,p})\right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} \le \left(\mathcal{F}(w^*_{a,p})\right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} = \|w^*_{a,p}\|^p_{L^p(\mathcal{C})}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \|w^*_{a,p}\|^p_{L^p(\mathcal{C})} &= 2\pi\,\left(\frac{a^2\,p}{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\cosh\left(\frac{a\,(p-2)}{2}\,t\right)\right]^{-\frac{2p}{p-2}}\,dt\\ &= 4\pi\,\left(\frac{a^2\,p}{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{2^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}\,e^{-a\,p\,t}}{\left(1+e^{-a\,(p-2)\,t}\right)^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}\,dt\\ &= 4\pi\,\left(\frac{a^2\,p}{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} \frac{2^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}{a\,p}\int_0^1 \frac{ds}{\left(1+s^{(p-2)/p}\right)^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by setting:

$$c_p = \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{\left(1+s^{(p-2)/p}\right)^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}},$$

we easily check (3.5) and the fact that c_p is monotonically increasing in p. The limiting behavior of c_p stated in (3.5) is a direct consequence of Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem. □

We can now reformulate Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in the cylinder $\mathcal{C}$, as follows.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $a \neq 0$ and $p > 2$.*

(i) *If $|a|\, p > 2\sqrt{1+a^2}$, then $\mathcal{F}(w_{a,p}) < \mathcal{F}(w^*_{a,p})$.*
(ii) *For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, if $0 < |a| < \delta$ and $|a|\, p < 2-\varepsilon$, then $\mathcal{F}(w_{a,p}) = \mathcal{F}(w^*_{a,p})$.*

Part (ii) of Theorem 3.2 will be proved in the next section. Concerning part (i), we define the quadratic form

$$Q(\psi) = \|\nabla\psi\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{C})} + a^2\, \|\psi\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{C})} - (p-1)\int_{\mathcal{C}} |w^*_{a,p}|^{p-2}\, |\psi|^2\, dx$$

on $H^1(\mathcal{C})$. In fact, property (i) is a consequence of the following result, inspired by [4, 9] (at least for the case $a < 0$):

Proposition 3.3. *Let $a \neq 0$ and $p > 2$. Then*

$$\inf_{\substack{\psi\in H^1(\mathcal{C})\\ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\psi(t,\theta)\,d\theta=0,\ t\in\mathbb{R}\ a.e.}} \frac{Q(\psi)}{\|\psi\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{C})}} = a^2+1-\left(\frac{a\,p}{2}\right)^2$$

is achieved by

$$\psi(t,\theta) = \big(\cosh((\alpha+1)\,t)\big)^{-p/(p-2)}\cos\theta, \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha = (p-2)\,a/2-1.$$

In particular, if $|a|\, p > 2\sqrt{1+a^2}$, then $w^*_{a,p}$ is a critical point for $\mathcal{F}$ of saddle-type.

Proof. Since $w^*_{a,p}$ is a local minimum for $\mathcal{F}$ when restricted to the set of functions independent of θ, to search for negative directions of the Hessian of $\mathcal{F}$ around $w^*_{a,p}$, we have to analyze the quadratic form $Q(\psi)$ in the space of functions $\psi \in H^1(\mathcal{C})$ such that $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\psi(t,\theta)\,d\theta = 0$ for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$. To this purpose, we use the Fourier expansion of ψ,

$$\psi(t,\theta) = \sum_{k\neq 0} f_k(t)\frac{e^{ik\theta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \quad f_{-k}(t) = \overline{f_k}(t),$$

$$Q(\psi) = 2\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}\left(\|f_k'\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + (a^2+k^2)\|f_k\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} - (p-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}} |w^*_{a,p}|^{p-2}|f_k|^2\,dt\right).$$

Hence we obtain a negative direction for Q if and only if

$$\mu^1_{a,p} = \inf_{f\in H^1(\mathbb{R})\setminus\{0\}} \frac{\|f'\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + (a^2+1)\|f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} - (p-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}}|w^*_{a,p}|^{p-2}\,|f|^2\,dt}{\|f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}} < 0.$$

Setting $1+\alpha = (p-2)\,a/2$ and $\beta = a^2\,p\,(p-1)/2 = 2\,(1+\alpha)^2\,p\,(p-1)/(p-2)^2 > 0$, the question is reduced to the eigenvalue problem

$$-f'' - \frac{\beta\, f}{\big(\cosh((\alpha+1)\,t)\big)^2} = \lambda\, f.$$

in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. The eigenfunction $f_1(t) = \big(\cosh((\alpha+1)\,t)\big)^{-p/(p-2)}$ corresponds to the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = -(a\,p/2)^2$. See [9, 11] for a discussion of the above eigenvalue problem. Hence $\mu^1_{a,p} = 1 + a^2 - (a\,p/2)^2$, and the proof is completed. □

4. A symmetry result

The section is devoted to the proof of part (ii) of Theorem 3.2. Without loss of generality, by Lemma 2.4, we can restrict our analysis to the case $a > 0$.

