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#### Abstract

This paper classifies surfaces $S$ of general type with $p_{g}=q=1$ having an involution $i$ such that $S / i$ has non-negative Kodaira dimension and that the bicanonical map of $S$ factors through the double cover induced by $i$.

It is shown that $S / i$ is regular and either: a) the Albanese fibration of $S$ is of genus 2 or b) $S$ has no genus 2 fibration and $S / i$ is birational to a $K 3$ surface. For case a) a list of possibilities and examples are given. An example for case b) with $K^{2}=6$ is also constructed.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $S$ be a smooth irreducible projective surface of general type. The pluricanonical map $\phi_{n}$ of $S$ is the map given by the linear system $\left|n K_{S}\right|$, where $K_{S}$ is the canonical divisor of $S$. For minimal surfaces $S, \phi_{n}$ is a birational morphism if $n \geq 5(c f$. [4, Chapter VII, Theorem (5.2)]). The bicanonical map

$$
\phi_{2}: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{K_{S}^{2}+\chi(S)-1}
$$

is a morphism if $p_{g}(S) \geq 1$ (this result is due to various authors, see [7] for more details). This paper focuses on the study of surfaces $S$ with $p_{g}(S)=q(S)=1$ having an involution $i$ such that the Kodaira dimension of $S / i$ is non-negative and $\phi_{2}$ is composed with $i$, i.e. it factors through the double cover $p: S \rightarrow S / i$.

There is an instance where the bicanonical map is necessarily composed with an involution: suppose that $S$ has a fibration of genus 2 , i.e. it has a morphism $f$ from $S$ to a curve such that a general fibre $F$ of $f$ is irreducible of genus 2. The
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system $\left|2 K_{S}\right|$ cuts out on $F$ a subseries of the bicanonical series of $F$, which is composed with the hyperelliptic involution of $F$, and then $\phi_{2}$ is composed with an involution. This is the so called standard case of non-birationality of the bicanonical map.

By the results of Bombieri, [2], improved later by Reider, [22], a minimal surface $S$ satisfying $K^{2}>9$ and $\phi_{2}$ non-birational necessarily presents the standard case of non-birationality of the bicanonical map.

The non-standard case of non-birationality of the bicanonical map, i.e. the case where $\phi_{2}$ is non-birational and the surface has no genus 2 fibration, has been studied by several authors.

Du Val, [16], classified the regular surfaces $S$ of general type with $p_{g} \geq 3$, whose general canonical curve is smooth and hyperelliptic. Of course, for these surfaces, the bicanonical map is composed with an involution $i$ such that $S / i$ is rational. The families of surfaces exhibited by Du Val, presenting the non-standard case, are nowadays called the Du Val examples.

Other authors have later studied the non-standard case: the articles $[8,10,12$, 13, 25] and [3] treat the cases $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)>1$ or $q(S) \geq 2$ (cf. the expository paper [11] for more information on this problem).

Xiao Gang, [25], presented a list of possibilities for the non-standard case of non-birationality of the bicanonical morphism $\phi_{2}$. For the case when $\phi_{2}$ has degree 2 and the bicanonical image is a ruled surface, Theorem 2 of [25] extended Du Val's list to $p_{g}(S) \geq 1$ and added two extra families (this result is still valid assuming only that $\phi_{2}$ is composed with an involution such that the quotient surface is a ruled surface). Recently G. Borrelli [3] excluded these two families, confirming that the only possibilities for this instance are the Du Val examples.

For irregular surfaces the following holds (see [25, Theorems 1, 3], [8, Theorem A], [12, Theorem 1.1], [13]):

Suppose that $S$ is a smooth minimal irregular surface of general type having nonbirational bicanonical map. If $p_{g}(S) \geq 2$ and $S$ has no genus 2 fibration, then only the following (effective) possibilities occur:

- $p_{g}(S)=q(S)=2, K_{S}^{2}=4$;
- $p_{g}(S)=q(S)=3, K_{S}^{2}=6$.

In both cases $\phi_{2}$ is composed with an involution $i$ such that $\operatorname{Kod}(S / i)=2$.
This paper completes this result classifying the minimal surfaces $S$ with $p_{g}(S)=$ $q(S)=1$ such that $\phi_{2}$ is composed with an involution $i$ satisfying $\operatorname{Kod}(S / i) \geq 0$.

The main result is the following:
Theorem 1.1. Let $S$ be a smooth minimal irregular surface of general type with an involution $i$ such that $\operatorname{Kod}(S / i) \geq 0$ and the bicanonical map $\phi_{2}$ of $S$ is composed with $i$. If $p_{g}(S)=q(S)=1$, then only the following possibilities can occur:
a) $S / i$ is regular, the Albanese fibration of $S$ has genus 2 and
(i) $\operatorname{Kod}(S / i)=2, \chi(S / i)=2, K_{S}^{2}=2, \operatorname{deg}\left(\phi_{2}\right)=8$, or
(ii) $\operatorname{Kod}(S / i)=1, \chi(S / i)=2,2 \leq K_{S}^{2} \leq 4$, $\operatorname{deg}\left(\phi_{2}\right) \geq 4$, or
(iii) $S / i$ is birational to a $K 3$ surface, $3 \leq K_{S}^{2} \leq 6, \operatorname{deg}\left(\phi_{2}\right)=4$;
b) $S$ has no genus 2 fibration and $S / i$ is birational to a $K 3$ surface.

Moreover, there are examples for (i), (ii) with $K_{S}^{2}=4$, (iii) with $K_{S}^{2}=3,4$ or 5 and for b$)$ with $K_{S}^{2}=6$ and $\phi_{2}$ of degree 2 .

Remark 1.2. Examples for (iii) were given by Catanese in [6]. The other examples will be presented in Section 5.

Note that surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=1$ and $K^{2}=3$ or 8 were also studied by Polizzi in [19] and [20].

In the example in Section 5 for case b) of Theorem 1.1, $S$ has $p_{g}=q=$ 1 and $K^{2}=6$. This seems to be the first construction of a surface with these invariants. This example contradicts a result of Xiao Gang. More precisely, the list of possibilities in [25] rules out the case where $S$ has no genus 2 fibration, $p_{g}(S)=q(S)=1$ and $S / i$ is birational to a $K 3$ surface. In Lemma 7 of [25] it is written that $R$ has only negligible singularities, but the possibility $\chi\left(K_{\widetilde{P}}+\widetilde{\delta}\right)<0$ in formula (3) of page 727 was overlooked. In fact we will see that $R(\bar{B}$ in our notation) can have a non-negligible singularity.

An important technical tool that will be used several times is the canonical resolution of singularities of a surface. This is a resolution of singularities as described in [4].

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 studies some general properties of a surface of general type $S$ with an involution $i$. Section 3 states some properties of surfaces with $p_{g}=q=1$. Section 4 contains the proof of Theorem 1.1. Crucial ingredients for this proof are the existence of the Albanese fibration of $S$ and the formulas of Section 2. In Section 5 examples for Theorem 1.1 are obtained, via the construction of branch curves with appropriate singularities. The Computational Algebra System Magma is used to perform the necessary calculations (visit http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/magma for more information about Magma).

Notation and conventions. We work over the complex numbers; all varieties are assumed to be projective algebraic. We do not distinguish between line bundles and divisors on a smooth variety. Linear equivalence is denoted by $\equiv$. A nodal curve or ( -2 )-curve $C$ on a surface is a curve isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ such that $C^{2}=-2$. Given a surface $X, \operatorname{Kod}(X)$ means the Kodaira dimension of $X$. We say that a curve singularity is negligible if it is either a double point or a triple point which resolves to at most a double point after one blow-up. A $(n, n)$ point, or point of type $(n, n)$, is a point of multiplicity $n$ with an infinitely near point also of multiplicity $n$. An involution of a surface $S$ is an automorphism of $S$ of order 2 . We say that a map is composed with an involution $i$ of $S$ if it factors through the map $S \rightarrow S / i$. The rest of the notation is standard in Algebraic Geometry.
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## 2. Generalities on involutions

