

A simple proof of the propagation of singularities for solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations

YIFENG YU

Abstract. In Albano-Cannarsa [1] the authors proved that, under some conditions, the singularities of the semiconcave viscosity solutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation propagate along generalized characteristics. In this note we will provide a simple proof of this interesting result.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 35F20 (primary); 35D99 (secondary).

1. Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Throughout this note we assume that $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ is a semiconcave viscosity solution of the following Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$H(Du, u, x) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (1.1)$$

For $x \in \Omega$ we set

$$D^+u(x) = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid u(y) \leq u(x) + p \cdot (y - x) + o(|x - y|)\}.$$

As in [1], we assume that $H \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \Omega)$ and satisfies

- (A1) $H(\cdot, z, x)$ is convex for each $(z, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega$;
- (A2) For each $(z, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega$, the 0-level set $\{p \mid H(p, z, x) = 0\}$ does not contain any line segment.

We want to remark that under the convexity assumption (A1), u is a semiconcave viscosity solution of equation (1.1) if and only if u is semiconcave and satisfies equation (1.1) almost everywhere. For $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote $\text{co}(K)$ as the convex hull of K . Using some results in Albano-Cannarsa [2] about the propagation of the singularities for semiconcave functions, Albano and Cannarsa proved the following interesting theorem in [1].

Theorem 1.1. *If $x_0 \in \Sigma(u)$ and $0 \notin \text{co}(D_p H(D^+u(x_0), u(x_0), x_0))$ then there exists $\sigma > 0$ and a Lipschitz continuous curve $\xi(s) : [0, \sigma] \rightarrow \Sigma(u)$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\xi}(s) \in \text{co}(D_p H(D^+u(\xi(s)), u(\xi(s)), \xi(s))) \not\equiv 0 & \text{for a.e. } s \in [0, \sigma] \\ \xi(0) = x_0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\max_{0 \leq s \leq \sigma} \min_{p \in D^+u(\xi(s))} H(p, u(\xi(s)), \xi(s)) < 0,$$

where

$$\Sigma(u) = \{x \in \Omega \mid u \text{ is not differentiable at } x\}.$$

The proof in [1] is very technical. The techniques and methods used there are important for studying the singularities for general semiconcave functions. For the semiconcave viscosity solution u of equation (1.1), we can in fact give a simple proof of Theorem 1.1 by approximating u with smooth functions. See [1] for more backgrounds and comments in the singularities of semiconcave viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations. We also refer to [2], Ambrosio-Cannarsa-Soner [3] and Cannarsa-Sinestrari [4] for detailed discussions about singularities of semiconcave functions.

2. Proofs

Since semiconcave functions are locally Lipschitz continuous, in this section, we assume that

$$\text{esssup}_{\Omega} |Du| \leq C \text{ and } D^2u \leq CI_n \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. We first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let V be an open subset such that $x_0 \in V \subset \bar{V} \subset \Omega$. If $x_0 \in \Sigma(u)$, then there exist a sequence of smooth functions $\{u_m(x)\}_{m \geq 1}$ in Ω such that*

- (i) $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} u_m = u$, uniformly in \bar{V} ;
- (ii) $\max_{\bar{V}} |Du_m| \leq C$, $D^2u_m \leq CI_m$ in V ;
- (iii) $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} Du_m(x_0) = q$ for some $q \in D^+u(x_0)$ satisfying $H(q, u(x_0), x_0) < 0$.

Proof. Let

$$u_\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^n} \int_{\Omega} u(y) \eta\left(\frac{x-y}{\epsilon}\right) dy,$$

where $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\overline{B_1(0)})$ and satisfies

$$\eta > 0 \text{ in } B_1(0) \text{ and } \int_{B_1(0)} \eta(x) dx = 1.$$

Then u_ϵ is smooth and

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} u_\epsilon = u \quad \text{uniformly in } \bar{V}.$$

When ϵ is small enough, we have that

$$|Du_\epsilon| \leq C \text{ and } D^2u_\epsilon \leq CI_n \quad \text{in } V.$$

Case 1. If $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} Du_\epsilon(x_0)$ does not exist, then there exist two subsequence $\epsilon_m \rightarrow 0$ and $\delta_m \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} Du_{\epsilon_m}(x_0) = p_1 \neq p_2 = \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} Du_{\delta_m}(x_0).$$

We have that $p_1, p_2 \in D^+u(x_0)$. Owing to (A1), $H(p_1, u(x_0), x_0) \leq 0$ and $H(p_2, u(x_0), x_0) \leq 0$. Let

$$u_m(x) = \frac{1}{2}(u_{\epsilon_m}(x) + u_{\delta_m}(x)).$$

By (A2), we get the desired $\{u_m\}_{m \geq 1}$.