4.1. Pohozaev's identity

Lemma 4.1. *If $w \in H^1(\mathcal{C})$ satisfies* (3.3)*, then for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $w = w(t,\theta)$ satisfies the identity*

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 d\theta = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}\right)^2 d\theta - a^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} w^2\, d\theta + \frac{2}{p} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} w^p\, d\theta.$$

Proof. Multiply the equation in (3.3) by $\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}$ and integrate over $[-\pi,\pi]$ to obtain:

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(-\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2}\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \theta^2}\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + a^2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}\, w\right) d\theta = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} w^{p-1} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}\, d\theta,$$

that is

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left\{-\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta}\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left[\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}\right)^2 + a^2\, w^2\right]\right\} d\theta$$
$$= \frac{1}{p}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\,(w^p)}{dt}\, d\theta.$$

Since $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\big(\frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta}\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}\big)\, d\theta = 0$, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left[\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 - a^2\, w^2 + \frac{2}{p}\, w^p\right] d\theta = 0$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence as a function of t, the above integral must be a constant. Since it is also integrable over $\mathbb{R}$, then it must vanish identically. □

4.2. Proof of Theorem 3.2

The method is based on the strong convergence properties of a suitable rescaling of the extremal function $w_{a,p}$ for (3.2) towards a solution of a Liouville equation. We argue by contradiction and suppose that there exists $\varepsilon_0 \in (0,1)$ and, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_n > 0$, $p_n > 2$, such that:

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} a_n = 0, \quad a_n\, p_n < 2-\varepsilon_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}(w_{a_n,\,p_n}) < \mathcal{F}(w^*_{a_n,\,p_n}). \tag{4.1}$$

For simplicity, set

$$w_n = w_{a_n,\,p_n} \quad \text{and} \quad w_n^* = w^*_{a_n,\,p_n},$$

and recall that we can assume

$$w_n(t,\theta) = w_n(-t,\theta), \quad \frac{\partial w_n}{\partial t}(t,\theta) < 0 \quad \forall\, t>0 \quad \text{and} \quad w_n(0,0) = \max_{\mathcal{C}} w_n.$$

Notice in particular that $\frac{\partial w_n}{\partial t}(0,\theta) = 0$ for any $\theta \in [-\pi,\pi]$. If we apply Lemma 4.1 to $w = w_n$ and $t = 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{p_n^2\, a_n^2}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} w_n^2(0,\theta)\, d\theta \le p_n \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} w_n^{p_n}(0,\theta)\, d\theta \le p_n\, \|w_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{C})}^{p_n-2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} w_n^2(0,\theta)\, d\theta,$$

and deduce that

$$p_n\, \|w_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{C})}^{p_n-2} \ge \frac{1}{2}\, p_n^2\, a_n^2.$$

Lemma 4.2. *With the above notations,*

$$\liminf_{n\to+\infty} p_n\, \|w_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{C})}^{p_n-2} \ge 1.$$

Proof. We can write $w_n(t,\theta) = \varphi_n(t) + \psi_n(t,\theta)$ with

$$\varphi_n(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} w_n(t,\theta)\, d\theta, \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \psi_n(t,\theta)\, d\theta = 0 \quad \text{a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_n \not\equiv 0.$$

Multiplying (3.3) by ψ_n and using the fact that $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \psi_n(t,\theta)\, d\theta = 0$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \frac{\partial \psi_n}{\partial t} \right\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{C})} + \left\| \frac{\partial \psi_n}{\partial \theta} \right\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{C})} + a_n^2\, \|\psi_n\|^2_{L^2} \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{C}} w_n^{p_n-1}\, \psi_n\, dt\, d\theta \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{C}} w_n^{p_n-1}\, \psi_n\, dt\, d\theta - \int_{\mathcal{C}} \varphi_n^{p_n-1}\, \psi_n\, dt\, d\theta \\
&= (p_n-1) \int_0^1 \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left| s\, \varphi_n + (1-s)\, w_n \right|^{p_n-2} |\psi_n|^2\, dt\, d\theta \right\} ds \\
&\le (p_n-1)\, \|w_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{C})}^{p_n-2} \int_{\mathcal{C}} |\psi_n|^2\, dt\, d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

By Poincaré's inequality, we know that $\|\psi_n\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{C})} \le \left\| \frac{\partial \psi_n}{\partial \theta} \right\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{C})}$, and this proves the claim. □

Next we introduce the new parameters:

$$\varepsilon_n = \frac{2}{p_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_n = -1 + (1-\varepsilon_n)\,\frac{a_n}{\varepsilon_n} = -1 + \frac{1}{2}\,(1-\varepsilon_n)\,a_n\,p_n .$$

Lemma 4.3. *Up to a subsequence we have*:

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \alpha_n = \alpha \in [-1, 0),$$

and $\lim_{n\to+\infty} p_n = +\infty$*, or equivalently,*

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \varepsilon_n = 0.$$

Proof. From the condition: $a_n\,p_n < 2-\varepsilon_0$, we deduce that $\alpha_n + 1 \le (1-\varepsilon_n)\,(1-\varepsilon_0/2)$. Thus, along a subsequence, we can assume that α_n converges to some $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$ and $\lim_{n\to+\infty} p_n \in [2, \infty]$.