Let $S$ be a smooth minimal surface of general type with an involution $i$. As $S$ is minimal of general type, this involution is biregular. The fixed locus of $i$ is the union of a smooth curve $R^{\prime \prime}$ (possibly empty) and of $t \geq 0$ isolated points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{t}$. Let $S / i$ be the quotient of $S$ by $i$ and $p: S \rightarrow S / i$ be the projection onto the quotient. The surface $S / i$ has nodes at the points $Q_{i}:=p\left(P_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, t$, and is smooth elsewhere. If $R^{\prime \prime} \neq \emptyset$, the image via $p$ of $R^{\prime \prime}$ is a smooth curve $B^{\prime \prime}$ not containing the singular points $Q_{i}, i=1, \ldots, t$. Let now $h: V \rightarrow S$ be the blow-up of $\underset{\sim}{S}$ at $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{t}$ and set $R^{\prime}=h^{*} R^{\prime \prime}$. The involution $i$ induces a biregular involution $\tilde{i}$ on $V$ whose fixed locus is $R:=R^{\prime}+\sum_{1}^{t} h^{-1}\left(P_{i}\right)$. The quotient $W:=V / \widetilde{i}$ is smooth and one has a commutative diagram:

where $\pi: V \rightarrow W$ is the projection onto the quotient and $g: W \rightarrow S / i$ is the minimal desingularization map. Notice that

$$
A_{i}:=g^{-1}\left(Q_{i}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, t
$$

are $(-2)$-curves and $\pi^{*}\left(A_{i}\right)=2 \cdot h^{-1}\left(P_{i}\right)$. Set $B^{\prime}:=g^{*}\left(B^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Because $\pi$ is a double cover with branch locus $B^{\prime}+\sum_{1}^{t} A_{i}$, there exists a line bundle $L$ on $W$ such that

$$
2 L \equiv B:=B^{\prime}+\sum_{1}^{t} A_{i} .
$$

It is well known that ( $c f$. [4, Chapter V, Section 22]):

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{g}(S)=p_{g}(V)=p_{g}(W)+h^{0}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}\left(K_{W}+L\right)\right) \\
q(S)=q(V)=q(W)+h^{1}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}\left(K_{W}+L\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{S}^{2}-t=K_{V}^{2}=2\left(K_{W}+L\right)^{2}  \tag{2.1}\\
\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{V}\right)=2 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{W}\right)+\frac{1}{2} L\left(K_{W}+L\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Furthermore, from the papers [12] and [9], if $S$ is a smooth minimal surface of general type with an involution $i$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{W}\left(2 K_{W}+L\right)\right)=h^{0}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}\left(2 K_{W}+L\right)\right),  \tag{2.2}\\
\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{W}\right)-\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)=K_{W}\left(K_{W}+L\right)-h^{0}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}\left(2 K_{W}+L\right)\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

and the bicanonical map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{2} \text { is composed with } i \text { if and only if } h^{0}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}\left(2 K_{W}+L\right)\right)=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From formulas (2.1) and (2.3) one obtains the number $t$ of nodes of $S / i$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=K_{S}^{2}+6 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{W}\right)-2 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)-2 h^{0}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}\left(2 K_{W}+L\right)\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $P$ be a minimal model of the resolution $W$ of $S / i$ and $\rho: W \rightarrow P$ be the natural projection. Denote by $\bar{B}$ the projection $\rho(B)$ and by $\delta$ the "projection" of $L$.
Remark 2.1. Resolving the singularities of $\bar{B}$ we obtain exceptional divisors $E_{i}$ and numbers $r_{i} \in 2 \mathbb{N}^{+}$such that $E_{i}^{2}=-1, K_{W}=\rho^{*}\left(K_{P}\right)+\sum E_{i}$ and $B=$ $\rho^{*}(\bar{B})-\sum r_{i} E_{i}$.

Proposition 2.2. With the previous notations, the bicanonical map $\phi_{2}$ is composed with $i$ if and only if

$$
\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{P}\right)-\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)=K_{P}\left(K_{P}+\delta\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum\left(r_{i}-2\right)
$$

Proof. From formulas (2.3), (2.4) and Remark 2.1 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{P}\right)-\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} K_{W}\left(2 K_{W}+2 L\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho^{*}\left(K_{P}\right)+\sum E_{i}\right)\left(2 \rho^{*}\left(K_{P}+\delta\right)+\sum\left(2-r_{i}\right) E_{i}\right) \\
& =K_{P}\left(K_{P}+\delta\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum\left(r_{i}-2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Surfaces with $p_{g}=q=1$ and an involution

Let $S$ be a minimal smooth projective surface of general type satisfying $p_{g}(S)=$ $q(S)=1$.

Note that then $2 \leq K_{S}^{2} \leq 9$ : we have $K_{S}^{2} \leq 9 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)$ by the Myiaoka-Yau inequality (see [4, Chapter VII, Theorem (4.1)]) and $K_{S}^{2} \geq 2 p_{g}$ for an irregular surface (see [14]).

Furthermore, if the bicanonical map of $S$ is not birational, then $K_{S}^{2} \neq 9$. In fact, by [12], if $K_{S}^{2}=9$ and $\phi_{2}$ is not birational, then $S$ has a genus 2 fibration, while Théorème 2.2 of [24] implies that if $S$ has a genus 2 fibration and $p_{g}(S)=$ $q(S)=1$, then $K_{S}^{2} \leq 6$.

Since $q(S)=1$ the Albanese variety of $S$ is an elliptic curve $E$ and the Albanese map is a connected fibration (see e. g. [1] or [4]).

Suppose that $S$ has an involution $i$. Then $i$ preserves the Albanese fibration (because $q(S)=1$ ) and so we have a commutative diagram

where $\Delta$ is a curve of genus $\leq 1$. Denote by

$$
f_{A}: W \rightarrow \Delta
$$

the fibration induced by the Albanese fibration of $S$.
Recall that

$$
\rho: W \rightarrow P
$$

is the projection of $W$ onto its minimal model $P$ and

$$
\bar{B}:=\rho(B),
$$

where $B:=B^{\prime}+\sum_{1}^{t} A_{i} \subset W$ is the branch locus of $\pi$.
Let

$$
\overline{B^{\prime}}:=\rho\left(B^{\prime}\right), \overline{A_{i}}=\rho\left(A_{i}\right)
$$

When $\bar{B}$ has only negligible singularities, the map $\rho$ contracts only exceptional curves contained in fibres of $f_{A}$. In fact, there exists otherwise a ( -1 )-curve $J \subset W$ such that $J B=2$ and so $\pi^{*}(J)$ is a rational curve transverse to the fibres of the (genus 1 base) Albanese fibration of $S$, which is impossible. Moreover, $\rho$ contracts no curve meeting $\sum A_{i}$, because $h: V \rightarrow S$ is the contraction of isolated (-1)curves. Therefore the singularities of $\bar{B}$ are exactly the singularities of $\overline{B^{\prime}}$, i.e. $\overline{B^{\prime}} \cap \sum \overline{A_{i}}=\emptyset$. In this case the image of $f_{A}$ on $P$ will be denoted by $\overline{f_{A}}$.

If $\Delta \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$, then the double cover $E \rightarrow \Delta$ is ramified over 4 points $p_{j}$ of $\Delta$, thus the branch locus $B^{\prime}+\sum_{1}^{t} A_{i}$ is contained in 4 fibres

$$
F_{A}^{j}:=f_{A}^{*}\left(p_{j}\right), j=1, \ldots, 4
$$

of the fibration $f_{A}$. Hence, by Zariski's Lemma (see e. g. [4]), the irreducible components $B_{i}^{\prime}$ of $B^{\prime}$ satisfy $B_{i}^{\prime 2} \leq 0$. If $\bar{B}$ has only negligible singularities, then also ${\overline{B^{\prime}}}^{2} \leq 0$. As $\pi^{*}\left(F_{A}^{j}\right)$ is of multiplicity 2 , each component of $F_{A}^{j}$ which is not a component of the branch locus $B^{\prime}+\sum_{1}^{t} A_{i}$ must be of even multiplicity.

## 4. The classification theorem

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.1. We will freely use the notation and results of Sections 2 and 3.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $p_{g}(P) \leq p_{g}(S)=1$, then $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{P}\right) \leq 2-q(P) \leq 2$. Proposition 2.2 gives $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{P}\right) \geq 1$, because $K_{P}$ is nef (i.e. $K_{P} C \geq 0$ for every curve $C$ ). So from Proposition 2.2 and the classification of surfaces (see e. g. [1] or [4]) only the following cases can occur:

1. $P$ is of general type;
2. $P$ is a surface with Kodaira dimension 1;
3. $P$ is an Enriques surface, $\bar{B}$ has only negligible singularities;
4. $P$ is a K3 surface, $\bar{B}$ has a 4 -uple or $(3,3)$ point, and possibly negligible singularities.

We will show that: case 3 does not occur, in cases 1 and 2 the Albanese fibration has genus 2 and only in case 4 the Albanese fibration can have genus $\neq 2$.