Case 2. If $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} Du_\epsilon(x_0)$ exists, we denote

$$q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} Du_\epsilon(x_0).$$

According to (A1), $H(q, u(x_0), x_0) \leq 0$. If $H(q, u(x_0), x_0) = 0$, we claim that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon^n} \int_{B_\epsilon(x_0)} |Du(y) - q| \eta \left(\frac{x_0 - y}{\epsilon} \right) dy = 0.$$

If not, then there exists a $\delta > 0$ and a subsequence $\epsilon_k \rightarrow 0^+$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\epsilon_k^n} \int_{B_{\epsilon_k}(x_0)} |Du(y) - q| \eta \left(\frac{x_0 - y}{\epsilon_k} \right) dy \geq 2\sqrt{n}\delta.$$

For $i = 1, \dots, n$, we denote

$$A_i = \{x \in B_{\epsilon_k}(x_0) \mid |u_{x_i}(x) - q_i| \geq \delta\}.$$

Then we must have that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\epsilon_k^n} \int_{\cup_{i=1}^n A_i} \eta \left(\frac{x_0 - y}{\epsilon_k} \right) dy > 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Since

$$A_i = \{x \in B_{\epsilon_k}(x_0) \mid u_{x_i}(x) - q_i \geq \delta\} \cup \{x \in B_{\epsilon_k}(x_0) \mid u_{x_i}(x) - q_i \leq -\delta\},$$

upon passing if necessary to a subsequence, according to (2.1), without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\epsilon_k^n} \int_{A_1^+} \eta \left(\frac{x_0 - y}{\epsilon_k} \right) dy = \tau > 0$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\tau \epsilon_k^n} \int_{A_1^+} Du(y) \eta \left(\frac{x_0 - y}{\epsilon_k} \right) dy = q' = (q'_1, \dots, q'_n)$$

where

$$A_1^+ = \{x \in B_{\epsilon_k}(x_0) \mid u_{x_1}(x) - q_1 \geq \delta\}.$$

Since $q = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} Du_\epsilon(x_0)$, we have that $\tau < 1$. Otherwise, we have that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} u_{\epsilon_k, x_1}(x_0) - q_1 \geq \delta.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\epsilon_k^n} \int_{B_{\epsilon_k}(x_0) \setminus A_1^+} \eta \left(\frac{x_0 - y}{\epsilon_k} \right) dy = 1 - \tau > 0$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - \tau)\epsilon_k^n} \int_{B_{\epsilon_k}(x_0) \setminus A_1^+} Du(x) \eta \left(\frac{x_0 - y}{\epsilon_k} \right) dy = \frac{q - \tau q'}{1 - \tau} = q''.$$

Owing to (A1), we have that

$$H(q', u(x_0), x_0) \leq 0, \quad H(q'', u(x_0), x_0) \leq 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Also,

$$q = \tau q' + (1 - \tau)q''.$$

By the definition of A_1^+ , $q'_1 - q_1 \geq \delta$. Hence $q' \neq q$ and $q' \neq q''$. Since $H(q, u(x_0), x_0) = 0$, (2.2) implies that the 0-level set $\{p \mid H(p, u(x_0), x_0) = 0\}$ contains the line segment connecting q' and q'' . This contradicts the assumption (A2). So our claim holds. Since $\eta > 0$ in $B_1(0)$, we have that x_0 is a Lebesgue point of Du . So u is differentiable at x_0 . This is a contradiction. Therefore $H(q, u(x_0), x_0) < 0$. So in this case we can choose u_ϵ as the desired sequence of smooth functions. \square

Remark 2.2. Lemma 2.1 is still true by replacing q in (iii) with any $p \in D^+u(x_0)$. To prove it, we need to choose more delicate mollification of u instead of the standard mollification. For our purpose, Lemma 2.1 is enough.

Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Step I. Choose an open set V such that $x_0 \in V \subset \bar{V} \subset \Omega$. Let $\{u_m\}_{m \geq 1}$ be the sequence of smooth functions from Lemma 2.1. By a compactness argument, it is easy to show that for any fixed $x \in V$

$$\text{Sup}_{\{k \geq m, |y-x| \leq \delta\}} d(Du_k(y), D^+u(x)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow +\infty \text{ and } \delta \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \text{Sup}_{\{|y-x| \leq \delta\}} d(Du^+(y), D^+u(x)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $0 \notin \text{co}(D_p H(D^+u(x_0), u(x_0), x_0))$, without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & B_\delta(0) \cap \text{co} \left\{ D_p H(Du^+(x), u(x), x), D_p H(Du_m(x), u_m(x), x) \mid x \in V, m \geq 1 \right\} \\ & = \Phi. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Hence there exists a $\sigma > 0$ such that for each $m \geq 1$, there exists a C^1 curve $\xi_m(s) : [0, \sigma] \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\xi}_m(s) = D_p H(Du_m(\xi_m(s)), u_m(\xi_m(s)), \xi_m(s)) \neq 0 \\ \xi_m(0) = x_0. \end{cases}$$

Step II. We claim that

$$H(Du_m(\xi_m(s)), u_m(\xi_m(s)), \xi_m(s)) \leq H(Du_m(x_0), u_m(x_0), x_0) + Cs, \quad (2.5)$$

where C is some constant depending only on H and u . Since $D^2u_m \leq CI_m$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} H(Du_m(\xi_m(s)), u_m(\xi_m(s)), \xi_m(s)) &= H_{p_i} H_{p_j} u_{m, x_i x_j} + H_{p_i} H_z u_{m, x_i} + H_{x_i} H_{p_i} \\ &\leq C |D_p H|^2 + |D_p H| |Du_m| |H_z| + |D_x H| |D_p H| \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

So our claim holds. We assume that $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} Du_m(x_0) = q$. According to the choice of u_m , $q \in D^+u(x_0)$ and $H(q, u(x_0), x_0) < 0$. Owing to (2.5), if we choose $\sigma > 0$ small enough, without loss of generality, we may assume that for $m \geq 1$ and $s \in [0, \sigma]$

$$H(Du_m(\xi_m(s)), u_m(\xi_m(s)), \xi_m(s)) \leq \frac{1}{2} H(q, u(x_0), x_0) < 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Step III. Since $\{\xi_m\}_{m \geq 1}$ is uniformly Lipschitz continuous, passing to a subsequence if it is necessary, we assume that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \xi_m(s) = \xi(s) \quad \text{uniformly in } [0, \sigma].$$

Hence

$$\dot{\xi}_m = D_p H(Du_m(\xi_m(s)), u_m(\xi_m(s)), \xi_m(s)) \rightharpoonup \dot{\xi}(s) \quad \text{weakly in } L^2[0, \sigma]. \quad (2.7)$$

Owing to (2.7), a subsequence of convex combinations of $\dot{\xi}_m(s)$ converges to $\dot{\xi}(s)$ a.e. in $[0, \sigma]$. Hence by (2.3) and (2.4),

$$\dot{\xi}(s) \in \text{co}(D_p H(D^+u(\xi(s)), u(\xi(s)), \xi(s))) \not\equiv 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } s \in [0, \sigma].$$

Owing to (2.3) and (2.6), we derive that

$$\max_{s \in [0, \sigma]} \min_{p \in D^+u(\xi(s))} H(p, u(\xi(s)), \xi(s)) \leq \frac{1}{2} H(q, u(x_0), x_0) < 0.$$

Hence $\xi([0, \sigma]) \subset \Sigma(u)$ and

$$\dot{\xi}(s) \in \text{co}(D_p(H(D^+u(\xi(s)), u(\xi(s)), \xi(s)))) \not\equiv 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } s \in [0, \sigma]. \quad \square$$

References

- [1] P. ALBANO and P. CANNARSA, *Propagation of singularities for solutions of nonlinear first order partial differential equations*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **162** (2002), 1–23.
- [2] P. ALBANO and P. CANNARSA, *Structural properties of singularities of semiconcave functions*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **28** (1999), 719–740.
- [3] L. AMBROSIO, P. CANNARSA and H. M. SONER, *On the propagation of singularities of semi-convex functions*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **20** (1993), 597–616.
- [4] P. CANNARSA and C. SINISTRARI, “Semiconcave Functions, Hamilton-Jacobi Equations, and Optimal Control”, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, Vol. 58, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2004.
- [5] L. C. EVANS, “Partial Differential Equations”, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 19, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.

Department of Mathematics
 RLM 10.148, 475-8145
 1 University Station C 1200
 Austin, TX 78712-0257, USA
 yifengyu@math.utexas.edu