To rule out the possibility that $\lim_{n\to+\infty} p_n = \bar p \in [2, \infty)$, notice that if this would be the case, then by Lemma 3.1,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \|w_n\|_{L^{p_n}(\mathcal{C})} = 0.$$

By applying local elliptic estimates in a neighborhood of the origin $(0, 0)$ then we would deduce that $\lim_{n\to+\infty} \|w_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{C})} = \lim_{n\to+\infty} w_n(0, 0) = 0$, in contradiction with Lemma 4.2. □

Corollary 4.4. *With the above notations,*

$$\liminf_{n\to+\infty} w_n(0, 0) \ge 1.$$

Proof. If by contradiction we assume that $\liminf_{n\to+\infty} w_n(0, 0) < 1$, then $\liminf_{n\to+\infty} p_n\,\|w_n\|^{p_n-2}_{L^\infty(\mathcal{C})} = 0$, and again this is impossible by Lemma 4.2. □

Lemma 4.5. *With the above notations,*

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty}\; p_n\,\|w_n\|^{p_n-2}_{L^\infty(\mathcal{C})} < +\infty.$$

Proof. Argue by contradiction, and assume that, along a subsequence, $\delta_n = \left(p_n\,\|w_n\|^{p_n-2}_{L^\infty(\mathcal{C})}\right)^{-1/2}$ converges to 0 as $n \to +\infty$. We consider the function

$$W_n(t, \theta) = p_n\,\left(\frac{w_n(\delta_n\,t,\ \delta_n\,\theta)}{w_n(0, 0)} - 1\right)$$

defined in $\mathcal{C}_n = \mathbb{R} \times [-\pi/\delta_n,\ \pi/\delta_n]$, which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta W_n = \left(1 + \dfrac{W_n}{p_n}\right)^{p_n - 1} - a_n^2\, p_n\, \delta_n^2 \left(1 + \dfrac{W_n}{p_n}\right) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{C}_n, \\ W_n \le 0 = W_n(0,0). \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 3.1, we find

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_n} \left(1 + \frac{W_n}{p_n}\right)^{p_n} dx = \frac{p_n}{w_n(0,0)^2} \int_{\mathcal{C}} w_n^{p_n}\, dx \le \frac{1}{w_n(0,0)^2}\ p_n \int_{\mathcal{C}} |w_n^*|^{p_n}\, dx.$$

Recalling that $\liminf_{n\to+\infty} w_n(0,0) \ge 1$ and $\lim_{n\to+\infty} a_n\, p_n = 2\,(1+\alpha)$ by (3.6), we can pass to the limit above and by virtue of (3.5)-(3.6), conclude:

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \|1 + W_n/p_n\|_{L^{p_n}(\mathcal{C}_n)}^{p_n} \le 8\pi\,(1+\alpha).$$

Since the right hand side in (4.2) is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we can use Harnack's inequality (see for instance [2, 17] in similar cases) to deduce that W_n is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty_{\rm loc}$. Hence, by elliptic regularity theory, W_n is uniformly bounded in $C^{2,\alpha}_{\rm loc}$. So we can find a subsequence along which W_n converges pointwise (uniformly in every compact set in $\mathbb{R}^2$) to a function W which satisfies

$$-\Delta W = e^W \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{4.3}$$

Furthermore, by Fatou's Lemma,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^W\, dx \le \lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\mathcal{C}_n} \left(1 + \frac{W_n}{p_n}\right)^{p_n} dx \le 8\pi\,(1+\alpha) < 8\pi,$$

as $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$. But this is impossible, since according to [5], every solution W of (4.3) with $e^W \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, must satisfy $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^W\, dx = 8\pi$ (also see [7, 8]). □

Corollary 4.6. *For a subsequence of* $\|w_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{C})} = w_n(0,0)$ (*denoted the same way*) *we have*:

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} w_n(0,0) = 1,$$
$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \left[w_n(0,0)\right]^{p_n} = 0,$$
$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} p_n \left[w_n(0,0)\right]^{p_n - 2} = \mu \in [1, +\infty).$$