Each of cases $1, \ldots, 4$ will be studied separately. We start by considering:
Case 1. As $P$ is of general type, $K_{P}^{2} \geq 1$ and $K_{P}$ is nef, Proposition 2.2 gives $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{P}\right)=2, K_{P}^{2}=1, K_{P} \delta=0$ and $\bar{B}$ has only negligible singularities. The equality $K_{P} \overline{B^{\prime}}=K_{P} 2 \delta=0$ implies ${\overline{B^{\prime}}}^{2}<0$ when $B^{\prime} \neq 0$. In the notation of Remark 2.1 one has $K_{W} \equiv \rho^{*}\left(K_{P}\right)+\sum E_{i}$ and $B^{\prime}=\rho^{*}\left(\overline{B^{\prime}}\right)-2 \sum E_{i}$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{S}^{2} & =K_{V}^{2}+t=\frac{1}{4}\left(2 K_{V}\right)^{2}+t=\frac{1}{4} \pi^{*}\left(2 K_{W}+B\right)^{2}+t \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(2 K_{W}+B\right)^{2}+t=\frac{1}{2}\left(2 K_{W}+B^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(2 K_{P}+\overline{B^{\prime}}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(4+{\overline{B^{\prime}}}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $K_{S}^{2} \geq 2 p_{g}(S)$ for an irregular surface (see [14]), ${\overline{B^{\prime}}}^{2}<0$ is impossible, hence $B^{\prime}=0$ and $K_{S}^{2}=2$. By [5] minimal surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=1$ and $K^{2}=2$ have Albanese fibration of genus 2. This is case (i) of Theorem 1.1. We will see in Section 5 an example for this case.

Finally the fact that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\phi_{2}\right)=8$ follows immediately because $\phi_{2}$ is a morphism onto $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and $\left(2 K_{S}\right)^{2}=8$.

Next we exclude:
Case 3. Using the notation of Remark 2.1 of Section 2, we can write $K_{W} \equiv$ $\rho^{*}\left(K_{P}\right)+\sum E_{i}$ and $2 L \equiv \rho^{*}(2 \delta)-2 \sum E_{i}$, for some exceptional divisors $E_{i}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(K_{W}+L\right) & =\frac{1}{2} L\left(2 K_{W}+2 L\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho^{*}(\delta)-\sum E_{i}\right)\left(2 \rho^{*}\left(K_{P}\right)+\rho^{*}(2 \delta)\right)=\frac{1}{2} \delta\left(2 K_{P}+2 \delta\right)=\delta^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and then, from (2.1), $\delta^{2}=-2$. Now (2.4) and (2.5) imply $t=K_{S}^{2}+4$, thus

$$
{\overline{B^{\prime}}}^{2}=\bar{B}^{2}+2 t=(2 \delta)^{2}+2 t=-8+2 t=2 K_{S}^{2}>0
$$

This is a contradiction because we have seen that $\overline{{B^{\prime}}^{2}} \leq 0$ when $\overline{B^{\prime}}$ has only negligible singularities. Thus case 3) does not occur.

Now we focus on:
Case 2. Since we are assuming that $\operatorname{Kod}(P)=1, P$ has an elliptic fibration (i.e. a morphism $f_{e}: P \rightarrow C$ where $C$ is a curve and the general fibre of $f_{e}$ is a smooth connected elliptic curve). Then $K_{P}$ is numerically equivalent to a rational multiple of a fibre of $f_{e}$ (see e. g. [1] or [4]). As $K_{P} \delta \geq 0$, Proposition 2.2, together with $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{P}\right) \leq 2$, yield $K_{P} \delta=0$ or 1 .

Denote by $F_{e}$ (respectively $F_{A}$ ) a general fibre of $f_{e}$ (respectively $f_{A}$ ) and let $\overline{F_{A}}:=\rho\left(F_{A}\right)$. If $K_{P} \delta=0$, then $F_{e} \bar{B}=0$, which implies that the fibration $f_{e}$ lifts to an elliptic fibration on $S$. This is impossible because $S$ is a surface of general type. So $K_{P} \delta=1$ and, since $p_{g}(P) \leq p_{g}(S)=1$, the only possibility allowed by Proposition 2.2 is

$$
p_{g}(P)=1, q(P)=0 \text { and } \bar{B} \text { has only negligible singularities. }
$$

Now $q(P)=0$ implies that the elliptic fibration $f_{e}$ has a rational base, thus the canonical bundle formula (see e. g. [4, Chapter V, Section 12]) gives $K_{P} \equiv \sum\left(m_{i}-\right.$ 1) $F_{i}$, where $m_{i} F_{i}$ are the multiple fibres of $f_{e}$. From

$$
2=2 \delta K_{P}=\overline{B^{\prime}} K_{P}=\overline{B^{\prime}} \sum\left(m_{i}-1\right) F_{i}, \quad \overline{B^{\prime}} F_{i} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)
$$

we get

$$
K_{P} \equiv \frac{1}{2} F_{e} .
$$

Since $\bar{B}$ has only negligible singularities, ${\overline{B^{\prime}}}^{2} \leq 0$ and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 K_{S}^{2}=\left(2 K_{W}+B^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\rho^{*}\left(2 K_{P}+\overline{B^{\prime}}\right)^{2}=8+{\overline{B^{\prime}}}^{2} \leq 8 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $2 \leq K_{S}^{2} \leq 4$. If $K_{S}^{2}=2$, then the Albanese fibration of $S$ is of genus 2, by [5]. So, to prove statement a), (ii) of Theorem 1.1, we must show that for $K_{S}^{2}=3$ or 4 the Albanese fibration of $S$ has genus 2. We will study each of these cases separately.

First we consider

- $K_{S}^{2}=4$

Let $\overline{F_{A}^{i}}:=\rho\left(F_{A}^{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, 4$.

Claim 4.1. If $f_{A}$ is not a genus 2 fibration then

$$
\overline{F_{A}^{j}}=2 \overline{B^{\prime}}
$$

for some $j \in\{1, \ldots, 4\}$.
Proof. By formula (4.1) ${\overline{B^{\prime}}}^{2}=0$, and so $\overline{B^{\prime}}$ contains the support of $x \geq 1$ of the $\overline{F_{A}^{i}}$,s. The facts $K_{P} \overline{F_{A}}>0$ (because $g\left(\overline{F_{A}}\right) \geq 2$ ) and $K_{P} \overline{B^{\prime}}=2$ imply $x=1$, i.e. $\overline{F_{A}^{j}}=k \overline{B^{\prime}}$, for some $j \in\{1, \ldots, 4\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$. If $k=1$ then $\overline{F_{A}} K_{P}=2$, thus $\overline{F_{A}}$ is of genus 2 and $S$ is as in case (ii) of Theorem 1.1.

Suppose now $k \geq 2$. Then each irreducible component of the divisor

$$
D:=\overline{F_{A}^{1}}+\ldots+\overline{F_{A}^{4}}
$$

whose support is not in $\sum_{1}^{14} \overline{A_{i}}$ is of multiplicity greater than 1. The fibration $\overline{f_{A}}$ gives a cover $F_{e} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ of degree $\overline{F_{A}} F_{e}$, for a general fibre $F_{e}$ of the elliptic fibration $f_{e}$. The Hurwitz formula (see e. g. [17]) says that the ramification degree $r$ of this cover is $2 \overline{F_{A}} F_{e}$. Let $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ be the points in $F_{e} \cap D$ and $\alpha_{i}$ be the intersection number of $F_{e}$ and $D$ at $p_{i}$. Of course $F_{e} D=4 \overline{F_{A}} F_{e}=\sum_{1}^{n} \alpha_{i}$ and then $F_{e} \bigcap \sum \overline{A_{i}}=\emptyset$ implies $\alpha_{i} \geq 2, i=1, \ldots, n$. We have

$$
2 \overline{F_{A}} F_{e}=r \geq \sum_{1}^{n}\left(\alpha_{i}-1\right)=\sum_{1}^{n} \alpha_{i}-n=4 \overline{F_{A}} F_{e}-n
$$

i.e. $n \geq 2 \overline{F_{A}} F_{e}$. The only possibility is $n=2 \overline{F_{A}} F_{e}$ and $\alpha_{i}=2 \forall i$, which means that every component $\Gamma$ of $D$ such that $\Gamma F_{e} \neq 0$ is exactly of multiplicity 2 . In particular an irreducible component of $\overline{B^{\prime}}$ is of multiplicity 2 , thus $k=2$, i.e. $\overline{F_{A}^{j}}=2 \overline{B^{\prime}}$.

