

## Mappings of smallest mean distortion and free-Lagrangians

TADEUSZ IWANIEC AND JANI ONNINEN

**Abstract.** Let  $\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be bounded domains of the same topological type. We are concerned with mappings  $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ , predominately orientation preserving homeomorphisms, in the Sobolev space  $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . Thus at almost every  $x \in \mathbb{X}$  the linear differential map  $Df(x) : \mathbf{T}_x \mathbb{X} \simeq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_y \mathbb{Y} \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $y = f(x)$ , is represented by the Jacobian matrix  $Df(x) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n \times n}$ . Hereafter  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n \times n}$  denotes the space of  $n \times n$ -matrices with positive determinant.

A little reflection on Teichmüller's theory of extremal quasiconformal mappings provokes to study homeomorphisms with smallest  $\mathcal{L}^p$ -norm of the distortion functions  $\mathcal{K}_\ell f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{K}_\ell [Df(x)]$ ,  $1 \leq \ell \leq n-1$ ,  $\mathcal{K}_\ell : \mathbb{R}_+^{n \times n} \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ . This being so, we seek to compute

$$\mathbf{K}_\ell^p(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf_f \int_{\mathbb{X}} [\mathcal{K}_\ell \mathbf{M}]^p dx \quad \mathbf{M} = Df(x). \quad (0.1)$$

The infimum is subjected to Sobolev homeomorphisms  $f : \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathbb{Y}$  with positive Jacobian determinant,  $J_f(x) = \det Df(x) > 0$  a.e. Formal change of variables leads to an energy-integral for the inverse mappings  $h = f^{-1} : \mathbb{Y} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathbb{X}$ . This integral takes the form

$$\mathbf{E}_{\ell,p}(\mathbb{Y}, \mathbb{X}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf_h \int_{\mathbb{Y}} [\mathcal{K}_{n-\ell} \mathbf{N}]^p \det(\mathbf{N}) dy, \quad \mathbf{N} = Dh(y). \quad (0.2)$$

Equivalence of the minimization problems for  $f$  in (0.1) and that for  $h$  in (0.2) is a matter of a change of variables for Sobolev homeomorphisms. The concept of *free-Lagrangians* becomes ever more strategic. Broadly speaking, a free Lagrangian is a nonlinear differential  $n$ -form  $\mathbf{L}(x, f, Df)dx$ , defined for Sobolev mappings  $f : \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \mathbb{Y}$ , whose integral depends only on the homotopy class of the mapping.

Free Lagrangians proved particularly useful in solving the  $\mathcal{L}^p$ -Grötzsch problem for ring domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Historically, the Grötzsch problem for  $p = \infty$  has been of great interest in Geometric Function Theory (GFT); for example, in the 2-dimensional theory of Teichmüller spaces. In higher dimensions GFT flourished from the pioneering work of **Fred** (Frederick William Gehring). Thus our  $\mathcal{L}^p$ -approach to GFT commemorates Fred's paper

*"Rings and Quasiconformal Mappings in Space"*  
 Transactions of AMS, **103** (1962), 353-393, 1962.

Precisely, we ask for homeomorphisms between ring domains having smallest  $\mathcal{L}^p$ -mean distortion. Call them  $\mathcal{L}^p$ -Teichmüller mappings. We investigate which pairs of ring domains admit  $\mathcal{L}^p$ -Teichmüller mappings.

It is somewhat surprising that the minimization of the  $\mathcal{L}^1$ -mean distortion leads to non-surjective mappings. Equivalently, in the variational problem (0.2),

we observe the lose of injectivity when passing to the limit of the energy-minimizing sequence of homeomorphisms. In the mathematical models of Nonlinear Elasticity this phenomenon amounts to saying that *interpenetration of matter* may occur when minimizing the energy at (0.2).

More surprisingly, the expected radial symmetry of a minimal mapping turns out to be false already in dimensions  $n \geq 3$ .

In several ways our study here grew out of the conceptual principles of Nonlinear Elasticity and Calculus of Variations. The novelty lies in the proofs, based on rather tricky inequalities; seemingly elementary but in fact challenging.

*The art of free Lagrangians is  
not to integrate nonlinear differential expressions,  
but the correct choice of such expressions.*

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 30C65 (primary); 30C75, 35J20 (secondary).