Proof. The existence of a limit $\mu \ge 1$ is just a consequence of Lemmata 4.2 and 4.5. Furthermore by Lemma 4.3, $p_n = 2/\varepsilon_n \to +\infty$ as $n \to +\infty$, which proves that $[w_n(0,0)]^{p_n}$ converges to 0. Finally, according to Corollary 4.4, $\liminf_{n\to+\infty} w_n(0,0) \ge 1$ and if this limit were not 1, we would get a contradiction to the existence of μ. □

Define the function

$$V_n(t,\theta) = p_n \left(\frac{w_n(t,\theta)}{w_n(0,0)} - 1 \right) \quad \forall\, (t,\theta) \in \mathcal{C}.$$

It satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} &-\Delta V_n = p_n \left(w_n(0,0)\right)^{p_n-2} \left(1+\frac{V_n}{p_n}\right)^{p_n-1} - a_n^2\, p_n \left(1+\frac{V_n}{p_n}\right) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{C},\\ &V_n \le 0 = V_n(0,0), \qquad V_n(t,\cdot) \quad \text{is } 2\pi\text{-periodic.} \end{aligned}$$

We also observe that

$$p_n \left(w_n(0,0)\right)^{p_n} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left(1+\frac{V_n}{p_n}\right)^{p_n} dx = p_n \int_{\mathcal{C}} |w_n|^{p_n}\, dx \le p_n \int_{\mathcal{C}} |w_n^*|^{p_n}\, dx$$

and by (3.6), $\lim_{n\to\infty} p_n \int_{\mathcal{C}} |w_n^*|^{p_n}\, dx = 8\pi\,(\alpha+1)$. In particular, by Corollary 4.6, we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} p_n \left(w_n(0,0)\right)^{p_n-2} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left(1+\frac{V_n}{p_n}\right)^{p_n} dx \;\le\; 8\pi\,(1+\alpha).$$

Lemma 4.7. *Up to a subsequence, V_n converges to a function V pointwise and C^2-uniformly in any compact set in $\mathbb{R}\times[-\pi,\pi]$. Furthermore V satisfies*:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta V = \mu\, e^V \quad \textit{in} \quad \mathcal{C}, \\ \max\limits_{\mathcal{C}} V \le 0 = V(0,0), \qquad V(t,\cdot) \quad \textit{is } 2\pi\textit{-periodic} \quad \forall\, t\in\mathbb{R}, \\ \mu \displaystyle\int_{\mathcal{C}} e^V\, dx \;\le\; 8\pi\,(1+\alpha), \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

$$V(t,\theta) = V(-t,\theta), \qquad \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(t,\theta) < 0 \quad \forall\, t>0, \quad \forall\, \theta\in[-\pi,\pi],$$

and

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 d\theta = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}\right)^2 d\theta - 8\pi(1+\alpha)^2 + 2\mu \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^V d\theta \quad \forall\, t\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. Since $-\Delta V_n$ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, by Harnack's inequality, we see that V_n is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty_{\rm loc}$. Hence, by elliptic regularity theory, V_n is uniformly bounded in $C^{2,\alpha}_{\rm loc}$. Therefore, up to a subsequence, V_n converges pointwise, and uniformly on every compact set in $\mathcal{C}$, to a function V which satisfies (4.4) with $0 \le 1+\alpha < 1$, and also inherits the symmetric properties of V_n. To obtain (4.5) observe first that the result of Lemma 4.1 can be rewritten as follows,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\frac{\partial V_n}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 d\theta = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\frac{\partial V_n}{\partial t}\right)^2 d\theta - \frac{a_n^2\, p_n^2}{w_n^2(0,0)} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |w_n|^2\, d\theta + \frac{2\, p_n}{w_n^2(0,0)} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |w_n|^{p_n}\, d\theta,$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and that w_n converges uniformly to 1 in any compact set in $\mathbb{R} \times [-\pi, \pi]$. Hence by means of Lemma 4.3 and Corollary 4.6, we can pass to the limit in the above identity and deduce (4.5). $\square$

Lemma 4.8. *The following estimates hold*:

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} p_n \left(\|w_n\|^{p_n}_{L^{p_n}(\mathcal{C})} - \|w_n^*\|^{p_n}_{L^{p_n}(\mathcal{C})} \right) = 0,$$
$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} e^V \, dx = \lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left(1 + \frac{V_n}{p_n} \right)^{p_n} dx = \frac{4\pi}{\alpha+1}.$$

Moreover,

$$\mu = 2\,(\alpha+1)^2,$$

and V takes the form

$$V(t) = -2 \log\left[\cosh((\alpha+1)\,t)\right]. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. In order to identify the given solution of (4.4), we consider the function φ expressed in polar coordinates as follows:

$$\varphi(r,\theta) = V(-\log r,\, \theta) - 2\log r + \log\mu \quad \forall\, r>0, \quad \forall\, \theta \in [-\pi,\pi].$$