Claim 4.2. There is a smooth rational curve $C$ contained in a fibre $F_{C}$ of the elliptic fibration $f_{e}$, and not contained in fibres of $\overline{f_{A}}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m:=\widehat{C} \sum_{1}^{t} A_{i} \leq 3 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widehat{C}$ is the strict transform of $C$ in $W$.
Proof. Since $\overline{A_{i}} F_{e}=\overline{A_{i}} 2 K_{P}=0$, then each $\overline{A_{i}}$ is contained in a fibre of $f_{e}$, and in particular the elliptic fibration $f_{e}$ has reducible fibres. Denote by $C$ an irreducible component of a reducible fibre $F_{C}$ of $f_{e}$, by $\xi$ the multiplicity of $C$ in $F_{C}$ and by $\widehat{C}$ the strict transform of $C$ in $W$. If the intersection number of $C$ and the support of $F_{C}-\xi C$ is greater than 3 then, from the configurations of singular fibres of an elliptic fibration (see e. g. [4, Chapter V, Section 7]), $F_{C}$ must be of type $I_{0}^{*}$,
i.e. it has the following configuration: it is the union of four disjoint (-2)-curves $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{4}$ with a (-2)-curve $\theta$, with multiplicity 2 , such that $\theta \theta_{i}=1, i=1, \ldots, 4$.

So if $\widehat{C} \sum_{1}^{t} A_{i}>3$, the fibre $F_{C}$ containing $C$ is of type $I_{0}^{*}$ with $\widehat{C} \sum_{1}^{t} A_{i}=4$. Since the number of nodes of $S / i$ is $t=K_{S}^{2}+10=14 \not \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$, there must be a reducible fibre such that for every component $C \not \subset \sum_{1}^{t} \overline{A_{i}}, \widehat{C} \sum_{1}^{t} A_{i} \leq 3$. As $f_{e} \neq \overline{f_{A}}$ and the $\overline{A_{i}}$,s are contained in fibres of $f_{e}$ and in fibres of $\overline{f_{A}}$, we can choose $C$ not contained in fibres of $\overline{f_{A}}$.

Let $C$ be as in Claim 4.2 and consider the resolution $\widetilde{V} \rightarrow V$ of the singularities of $\pi^{*}(\widehat{C})$. Let $G \subset \widetilde{V}$ be the strict transform of $\pi^{*}(\widehat{C})$. Notice that $G$ has multiplicity 1, because $C$ transverse to the fibres of $\overline{f_{A}}$ implies $C \not \subset \bar{B}$. Recall that $E$ denotes the basis of the Albanese fibration of $S$.
Claim 4.3. The Albanese fibration of $\tilde{V}$ induces a cover $G \rightarrow E$ with ramification degree

$$
r:=K_{\widetilde{V}} G+G^{2}
$$

Proof. Let $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{h}$ be the connected (hence smooth) components of $G$. The curve $C$ is not contained in fibres of $\overline{f_{A}}$, thus $G$ is not contained in fibres of the Albanese fibration of $\widetilde{V}$. This fibration induces a cover $G_{i} \rightarrow E$ with ramification degree, from the Hurwitz formula,

$$
r_{i}=2 g\left(G_{i}\right)-2=K_{\widetilde{V}} G_{i}+G_{i}^{2}
$$

This way we have a cover $G \rightarrow E$ with ramification degree

$$
r=\sum r_{i}=K_{\widetilde{V}}\left(G_{1}+\cdots+G_{h}\right)+\left(G_{1}^{2}+\cdots+G_{h}^{2}\right)=K_{\widetilde{V}} G+G^{2}
$$

We are finally in position to show that $g\left(F_{A}\right)=2$.
Let $n:=\widehat{C} B^{\prime}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 K_{V} \pi^{*}(\widehat{C}) & =\pi^{*}\left(2 K_{W}+B^{\prime}+\sum A_{i}\right) \pi^{*}(\widehat{C}) \\
& =2\left(2 K_{W}+B^{\prime}+\sum A_{i}\right) \widehat{C}=4 K_{W} \widehat{C}+2\left(B^{\prime}+\sum A_{i}\right) \widehat{C} \\
& =4\left(-2-\widehat{C}^{2}\right)+2(n+m)=-8-2 \pi^{*}(\widehat{C})^{2}+2(n+m)
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.

$$
K_{V} \pi^{*}(\widehat{C})+\pi^{*}(\widehat{C})^{2}=n+m-4
$$

Suppose that $g\left(F_{A}\right) \neq 2$. Let $\Lambda \subset V$ be the double Albanese fibre induced by $\overline{F_{A}^{j}}=2 \overline{B^{\prime}}$ (as in Claim 4.1) and $\widetilde{\Lambda} \subset \widetilde{V}$ be the total transform of $\Lambda$. From

$$
G \tilde{\Lambda}=\pi^{*}(\widehat{C}) \Lambda \geq \pi^{*}(\widehat{C}) \pi^{*}\left(B^{\prime}\right)=2 n
$$

one has $r \geq n$. Then

$$
n+m-4=K_{V} \pi^{*}(\widehat{C})+\pi^{*}(\widehat{C})^{2} \geq K_{\widetilde{V}} G+G^{2}=r \geq n
$$

and so $m \geq 4$, which contradicts Claim 4.2.
So if $K_{S}^{2}=4$, then the Albanese fibration of $S$ is of genus 2 .
We will now consider the possibility

- $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{3}$

In this case a general Albanese fibre $\Lambda$ has genus 2 or 3 (see [7]). Suppose then $g(\Lambda)=3$. Surfaces $S$ with $K_{S}^{2}=g(\Lambda)=3$ are studied in detail in [7]. There (see also [18]) it is shown that the relative canonical map $\gamma$, given by $\left|K_{S}+n \Lambda\right|$ for some $n$, is a morphism.

We know that $K_{P} \overline{B^{\prime}}=2$ and ${\overline{B^{\prime}}}^{2}=-2$, by (4.1). We have already seen that $\bar{B}$ has only negligible singularities (which means $r_{i}=2 \forall i$, in the notation of Remark 2.1) and then $\rho$ contracts no curve meeting $\sum A_{i}$. Let $R^{\prime}$ be the support of $\pi^{*}\left(B^{\prime}\right)$.

Claim 4.4. We have

$$
K_{V} R^{\prime}=1
$$

## Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 K_{V} \cdot 2 R^{\prime} & =\pi^{*}\left(2 K_{W}+B\right) \pi^{*}\left(B^{\prime}\right)=2\left(2 K_{W}+B\right) B^{\prime} \\
& =2\left(2 K_{W}+B^{\prime}\right) B^{\prime}=2\left(2 \rho^{*}\left(K_{P}\right)+\rho^{*}\left(\overline{B^{\prime}}\right)\right)\left(\rho^{*}\left(\overline{B^{\prime}}\right)-\sum 2 E_{i}\right) \\
& =2\left(2 K_{P}+\overline{B^{\prime}}\right) \overline{B^{\prime}}=2(4-2)=4
\end{aligned}
$$

thus $K_{V} R^{\prime}=1$.
As the map

$$
\gamma \circ h: V \longrightarrow \gamma(S)
$$

is a birational morphism, $\gamma \circ h\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ is a line (plus possibly some isolated points). This way there exists a smooth rational curve $\beta \subset B^{\prime}$ such that

$$
K_{V} \widetilde{\beta}=1
$$

where $\widetilde{\beta} \subset R^{\prime}$ is the support of $\pi^{*}(\beta)$. The adjunction formula gives $\widetilde{\beta}^{2}=-3$, thus $\beta^{2}=-6$. Notice that $\widetilde{\beta}$ is the only component of $R^{\prime}$ which is not contracted by the map $\gamma \circ h$.

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha:=B^{\prime}-\beta \subset W \\
\bar{\beta}:=\rho(\beta), \bar{\alpha}:=\rho(\alpha) \subset P .
\end{gathered}
$$

When $\alpha$ is non-empty, the support of $\pi^{*}(\alpha)$ is an union of $(-2)$-curves, since it is contracted by $\gamma \circ h$. Equivalently $\alpha$ is a disjoint union of ( -4 )-curves.

Claim 4.5. We have

$$
K_{W}^{2} \geq-2
$$

Proof. Consider the Chern number $c_{2}$ and the second Betti number $b_{2}$. It is well known that, for a surface $X$,

$$
c_{2}(X)=12 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)-K_{X}^{2}, \quad b_{2}(X)=c_{2}(X)-2+4 q(X)
$$

Therefore

$$
b_{2}(W)=22-K_{W}^{2}, \quad b_{2}(V)=b_{2}(S)+t=11+13=24
$$

The inequality $K_{W}^{2} \geq-2$ follows from the fact $b_{2}(V) \geq b_{2}(W)$.
From Claim 4.5, we conclude that the resolution of $\overline{B^{\prime}}$ blows-up at most two double points, thus

$$
B^{\prime 2} \geq-2+2(-4)=-10=\beta^{2}+(-4)
$$

This implies that $\alpha$ is a smooth (-4)-curve when $\alpha \neq 0$.
Claim 4.6. Only the following possibilities can occur:

- $\bar{\beta}$ has one double point and no other singularity, or
- $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}$ are smooth, $\bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}=2$.

Proof. Recall that $B^{\prime}=\alpha+\beta$ is contained in fibres of $f_{A}$ and, since $\overline{B^{\prime}}$ has only negligible singularities, then also $\overline{B^{\prime}}=\bar{\alpha}+\bar{\beta}$ is contained in fibres of $\overline{f_{A}}$. In particular $\bar{\alpha}^{2}, \bar{\beta}^{2} \leq 0$.

If $\bar{\alpha}$ is singular, then it has arithmetic genus $p_{a}(\bar{\alpha})=1$ and $\bar{\alpha}^{2}=0$. But then $\bar{\alpha}$ has the same support of a fibre of $\overline{f_{A}}$, which is a contradiction because $\overline{f_{A}}$ is not elliptic. Therefore $\bar{\alpha}$ is smooth.

Since $K_{P} \bar{\alpha} \geq 0, K_{P} \overline{B^{\prime}}=2$ implies $K_{P} \bar{\beta} \leq 2$. We know that $\beta$ is a smooth rational curve and $\beta^{2}=-6$, thus $K_{W} \beta=4$. If $\bar{\beta}$ is smooth, then one must have $\bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}>1$. From Claim 4.5 the only possibility in this case is $\bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}=2$. If $\bar{\beta}$ is singular, then $\bar{\beta}^{2} \leq 0$ implies that $\bar{\beta}$ has one ordinary double point and no other singularity.

Let $D:=\bar{\beta}$ if $\bar{\beta}$ is singular. Otherwise let $D:=\bar{\alpha}+\bar{\beta}$.
The 2-connected divisor $\widetilde{D}:=\frac{1}{2}(\rho \circ \pi)^{*}(D)$ has arithmetic genus $p_{a}(\widetilde{D})=1$. We know that $\left(K_{V}+n \Lambda\right) \widetilde{D}=1$ (because $K_{V} R^{\prime}=1$ ) and that $\widetilde{D}$ contains a component $A$ such that $\left(K_{V}+n \Lambda\right) A=0$ (because $D$ has at least one negligible singularity). These two facts imply, from [10, Proposition A.5, (ii)], that the relative canonical map $\gamma$ has a base point in $\widetilde{D}$. As mentioned above, $\gamma$ is a morphism, which is a contradiction.

Finally the assertion about $\operatorname{deg}\left(\phi_{2}\right)$ in Case 2.: we have proved that $S$ has a genus 2 fibration, so it has an hyperelliptic involution $j$. The bicanonical map $\phi_{2}$ factors through both $i$ and $j$, thus $\operatorname{deg}\left(\phi_{2}\right) \geq 4$.

This finishes the proof of case a), (ii) of Theorem 1.1.
We end the proof of Theorem 1.1 with Case a), (iii): A surface of general type with a genus 2 fibration and $p_{g}=q=1$ satisfies $K^{2} \leq 6$ (see [24]). Denote by $j$ the map such that $\phi_{2}=j \circ i$. The quotient $S / i$ is a $K 3$ surface thus, from [15], $\operatorname{deg}(j) \leq 2$. Analogously to Case 2, $\operatorname{deg}\left(\phi_{2}\right) \geq 4$, thus $\operatorname{deg}(j)=2, \operatorname{deg}\left(\phi_{2}\right)=4$ and then $K_{S}^{2} \neq 2$ (see Case 1).

It follows from [24, page 66] that, if the genus 2 fibration of $S$ has a rational basis, then $K_{S}^{2}=3$. It is shown in [19] that, in these conditions, $\operatorname{deg}\left(\phi_{2}\right)=2$. We then conclude that the genus 2 fibration of $S$ is the Albanese fibration.

Examples for case a), (iii) with $K_{S}^{2}=3,4$ or 5 were given by Catanese in [6]. The existence of the other cases is proved in the next section.

## 5. Examples

In this section we will construct smooth minimal surfaces of general type $S$ with $p_{g}(S)=q(S)=1$ having an involution $i$ such that the bicanonical map $\phi_{2}$ of $S$ is composed with $i$ and:

1) $K_{S}^{2}=6, g=3, \operatorname{deg}\left(\phi_{2}\right)=2, S / i$ is birational to a $K 3$ surface;
2) $K_{S}^{2}=4, g=2, \operatorname{Kod}(S / i)=1$;
3) $K_{S}^{2}=2, g=2, \operatorname{Kod}(S / i)=2$,
where $g$ denotes the genus of the Albanese fibration of $S$.

Example 5.1. In [23] Todorov gives the following construction of a surface of general type $S$ with $p_{g}(S)=1, q(S)=0$ and $K_{S}^{2}=8$. Consider a Kummer surface $Q$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, i.e. a quartic having as singularities only 16 nodes (ordinary double points). Let $G \subset Q$ be the intersection of $Q$ with a general quadric, $\widetilde{Q}$ be the minimal resolution of $Q$ and $\widetilde{G} \subset \widetilde{Q}$ be the pullback of $G$. The surface $S$ is the minimal model of the double cover $\pi: V \rightarrow \widetilde{Q}$ ramified over $\widetilde{G}+\sum_{1}^{16} A_{i}$, where $A_{i} \subset \widetilde{Q}$, $i=1, \ldots, 16$, are the $(-2)$-curves which contract to the nodes of $Q$.

It follows from the double cover formulas (cf. [4, Chapter V, Section 22]) that the imposition of a quadruple point to the branch locus decreases $K^{2}$ by 2 and the Euler characteristic $\chi$ by 1 .

We will see that we can impose a quadruple point to the branch locus of the Todorov construction, thus obtaining $S$ with $K_{S_{\sim}}^{2}=6$. In this case I claim that $p_{g}(S)=q(S)=1$. In fact, let $W$ be the surface $\widetilde{Q}$ blown-up at the quadruple point, $E$ be the corresponding ( -1 )-curve, $B$ be the branch locus and $L$ be the line bundle such that $2 L \equiv B$. From formula (2.3) in Section 2, one has
$h^{0}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}(2 E+L)\right)=0$ (thus the bicanonical map of $V$ factors through $\left.\pi\right)$, hence also $h^{0}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}(E+L)\right)=0$ and then

$$
p_{g}(S)=p_{g}(W)+h^{0}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}(E+L)\right)=1
$$

We will see that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\phi_{2}\right)=2$, hence $\phi_{2}(S)$ is a $K 3$ surface and so $S$ has no genus 2 fibration.

First we need to obtain an equation of a Kummer surface. The Computational Algebra System Magma has a direct way to do this, but I prefer to do it using a beautiful construction that I learned from Miles Reid.

We want a quartic surface $Q \in \mathbb{P}^{3}$ whose singularities are exactly 16 nodes. Projecting from one of the nodes to $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, one realizes the "Kummer" surface as a double cover

$$
\psi: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}
$$

with branch locus the union of 6 lines $L_{i}$ (see [17, page 774]), each one tangent to a conic $C$ (the image of the projection point) at a point $p_{i}$. The surface $X$ contains 15 nodes (from the intersection of the lines) and two ( -2 )-curves (the pullback $\psi^{*}(C)$ ) disjoint from these nodes. To obtain a Kummer surface we have just to contract one of these curves.

Denote also by $L_{i}$ the defining polynomial of each line $L_{i}$. An equation for $X$ is $z^{2}=L_{1} \cdots L_{6}$ in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(3,1,1,1)$, with coordinates $\left(z, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$. We will see that this equation can be written in the form $A B+D E=$ 0 , where the system $A=B=D=E=0$ has only the trivial solution and $B, E$ are the defining polynomials of one of the $(-2)$-curves in $\psi^{*}(C)$. Now consider the surface $X^{\prime}$ given by $B s=D, E s=-A$ in the space $\mathbb{P}(3,1,1,1,1)$ with coordinates $\left(z, s, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$. There is a morphism $X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ which restricts to an isomorphism

$$
X-\{B=E=0\} \longrightarrow X^{\prime}-\{[0: 1: 0: 0: 0]\}
$$

and which contracts the curve $\{B=E=0\}$ to the point $[0: 1: 0: 0: 0]$. This is an example of unprojection (see [21]).