By straightforward calculations we see that φ satisfies:

$$-\Delta\varphi = -\frac{1}{r^2}\,(V_{tt} + V_{\theta\theta})\,(-\log r,\, \theta) = e^{\varphi} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\},$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\varphi}\, dx \;\le\; 8\pi\,(1+\alpha),$$

and

$$\varphi\left(r^{-1},\, \theta\right) = \varphi(r,\theta) + 4\,\log r \quad \forall\, r>0, \quad \forall\, \theta\in[-\pi,\pi]. \tag{4.7}$$

A classification result of Chou and Wan (see [7, Theorem 3, 1] and [8]) concerning solutions of Liouville equations in the punctured disk allows us to conclude that (in complex notations):

$$\varphi(z) = \log\left[\frac{8\,|f'(z)|^2}{\left(1+|f(z)|^2\right)^2}\right],$$

with f locally univalent in $\mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$, possibly multivalued and,

(i) either $f(z) = z^{\gamma}\, g(z)$,
(ii) or $f(z) = \phi(\sqrt{z})$ and $\phi(z)\,\phi(-z) = 1$,

where g and ϕ are holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$. Since the case (ii) implies that ϕ must admit an essential singularity either at the origin or at infinity, this can be excluded in account of the integrability condition of e^{φ}.

On the other hand, in case (i), if we take into account the fact that $f' \neq 0$ for any $z \neq 0$, and the integrability of e^{φ}, we can allow only the choice:

$$f(z) = a\left(z^{\beta+1} - b\right),$$

with $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, a, $b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $b \neq 0$ only if $\beta + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ (as otherwise φ would be multivalued). For the corresponding solution φ we find:

$$\varphi(z) = \log\left[\frac{8\,\lambda\,(\beta+1)^2\,|z|^{2\beta}}{(1+\lambda\,|z^{\beta+1}-b|^2)^2}\right], \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda = |a|^2.$$

The symmetry property (4.7) implies that

$$\varphi\left(\frac{z}{|z|^2}\right) = \varphi(z) + 4\log|z|,$$

and so, necessarily $b = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$. Hence,

$$\varphi(z) = \varphi(r) = \log\left[\frac{8\,(\beta+1)^2\,r^{2\beta}}{\left(1+r^{2(\beta+1)}\right)^2}\right].$$

By direct calculation, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\varphi}\,dx = 8\pi\,(1+\beta) \;\leq\; 8\pi\,(1+\alpha).$$

In other words, $-1 < \beta \leq \alpha < 0$. As a consequence, we find that $V = V(t)$ is given by

$$V(t) = \varphi(e^{-t}) - 2t - \log\mu = \log\left[\frac{2\,(\beta+1)^2}{\mu\left(\cosh((\beta+1)\,t)\right)^2}\right],$$

with $-1 < \beta \leq \alpha < 0$. The condition $V(0) = 0$ implies $\mu = 2\,(\beta+1)^2$.
On the other hand, from (4.5) we also have:

$$\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}\right)^2 = 4\,(1+\alpha)^2 - \frac{4\,(\beta+1)^2}{\left(\cosh((\beta+1)\,t)\right)^2},$$

that gives:

$$4\,(\beta+1)^2\frac{\left(\sinh((\beta+1)\,t)\right)^2}{\left(\cosh((\beta+1)\,t)\right)^2} = 4\,(1+\alpha)^2 - \frac{4\,(\beta+1)^2}{\left(\cosh((\beta+1)\,t)\right)^2},$$

and we get $\beta = \alpha$. Therefore (4.6) is established and necessarily

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} p_n \left(w_n(0,0)\right)^{p_n} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \left(1+\frac{V_n}{p_n}\right)^{p_n} dx = 2(\alpha+1)^2 \int_{\mathcal{C}} e^V\, dx = 8\,\pi\,(\alpha+1).$$

Thus, by recalling (3.6), we complete the proof. □

Define

$$r_n = \sup_{\mathcal{C}} \left| \left(\frac{w_n}{w_n(0,0)}\right)^{p_n-2} - e^V \right|.$$

Lemma 4.9. *With the above notations,* $\lim_{n\to+\infty} r_n = 0$.

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $R_\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently large so that

$$e^{V(R_\varepsilon)} = \frac{1}{\left(\cosh((\alpha+1)\,R_\varepsilon)\right)^2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Furthermore, $(w_n(t,\theta)/w_n(0,0))^{p_n-2} = (1+V_n/p_n)^{p_n-2}$ converges to e^V uniformly in any compact set in $\mathbb{R}\times[-\pi,\pi]$, and so we can find $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge n_\varepsilon$,

$$\sup_{|t|\le R_\varepsilon,\ |\theta|\le\pi} \left| \left(\frac{w_n(t,\theta)}{w_n(0,0)}\right)^{p_n-2} - e^V \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Thus, recalling that $(w_n(t,\theta)/w_n(0,0))^{p_n-2}$ and e^V are even in t and monotone decreasing in $t > 0$ by Lemma 4.7, for $n \ge n_\varepsilon$ we find the estimate