The variable $z$ appears isolated in the equations of $X^{\prime}$, therefore eliminating $z$ we obtain the equation of the Kummer $Q$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with variables $\left(s, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$. All this calculations will be done using Magma.

In what follows a line preceded by $>$ is an input line, something preceded by $/ /$ is a comment. $\mathrm{A} \backslash$ at the end of a line means continuation in the next line. The other lines are output ones.

```
> K<e>:=CyclotomicField(6);//e denotes the 6th root of unity.
> //We choose a conic C with equation x1x3-x2^2=0 and fix the
> //p_i's: (1:1:1), (e^2:e:1), (e^4:e^2:1), (e^6:e^3:1),
> //(e^8: e^4:1), ( (e^10: e^5:1).
> R<z,s,x1,x2,x3>:=PolynomialRing(K,[3,1,1,1,1]);
```

```
> g:=&*[e^(2*i)*x1-2*e^i*x2+x3:i in [0..5]];
> //g is the product of the defining polynomials
> /lof the tangent lines L_i to C at p_i.
> X:=z^2-g;
> X eq (z+x1^3-x3^3)*(z-x1^3+x3^3)+4*(x1*x3-4*x2^2)^2*\
> (-x1*x3+x\mp@subsup{2}{}{^}2);//The decomposition AB+DE.
true
> i:=Ideal([s*(z-x1^3+x3^3)-4*(x1*x3-4*x2^2)^2,\
> s*(x1*x3-x2^2)-(z+x1^3-x\mp@subsup{3}{}{\wedge}3)]);
> j:=EliminationIdeal(i,1);
> j;
Ideal of Graded Polynomial ring of rank 5 over K
Lexicographical Order Variables: z, s, x1, x2, x3
Variable weights: 3 1 1 1 1 Basis:
[-1/2* s^2*x1*x3+1/2*s^2*x2^2+s*x1^3-s*x3^3+2*x1^2*x3^2-
16*x1*x2^2*x3+32*x2^4]
> 2*Basis(j)[1];
-s^2*x1*x3+s^2*x2^2+2*s*x1^3-2*s*x3^3+4*x1^2*x3^2-
32*x1*x2^2** }3+64*x2^
> //This is the equation of the Kummer Q.
```

We want to find a quadric $H$ such that $H \bigcap Q$ is a reduced curve $\overline{B^{\prime}}$ having an ordinary quadruple point $p t$ as only singularity. Since the computer is not fast enough while working with more than 5 or 6 variables, we first need to think what the most probable case is.

Like we have seen in Section 3, the branch locus $B^{\prime}+\sum_{1}^{16} A_{i}$ is contained in 4 fibres $F_{A}^{1}, \ldots, F_{A}^{4}$ of a fibration $f_{A}$ of $W$, where $W$ is the resolution of $Q$ blown-up at $p t$ and the $A_{i}$ 's are the $(-2)$-curves which contract to the nodes of $Q$.

Of course we have a quadric intersecting $Q$ at a curve with a quadruple point $p t$ : the tangent space $T$ to $Q$ at $p t$ counted twice. But this one is double, so we need to find an irreducible one (and these two induce $f_{A}$ ), the curve $\overline{B^{\prime}}$. These curves $2 T$ and $\overline{B^{\prime}}$ are good candidates for $\overline{F_{A}^{1}}$ and $\overline{F_{A}^{2}}$ (in the notation of Sections 3 and 4). If this configuration exists, then the 16 nodes must be contained in the other two fibres, $\overline{F_{A}^{3}}$ and $\overline{F_{A}^{4}}$. These fibres are divisible by 2 , because $\overline{F_{A}^{1}}=2 T$, and are double outside the nodes. Since in a $K 3$ surface only 0,8 or 16 nodes can have sum divisible by 2 , it is reasonable to try the following configuration: each of $\overline{F_{A}^{3}}$ and $\overline{F_{A}^{4}}$ contain 8 nodes with sum divisible by 2 and is double outside the nodes.

It is well known (see e. g. [17]) that the Kummer surface $Q$ has 16 double hyperplane sections $T_{i}$ such that each one contains 6 nodes of $Q$ and that any two of them intersect in 2 nodes. The sum of the 8 nodes contained in

$$
N:=\left(T_{1} \cup T_{2}\right) \backslash\left(T 1 \cap T_{2}\right)
$$

is divisible by 2 . Magma will give 3 generators $h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}$ for the linear system of quadrics through these nodes.

```
> K<e>:=CyclotomicField(6);
> P3<s,x1,x2,x3>:=ProjectiveSpace(K,3);
> F:=-s^2*x1*x3+s^2*x2^2+2*S*x1^3-2*S*x3^3+4*x1^2*x3^2-\
> 32*x1*x2^2*x3+64*x2^4;
> Q:=Scheme(P3,F);/*The Kummer*/; SQ:=SingularSubscheme(Q);
> T1:=Scheme(P3,x1-2*x2+x3); T2:=Scheme(P3,s);
> N:=Difference((T1 join T2) meet SQ, T1 meet T2);
> s:=SetToSequence(RationalPoints(N));
> //s is the sequence of the 8 nodes.
> L:=LinearSystem(P3,2);
>//This will give the h_i's:
> LinearSystem(L,[P3!s[i] : i in [1..8]]);
Linear system on Projective Space of dimension 3
    Variables: s, x1, x2, x3 with 3 sections:
s*x1-2*x1^2-4*x1*x2-2*x1*x3+8*x2^2+4*x2*x3+2*x3^2
s*x2-2*x1^2-4*x1*x2+4*x2*x3+2*x3^2
s*x3-2*x1^2-4*x1*x2+2*x1*x3-8*x2^2+4*x2*x3+2*x3^2
```

Now we want to find a quadric $H$ in the form $h_{1}+b h_{2}+c h_{3}$, for some $b, c$ (or, less probably, in the form $b h_{2}+c h_{3}$ ) such that the projection of $H \cap Q$ to $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ (by elimination) is a curve with a quadruple point. To find a quadruple point we just have to impose the annulation of the derivatives up to order 3 and ask Magma to do the rest.

```
> R<s,b,c,x1,x2,x3>:=PolynomialRing(Rationals(),6);
> F:=-s^2*x1*x3+s^2*x2^2+2*S*x1^3-2*S*x3^3+4*x1^2*x3^2-\
> 32*x1*x2^2*x3+64*x2^4;
> h1:=s*x1-2*x1^2-4*x1*x2-2*x1*x3+8*x2^2+4*x2*x3+2*x3^2;
> h2:=s*x2-2*x1^2-4*x1*x2+4*x2*x3+2*x3^2;
> h3:=s*x3-2*x1^2-4*x1*x2+2*x1*x3-8*x 2^ 2+4*x 2*x }3+2*x\mp@subsup{)}{}{\wedge
> H:=h1+b*h2+c*h3;
> I:=ideal<R|[F,H]>;
> I1:=EliminationIdeal(I,1);
> q0:=Evaluate(Basis(I1)[1],x3,1);//We work in the affine plane.
> R4<B,C,X1,X2>:=PolynomialRing(Rationals(),4);
> h:=hom<R->R4|[0,B,C,X1,X2,0]>;
> q:=h(q0);q1:=Derivative(q, X1);q2:=Derivative(q, X2);
> q3:=Derivative(q1,x1);q4:=Derivative(q1, x2);q5:=Derivative\
> (q2,x2);q6:=Derivative(q3,x1);q7:=Derivative(q3,x2);
> q8:=Derivative(q4,x2);q9:=Derivative(q5,x2);
> A4:=AffineSpace(R4);
> S:=Scheme(A4,[q,q1,q4,q3,q4,q5,q6,q7,q8,q9]);
> Dimension(S);
0
> PointsOverSplittingField(S);
```

This last command gives the points of $S$, as well as the necessary equations to define the field extensions where they belong. There are various solutions. One of them gives the desired quadruple point. The confirmation is as follows:

```
> R<x>:=PolynomialRing(Rationals());
> K<r13>:=ext<Rationals()|x^4 + x^3 + 1/4*x^2 + 3/32>;
> P3<s,x1,x2,x3>:=ProjectiveSpace(K,3);
> F:=-S^2*x1*x3+S^2*x2^2+2*S*x1^3-2*S*x3^3+4*x1^2*x3^2-\
> 32*x1*x2^2*x3+64*x2^4;
> b:=64/55*r13^3-272/55*r13^2-96/55*r13-46/55;
> c:=-2176/605*r13^3+448/605*r13^2+624/605*r13-361/605;
> H:=(s*x1-2*x1^2-4*x1*x2-2*x1*x3+8*x2^2+4*x2*x3+2*x3^2)+\
> b* (s*x 2-2*x1^2-4*x1*x 2+4*x2*x 3+2*x3^2) +\
> c*(s*x3-2*x1^2-4*x1*x2+2*x1*x3-8*x2^2+4*x2*x3+2*x3^2);
> Q:=Scheme(P3,F);
> C:=Scheme(Q,H);
> IsReduced(C);
false
> RC:=ReducedSubscheme(C);
> #SingularPoints(RC);//# means ''number of''.
1
> HasSingularPointsOverExtension(RC);
false
> pt:=Representative(SingularPoints(RC));
> pt in SingularSubscheme(Q);//pt is not a node of Q.
false
> T:=DefiningPolynomial(TangentSpace(Q,pt));
> T2:=Scheme(Q,T^2);
> #RationalPoints(T2 meet C);
1
> pt in RationalPoints(T2 meet C);
true
> HasPointsOverExtension(T2 meet C);
false
```

This way $T 2$ and $C$ generate a pencil with a quadruple base point and the curve $\overline{B^{\prime}}$ is a general element of this pencil.