$$r_n \le \underbrace{\sup_{|t|\le R_\varepsilon,\ |\theta|\le\pi} \left| \left(\frac{w_n(t,\theta)}{w_n(0,0)}\right)^{p_n-2} - e^V \right|}_{<\varepsilon/4} + \underbrace{\sup_{|t|\ge R_\varepsilon} \left(\frac{w_n(t,\theta)}{w_n(0,0)}\right)^{p_n-2}}_{e^{V(R_\varepsilon)}+\varepsilon/4<\varepsilon/2} + \underbrace{\sup_{|t|\ge R_\varepsilon} e^V}_{\varepsilon/4},$$

which proves the result. □

Lemma 4.10. *For n large enough, we have $w_n = w_n^*$.*

Proof. Let $\chi_n = \partial w_n/\partial\theta$. Clearly $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \chi_n(t,\theta)\,d\theta = 0$, and since $w_n \in H^1(\mathcal{C})$, then $\chi_n \in L^2(\mathcal{C})$. Moreover, χ_n satisfies

$$-\Delta\chi_n + a_n^2\,\chi_n = (p_n-1)\left(w_n(t,\theta)\right)^{p_n-2}\chi_n$$

(in the sense of distributions), where

$$\begin{aligned} \left|(p_n-1)\left(w_n(t_n,\theta)\right)^{p_n-2}\chi_n\right| &\le (p_n-1)\,(w_n(0,0))^{p_n-2}\left(\frac{w_n(t,\theta)}{w_n(0,0)}\right)^{p_n-2}|\chi_n| \\ &\le (p_n-1)\,(w_n(0,0))^{p_n-2}\,|\chi_n| \in L^2(\mathcal{C}). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, $-\Delta\chi_n + a_n^2\,\chi_n \in L^2(\mathcal{C})$, and hence $\chi_n \in H^1(\mathcal{C})$ satisfies:

$$\|\nabla\chi_n\|_{L^2}^2 + a_n^2\,\|\chi_n\|_{L^2}^2 = (p_n-1)\int_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\frac{w_n(t,\theta)}{w_n(0,0)}\right)^{p_n-2}\chi_n^2\,dx.$$

By Proposition 3.3, we know that if $\psi \in H^1(\mathcal{C})$ and $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\psi(t,\theta)\,d\theta = 0$ a.e. $t\in\mathbb{R}$, then

$$\|\nabla\psi\|_2^2 - \beta_n\int_{\mathcal{C}}\frac{|\psi(t,\theta)|^2}{\big(\cosh((\alpha_n+1)\,t)\big)^2}\,dt\,d\theta \geq \left[1-\left(\frac{a_n\,p_n}{2}\right)^2\right]\|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathcal{C})}^2$$

with $\beta_n = a_n^2\,p_n\,(p_n-1)/2$. Passing to the limit as $n\to+\infty$, we get

$$\|\nabla\psi\|_2^2 - 2\,(\alpha+1)^2\int_{\mathcal{C}}\frac{|\psi(t,\theta)|^2}{\big(\cosh((\alpha+1)\,t)\big)^2}\,dt\,d\theta \geq \left[1-(\alpha+1)^2\right]\|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathcal{C})}^2.$$

Consequently, for $\psi = \chi_n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \|\nabla\chi_n\|_2^2 + a_n^2\,\|\chi_n\|_{L^2}^2 - (p_n-1)\int_{\mathcal{C}}\big(w_n(t,\theta)\big)^{p_n-2}\chi_n^2\,dx \\
&= \|\nabla\chi_n\|_{L^2}^2 - 2\,(\alpha+1)^2\int_{\mathcal{C}}\frac{\chi_n^2}{(\cosh((\alpha+1)\,t))^2}\,dx + a_n^2\,\|\chi_n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{C})}^2 \\
&\quad + (p_n-1)\big(w_n(0,0)\big)^{p_n-2}\int_{\mathcal{C}}\left[\frac{1}{(\cosh((\alpha+1)t))^2} - \left(\frac{w_n(t,\theta)}{w_n(0,0)}\right)^{p_n-2}\right]\chi_n^2\,dx \\
&\quad + \left[2\,(\alpha+1)^2 - (p_n-1)\,(w_n(0,0))^{p_n-2}\right]\int_{\mathcal{C}}\frac{\chi_n^2}{(\cosh((\alpha+1)\,t))^2}\,dx \\
&\geq \left[1 + a_n^2 - (\alpha+1)^2 - (p_n-1)\big(w_n(0,0)\big)^{p_n-2}\,r_n\right]\|\chi_n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{C})}^2 \\
&\quad + \left[2\,(\alpha+1)^2 - (p_n-1)\,(w_n(0,0))^{p_n-2}\right]\int_{\mathcal{C}}\frac{\chi_n^2}{(\cosh((\alpha+1)\,t))^2}\,dx
\end{aligned}$$

with $r_n = \sup_{\mathcal{C}}\left|\big(w_n(t,\theta)/w_n(0,0)\big)^{p_n-2} - e^V\right|$. Recall that by Lemma 4.8,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}(p_n-1)(w_n(0,0))^{p_n-2} = \mu = 2\,(\alpha+1)^2,$$

and by Lemma 4.9, $\lim_{n\to+\infty} r_n = 0$. Since $a_n \to 0$ as $n\to+\infty$ and $(1+\alpha)^2 < 1$, we readily get a contradiction for large n, unless $\chi_n \equiv 0$. This means that w_n is independent of the variable θ, and so $w_n = w_n^*$. □

5. Concluding remarks

It is interesting to note that, via the Emden-Fowler transformation (3.1), for any $\alpha > -1$, inequality (1.2) can be stated in the space

$$\mathfrak{E}_\alpha = \Big\{ w = w(t,\theta) \in L^1(\mathcal{C}, d\nu_\alpha) \ : \ |\nabla w| \in L^2(\mathcal{C}, dx) \Big\}$$

where $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R} \times S^1$ and

$$d\nu_\alpha := \frac{\alpha+1}{2} \, \frac{dt\, d\theta}{\big[\cosh\big((\alpha+1)\,t\big)\big]^2} .$$

Proposition 5.1. *If $\alpha > -1$, then*

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} e^{w - \int_{\mathcal{C}} w \, d\nu_\alpha} \, d\nu_\alpha \ \le \ e^{\frac{1}{16\pi\,(\alpha+1)} \left(\|\nabla w\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{C})} + \alpha\,(\alpha+2)\, \|\, \partial_\theta w\, \|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{C})} \right)} \quad \forall\, w \in \mathfrak{E}_\alpha .$$

As in Section 2.1, when $\alpha \le 0$, there holds

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} e^{w - \int_{\mathcal{C}} w \, d\nu_\alpha} \, d\nu_\alpha \ \le \ e^{\frac{1}{16\pi\,(\alpha+1)} \, \|\nabla w\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{C})}} \quad \forall\, w \in \mathfrak{E}_\alpha .$$

However, when $\alpha > 0$, while the latter inequality is always valid for functions depending only on the variable $t \in \mathbb{R}$, in general it fails to hold in $\mathfrak{E}_\alpha$.

The above inequality is a version of Onofri's inequality in the cylinder $\mathcal{C}$ which is equivalent (under the Emden-Fowler transformation (3.1)) to (2.1). The symmetry breaking phenomenon is easily understood in this case, as clearly, the optimal situation is attained among functions which do not depend on θ if and only if $\alpha \in (-1, 0]$.

The proof of Theorem 3.2 does not rely on Onofri's inequality. Hence, as observed in Remark 2.7, it can be used to deduce the family of Onofri type inequalities (1.2), which contains (via sterographic projection) the standard form of Onofri's inequality (1.1) as a special case. Those Onofri inequalities appear as limits of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities in an appropriate regime of the parameters given by (2.2), as $a \to 0$. In these asymptotics, the case $b < h(a)$ yields to $\alpha > 0$, while the case $b > h(a)$ leads to $\alpha \in (-1, 0)$.

Appendix. The dilated stereographic projection

We use spherical coordinates $(\phi, \theta) \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}] \times [0, 2\pi)$ on $S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and radial coordinates $(r, \theta) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, 2\pi)$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$. By definition of the dilated stereographic projection, we have

$$\cos\phi = \frac{2\, r^{\alpha+1}}{1 + r^{2(\alpha+1)}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sin\phi = \frac{r^{2(\alpha+1)} - 1}{1 + r^{2(\alpha+1)}} ,$$

from which we deduce

$$\cos\phi\,\frac{d\phi}{dr}=\frac{4\,(\alpha+1)\,r^{2\alpha+1}}{(1+r^{2(\alpha+1)})^2}.$$

The normalized measure of the sphere S^2 is given by

$$d\sigma=\frac{1}{2}\,\cos\phi\,\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}\,d\phi$$

and a simple change of variables shows that, if $u(\phi,\theta)=v(r,\theta)$, then

$$\int_{S^2} f(u)\,d\sigma=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(v)\,\frac{\cos\phi}{2}\,\frac{d\phi}{dr}\,dr\,\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(v)\,d\mu_\alpha$$

where $d\mu_\alpha=\frac{\alpha+1}{\pi}\,\frac{r^{2\alpha}}{(1+r^{2(\alpha+1)})^2}\,r\,dr\,d\theta$. Using spherical and radial coordinates respectively on S^2 and $\mathbb{R}^2$, the expressions of the gradients are given respectively as follows

$$|\nabla u|^2=|\partial_\phi u|^2+\frac{1}{\cos^2\phi}\,|\partial_\theta u|^2 \quad\text{and}\quad |\nabla v|^2=|\partial_r v|^2+\frac{1}{r^2}\,|\partial_\theta v|^2.$$