Finally, it remains to be shown that the degree of the bicanonical map $\phi_{2}$ is 2. As $\left(2 K_{S}\right)^{2}=24$, it suffices to show that $\phi_{2}(S)$ is of degree 12 . Since, in the notation of diagram (3.1), $h^{*}\left|2 K_{S}\right|=\pi^{*}\left|2 K_{W}+B^{\prime}\right|$ then $\phi_{2}(S)$ is the image of $W$ via the map $\tau: W \rightarrow \phi_{2}(S)$ given by $\left|2 K_{W}+B^{\prime}\right|$. The projection of this linear system on $Q$ is the linear system of the quadrics whose intersection with $Q$ has a double point at $p t$. In order to easily write this linear system, we will translate the point $p t$ to the origin (in affine coordinates).

```
> QA:=AffinePatch(Scheme(P3,F),4);
> p:=Representative(RationalPoints(AffinePatch(Cluster(pt),4)));
> A3<x,y,z>:=Ambient(QA);
> psi:=map<A3->A3|[x-p[1],Y-p[2],z-p[3]]>;Q0:=psi(QA);
> FA:=DefiningPolynomial(Q0);
> j:=[Evaluate(Derivative(FA,A3.i),Origin(A3)):i in [1,2,3]];
> J:=LinearSystem(A3,[j[1]*x+j[2]*y+j[3]*z, x^2, x*y,x*z, y^2, y*z,\
```

```
> z^2]);
> P6:=ProjectiveSpace(K,6);
> tau:=map<A3->P6|Sections(J)>;
> Degree(tau(Q0));
1 2
```

Example 5.2. Here we will construct a surface of general type $S$, with $p_{g}=q=1$ and $K^{2}=4$, as the minimal model of a double cover of a surface $W$ such that $\operatorname{Kod}(W)=p_{g}(W)=1$ and $q(W)=0$.
Step 1. Construction of $W$.
Consider five distinct lines $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{5} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ meeting in one point $p_{0}$. Let $p_{1} \in$ $L_{4}, p_{2}, p_{3} \in L_{5}$ be points distinct from $p_{0}$. Choose three distinct non-degenerate conics, $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$, tangent to $L_{4}$ at $p_{1}$ and passing through $p_{2}, p_{3}$. Define

$$
D:=L_{1}+\ldots+L_{4}+C_{1}+C_{2} .
$$

Denote by $p_{4}, \ldots, p_{15}$ the 12 nodes of $D$ contained in $L_{1}+L_{2}+L_{3}$. To resolve the $(3,3)$ point of $D$ at $p_{1}$ we must do two blow-ups: one at $p_{1}$ and other at an infinitely near point $p_{1}^{\prime}$. Let $\mu: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be the blow-up with centers $p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{15}$ and $E_{0}, E_{1}, E_{1}^{\prime}, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{15}$ be the corresponding exceptional divisors (with selfintersection -1 ). Consider

$$
D^{\prime}:=\mu^{*}(D)-4 E_{0}-2 E_{1}-4 E_{1}^{\prime}-2 \sum_{2}^{15} E_{i}
$$

Let $\psi: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the double cover of $X$ with branch locus $D^{\prime}$. The surface $\widetilde{X}$ is the canonical resolution of the double cover of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ ramified over $D$. Let $W$ be the minimal model of $\widetilde{X}$ and $v$ be the corresponding morphism.


Notice that $v$ contracts two $(-1)$-curves contained in $(\mu \circ \psi)^{*}\left(L_{4}\right)$.
We have $K_{X} \equiv-\mu^{*}(3 L)+E_{1}^{\prime}+\sum_{0}^{15} E_{i}$, where $L$ denotes a general line of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Hence, using the double cover formulas (cf. (2.1)),
$K_{\tilde{X}} \equiv \psi^{*}\left(K_{X}+\frac{1}{2} D^{\prime}\right) \equiv \psi^{*}\left(\mu^{*}(L)-E_{0}-E_{1}^{\prime}\right) \equiv \psi^{*}\left(\widehat{L_{4}}+\left(E_{1}-E_{1}^{\prime}\right)+E_{1}^{\prime}\right)$,
where $\widehat{L_{4}} \subset X$ is the strict transform of $L_{4}$. Since $\widehat{L_{4}}$ and $E_{1}-E_{1}^{\prime}$ are (-2)-curves contained in the branch locus $D^{\prime}$, then $\frac{1}{2} \psi^{*}\left(\widehat{L_{4}}\right)$ and $\frac{1}{2} \psi^{*}\left(E_{1}-E_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ are $(-1)$-curves in $\widetilde{X}$, thus

$$
K_{W} \equiv \nu\left(\psi^{*}\left(E_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

The divisor $2 v\left(\psi^{*}\left(E_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right) \equiv 2 K_{W}$ is a (double) fibre of the elliptic fibration of $W$ induced by the pencil of lines through $p_{0}$. So $p_{g}(W)=1$ and $W$ has Kodaira dimension 1.

From (2.1) one has

$$
\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{W}\right)=2+\frac{1}{8} D^{\prime}\left(2 K_{X}+D^{\prime}\right)=2+\frac{1}{8}(28-28)=2 .
$$

Step 2. The branch locus in $W$.
Since the strict transforms $\widehat{\widetilde{L_{1}}}, \ldots, \widehat{L_{4}} \subset X$ are in the branch locus $D^{\prime}$, then there are curves $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{4} \subset \widetilde{X}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mu \circ \psi)^{*}\left(L_{1}+\cdots+L_{4}\right)= & 2 l_{1}+\cdots+2 l_{4}+4 \psi^{*}\left(E_{0}\right)+\psi^{*}\left(E_{1}-E_{1}^{\prime}\right) \\
& +2 \psi^{*}\left(E_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{4}^{15} A_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

where each $A_{i}:=\psi^{*}\left(E_{i}\right)$ is a (-2)-curve. But also $E_{1}-E_{1}^{\prime}$ is in the branch locus, thus $\psi^{*}\left(E_{1}-E_{1}^{\prime}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ and then

$$
\sum_{4}^{15} A_{i} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)
$$

The strict transform $\widehat{L_{5}}$ is a (-2)-curve which do not intersect $D^{\prime}$ thus

$$
\psi^{*}\left(\widehat{L_{5}}\right)=A_{16}+A_{17}
$$

with $A_{16}, A_{17}$ disjoint (-2)-curves.
Denote by $\widehat{C_{3}} \subset X$ the strict transform of the conic $C_{3}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mu \circ \psi)^{*}\left(C_{3}+L_{4}+L_{5}\right)= & \psi^{*}\left(\widehat{C_{3}}\right)+2 l_{4}+A_{16}+A_{17} \\
& +2 \psi^{*}\left(E_{0}+\cdots+E_{3}\right)+2 \psi^{*}\left(E_{1}^{\prime}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

With this we conclude that

$$
\psi^{*}\left(\widehat{C_{3}}\right)+\sum_{4}^{17} A_{i} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)
$$

Notice that $F \cdot v\left(\psi^{*}\left(\widehat{C_{3}}\right)\right)=4$ for a fibre $F$ of the elliptic fibration of $W$, thus $K_{W} \cdot v\left(\psi^{*}\left(\widehat{C_{3}}\right)\right)=2$ 。

Step 3. Construction of $S$.
Let $\pi: V \rightarrow W$ be the double cover with branch locus

$$
B:=v\left(\psi^{*}\left(\widehat{C_{3}}\right)+\sum_{4}^{17} A_{i}\right)
$$

and $S$ be the minimal model of $V$. From the double cover formulas (2.1) we obtain

$$
2 K_{V}^{2}=\left(2 K_{W}+B\right)^{2}=4 K_{W}^{2}+4 K_{W} B+B^{2}=4 \cdot 0+4 \cdot 2+(-28)=-20
$$

and, by contraction of the $(-1)$-curves $\frac{1}{2} \pi^{*}\left(\nu\left(A_{i}\right)\right)$,

$$
K_{S}^{2}=K_{V}^{2}+14=-10+14=4
$$

Let $L: \equiv \frac{1}{2} B$. Formulas (2.1) give

$$
\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)=2 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{W}\right)+\frac{1}{2} L\left(K_{W}+L\right)=4-3=1
$$

Using now formula (2.3) we obtain $h^{0}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}\left(2 K_{W}+L\right)\right)=0$, which means that the bicanonical map of $V$ factors through $\pi$.