Knowing that $\partial_\phi u=\partial_r v\,\left(\frac{d\phi}{dr}\right)^{-1}$, we get

$$\int_{S^2}|\partial_\phi u|^2\,d\sigma=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\partial_r v|^2\,\frac{\cos\phi}{2}\left(\frac{d\phi}{dr}\right)^{-1}dr\,\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}=\frac{1}{4\pi\,(\alpha+1)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\partial_r v|^2\,r\,dr\,d\theta.$$

While using that $\partial_\theta u=\partial_\theta v$, we get

$$\int_{S^2}\frac{1}{\cos^2\phi}\,|\partial_\theta u|^2\,d\sigma=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\partial_\theta v|^2\,\frac{1}{2\cos\phi}\,\frac{d\phi}{dr}\,dr\,\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}=\frac{\alpha+1}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{|\partial_\theta v|^2}{r^2}\,r\,dr\,d\theta.$$

Thus, observing that $(\alpha+1)^2-1=\alpha\,(\alpha+2)$, we conclude

$$\int_{S^2}|\nabla u|^2\,d\sigma=\frac{1}{4\pi\,(\alpha+1)}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|\nabla v|^2\,dx+\alpha\,(\alpha+2)\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{|\partial_\theta v|^2}{r^2}\,r\,dr\,d\theta\right].$$

References

[1] W. BECKNER, *Sharp Sobolev inequalities on the sphere and the Moser-Trudinger inequality*, Ann. of Math. **138** (1993), 213–242.

[2] H. BREZIS and F. MERLE, *Uniform estimates and blow-up behavior for solutions of $-\Delta u=V(x)e^u$ in two dimensions*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **16** (1991), 1223–1253.

[3] L. CAFFARELLI, R. KOHN and L. NIRENBERG, *First order interpolation inequalities with weights*, Compositio Math. **53** (1984), 259–275.
[4] F. CATRINA and Z.-Q. WANG, *On the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities: sharp constants, existence (and nonexistence), and symmetry of extremal functions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **54** (2001), 229–258.
[5] W. X. CHEN and C. LI, *Classification of solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 615–622.
[6] K. S. CHOU and C. W. CHU, *On the best constant for a weighted Sobolev-Hardy inequality*, J. London Math. Soc. **48** (1993), 137–151.
[7] K. S. CHOU and T. Y.-H. WAN, *Asymptotic radial symmetry for solutions of* $\Delta u + e^u = 0$ *in a punctured disc*, Pacific J. Math. **163** (1994), 269–276.
[8] K. S. CHOU and T. Y. H. WAN, Correction to: *Asymptotic radial symmetry for solutions of* $\Delta u + e^u = 0$ *in a punctured disc* [Pacific J. Math. **163** (1994), 269–276], Pacific J. Math. **171** (1995), 589–590.
[9] V. FELLI and M. SCHNEIDER, *Perturbation results of critical elliptic equations of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type*, J. Differential Equations **191** (2003), 121–142.
[10] L. FONTANA, *Sharp borderline Sobolev inequalities on compact Riemannian manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv. **68** (1993), 415–454.
[11] L. LANDAU and E. LIFSCHITZ, "Physique théorique". Tome III: "Mécanique quantique. Théorie non relativiste", (French), Deuxième édition, translated from russian by E. Gloukhian,éditions Mir, Moscow, 1967.
[12] C.-S. LIN and Z.-Q. WANG, Erratum to: *Symmetry of extremal functions for the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities* [Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), 1685–1691], Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), 2183 (electronic).
[13] C.-S. LIN and Z.-Q. WANG, *Symmetry of extremal functions for the Caffarrelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), 1685–1691 (electronic).
[14] J. MOSER, *A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **20** (1970/71), 1077–1092.
[15] E. ONOFRI, *On the positivity of the effective action in a theory of random surfaces*, Comm. Math. Phys. **86** (1982), 321–326.
[16] D. SMETS and M. WILLEM, *Partial symmetry and asymptotic behavior for some elliptic variational problems*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **18** (2003), 57–75.
[17] G. TARANTELLO, "Selfdual gauge field vortices: an analytical approach" PNLDE 72, Birkhäuser ed., Boston MA, USA, 2007.
[18] N. S. TRUDINGER, *On imbeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications*, J. Math. Mech. **17** (1967), 473–483.

Ceremade (UMR CNRS no. 7534)
Univ. Paris-Dauphine
Pl. de Lattre de Tassigny
75775 Paris Cedex 16, France
dolbeaul, esteban@ceremade.dauphine.fr

Dipartimento di Matematica
Univ. di Roma "Tor Vergata"
Via della Ricerca Scientifica
00133 Roma, Italy
tarantel@mat.uniroma2.it