Because $K_{W}$ is effective then also $h^{0}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}\left(K_{W}+L\right)\right)=0$ and

$$
p_{g}(S)=p_{g}(W)+h^{0}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}\left(K_{W}+L\right)\right)=1
$$

Hence $q(S)=1$ and then, as we noticed in the beginning of Section 4, the curve $v\left(\psi^{*}\left(\widehat{C_{3}}\right)\right)$ is contained in the fibration of $W$ which induces the Albanese fibration of $S$. As $v\left(\psi^{*}\left(\widehat{C_{3}}\right)\right)^{2}=0$, we conclude that the Albanese fibration of $S$ is the one induced by the pencil $\left|\widehat{C_{3}}\right|$. It is of genus 2 because $\widehat{C_{3}} D^{\prime}=\widehat{C_{3}}\left(\widehat{L_{1}}+\widehat{L_{2}}+\widehat{L_{3}}\right)=6$.

Example 5.3. Now we will obtain a surface of general type $S$, with $p_{g}=q=1$ and $K^{2}=2$, as the minimal model of a double cover of a surface of general type $W$ such that $K_{W}^{2}=p_{g}(W)=1$ and $q(W)=0$.

Step 1. Construction of $W$.
Let $p_{0}, \ldots, p_{3} \in \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be distinct points and $L_{i}$ be the line through $p_{0}$ and $p_{i}$, $i=1,2,3$. For each $j \in\{1,2,3\}$ let $C_{j}$ be the conic through $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$ tangent to the $L_{i}$ 's except for $L_{j}$. Denote by $D$ a general element of the linear system generated by $3 C_{1}+2 L_{1}, 3 C_{2}+2 L_{2}$ and $3 C_{3}+2 L_{3}$. The singularities of $D$ are a (3,3)-point at $p_{i}$, tangent to $L_{i}, i=1,2,3$, and a double point at $p_{0}$. Let $L_{4}$ be a line through $p_{0}$ transverse to $D$.

Denote by $W^{\prime}$ the canonical resolution of the double cover of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with branch locus

$$
D+L_{1}+\ldots+L_{4}
$$

and by $W$ the minimal model of $W^{\prime}$. The formulas of [4, Chapter V, Section 22] give $\chi(W)=2$ and $K_{W}^{2}=1$ (notice that the map $W^{\prime} \rightarrow W$ contracts three ( -1 )curves contained in the pullback of $L_{1}+L_{2}+L_{3}$ ). Since $K^{2} \geq 2 p_{g}$ for an irregular surface ([14]), $W$ is regular and then $p_{g}(W)=\chi(W)-1=1$.

Step 2. The branch locus in $W$.
The pencil of lines through $p_{0}$ induces a (genus 2) fibration of $W$. Let $F_{i}$ be the fibre induced by $L_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 4$. The fibre $F_{4}$ is the union of six disjoint $(-2)$-curves (corresponding to the nodes of $D-p_{0}$ ) with a double component (the strict transform of $L_{4}$ ). Each $F_{i}, i=1,2,3$, is the union of two ( -2 )-curves with a double component (cf. [24, Section 1]). Thus $F_{1}+\cdots+F_{4}$ contain disjoint $(-2)$-curves $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{12}$ such that

$$
\sum_{1}^{12} A_{i} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)
$$

## Step 3. Construction of $S$.

Let $V$ be the double cover of $W$ with branch locus $\sum_{1}^{12} A_{i}$ and $S$ be the minimal model of $V$. From (2.1) we obtain $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)=1$ and $K_{V}^{2}=-10$. The $A_{i}$ 's lift to $(-1)$-curves in $V$, thus $K_{S}^{2}=-10+12=2$. We have $1=p_{g}(W) \leq p_{g}(S)$, hence $q(S) \neq 0$ and then $2=K_{S}^{2} \geq 2 p_{g}(S)$. So $p_{g}(S)=q(S)=1$.

The genus 2 fibration of $W$ induces the Albanese fibration of $S$.

## References

[1] A. Beauville, "Surfaces Algébriques Complexes", Astérisque 54, 1978.
[2] E. Bombieri, Canonical models of surfaces of general type, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci. 42 (1972), 171-219.
[3] G. Borrelli, On the classification of surfaces of general type with non-birational bicanonical map and Du Val double planes, preprint, math.AG/0312351.
[4] W. Barth, C. Peters and A. Van de Ven, "Compact Complex Surfaces", Ergebn. der Math. 3, Folge, Band 4, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
[5] F. Catanese, On a class of surfaces of general type, In: "Algebraic Surfaces", CIME, Liguori, 1981, 269-284.
[6] F. Catanese, Singular bidouble covers and the construction of interesting algebraic surfaces, Amer. Math. Soc. Contemp. Math. 241 (1999), 97-120.
[7] F. Catanese and C. Ciliberto, Surfaces with $p_{g}=q=1$, In: Sympos. Math., Vol. 32, Academic Press, 1991, 49-79.
[8] F. Catanese, C. Ciliberto and M. Mendes Lopes, On the classification of irregular surfaces of general type with nonbirational bicanonical map, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. $\mathbf{3 5 0}$ (1988), 275-308.
[9] A. Calabri, C. Ciliberto and M. Mendes Lopes Numerical Godeaux surfaces with an involution, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
[10] C. Ciliberto, P. Francia and M. Mendes Lopes, Remarks on the bicanonical map for surfaces of general type, Math. Z. 224 (1997), 137-166.
[11] C. Ciliberto, The bicanonical map for surfaces of general type, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 62 (1997), 57-84.
[12] C. Ciliberto and M. Mendes Lopes, On surfaces with $p_{g}=q=2$ and non-birational bicanonical map, Adv. Geom. 2 (2002), 281-300.
[13] C. Ciliberto and M. Mendes Lopes, On surfaces with $p_{g}=2, q=1$ and nonbirational bicanonical map, In: "Algebraic Geometry", Beltrametti, Mauro C. et al. (eds.), a volume in memory of Paolo Francia, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2002, 117-126.
[14] O. Debarre, Inégalités numériques pour les surfaces de type général, Bull. Soc. Math. Fr. 110 (1982), 319-346.
[15] B. Saint-Donat, Projective models of $K-3$ surfaces, Amer. J. Math. 96 (1974), 602-639.
[16] P. Du Val, On surfaces whose canonical system is hyperelliptic, Canadian J. Math. 4 (1952), 204-221.
[17] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, "Principles of Algebraic Geometry", Wiley Classics Library, New York, 1994.
[18] K. Konno, Non-hyperelliptic fibrations of small genus and certain irregular canonical surfaces, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 20 (1993), 575-595.
[19] F. Polizzi, On surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=1, K^{2}=3$, Collect. Math. 56 (2005), 181-234.
[20] F. Polizzi, Surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=1, K^{2}=8$ and bicanonical map of degree 2, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358 (2006), 759-798.
[21] M. Reid, Graded rings and birational geometry, In: "Proceedings of Algebraic Symposium" (Kinosaki, Oct 2000), K. Ohno (ed.) 1-72, available from www.maths. warwick.ac.uk/ miles/3folds
[22] I. Reider, Vector bundles of rank 2 and linear systems on algebraic surfaces, Ann. of Math. (2) 127 (1988), 309-316.
[23] A. Todorov, A construction of surfaces with $p_{g}=1, q=0$ and $2 \leq K^{2} \leq 8$. Counter examples of the global Torelli theorem, Invent. Math. 63 (1981), 287-304.
[24] G. Xiao, "Surfaces fibrées en courbes de genre deux", Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1137, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
[25] G. Xiao, Degree of the bicanonical map of a surface of general type, Amer. J. Math. 112 (1990), 713-736.

Departamento de Matemática Universidade de Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro 5000-911 Vila Real, Portugal crito@utad.pt

